

FIELD

RESEARCH

CORPORATION

FOUNDED IN 1945 BY MERVIN FIELD

601 California Street, Suite 900 • San Francisco, California 94108 • 415-392-5763

**Tabulations From a Survey of Registered Voters in California
Assessing the Job Performance of Governor Schwarzenegger and the State
Legislature, the Governor's Dealings with the Legislature and Voter
Inclination to Support Schwarzenegger for U.S. Senate or President**

*- prepared for -
Capitol Alert*

October 2007

Introduction

This volume presents the statistical data developed from a *Field Poll* survey of California registered voters assessing the job performance of Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger and the state legislature, the Governor's dealings with the legislature and voter inclination to support Schwarzenegger for U.S. Senate or President. *The Field Poll* is an independent and non-partisan survey of public opinion in California established in 1945 by Mervin Field. Reports of *The Field Poll*, typically published 30-50 times per year, cover a wide range of political, and political topics. Many of California's leading media properties and news organizations publish and broadcast the results of each *Field Poll* report as feature or breaking news stories in their respective publications and broadcasts. All new and previous reports since 1995 are available to the public through its online archives at www.field.com/fieldpollonline. *The Field Poll* is owned and operated by Field Research Corporation, with headquarters in San Francisco, California.

The results contained in this report are based on a *Field Poll* survey completed among a representative sample of 1,201 registered voters statewide. In order to cover a wide range of issues and still minimize possible respondent fatigue, the overall sample of registered voters statewide was divided into sub-samples of 622 and 579 voters for some of the questions in this report. All interviewing was conducted during the period October 11-21, 2007 by telephone.

Sample Frame

Voters in the survey were selected randomly from a listing of California's statewide voter registration rolls. The list used by Field provides a telephone number for over 90% of the state's registered voters. These telephone numbers come from a variety of sources, including telephone numbers included by the voter on the his/her official voter registration form, as well as through cross-referencing voter names and addresses against recent telephone directories and other telephone matching services. While the telephone numbers are primarily landline telephone numbers, these phone listings also include cell phones, whenever a cell phone number is provided by the voter when registering or in other settings accessible through telephone matching services. Thus, cell phones are not systematically excluded from the sample frame. Special procedures were used in the survey when contacting voters on their cell phones to include them into the survey sample.

Data Collection

Prior to the start of data collection, professionally-trained telephone interviewers were briefed with regard to the survey's proper calling and interviewing procedures by the Study Director. This session provided both interviewers and supervisors with an overview of the study and includes a question-by-question review of all items in the survey.

Interviewers then completed all survey interviews by telephone through the computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) system. CATI controls the telephone scripts read to individual respondents by displaying the appropriate questionnaire items and their valid response code alternatives in their proper sequence on computer screens at each interviewer's booth. The interviewer then reads each question aloud to the respondent from the screen and enters each respondent's pre-coded answer category through the keyboard directly to a computer disk. All answers are automatically stored in computer memory.

In order to bring hard-to-reach respondents into the survey, up to eight attempts (an initial call plus seven callbacks) were made to each telephone number selected for inclusion into the sample. Callbacks were made at different times and on different days to increase the probability of finding adults available for the interview. Where possible, appointments are made at specified dates and times to maximize convenience and cooperation rates.

Data Processing

Because CATI itself provides for the direct data entry of responses by the interviewer and does not permit ineligible or invalid data entries, the data file resulting from CATI interviewing is itself virtually error-free.

Following this, a final series of data checks were performed by means of a specially designed cleaning program that scrutinizes each respondent record for internally inconsistent information. Once the data were determined to be clean and error-free, the sample was weighted to align it to known estimates of the demographic and regional characteristics of the state's registered voter population.

Guide to Reading the Tables

The following is an explanation of the detailed statistical tabulations contained in this report:

- The question or questions upon which the data are based is shown at the top of each table
- Tables are percentaged vertically with the raw percentage base appearing at the top of each column.
- The data have been weighted to known parameters of the statewide registered voter population. All percentages and frequencies reported in each table are therefore weighted tabulations.
- In instances where percentages are calculated on small bases (e.g., when the unweighted base is fewer than 100 respondents) the reader is urged to interpret the data with caution, since results are subject to relatively large levels of sampling error.
- Throughout the tables an asterisk is used to denote a value of less than 1/2 of 1%. A hyphen indicates zero value. On some tables the percentages may add to more than 100% due to multiple mentions.
- Bases of subgroups used in the tabulations may add to less than the total number of respondents due to some respondents not reporting that characteristic.

Subgroup Definitions

The following are some of the definitions applicable to some of the voter subgroups reported in this volume:

Area

Southern California:	Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Imperial, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Kern, and San Luis Obispo counties
Northern California:	all other 48 California counties
Coastal Counties:	San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Mateo, San Francisco, Contra Costa, Alameda, Marin, Napa, Solano, Sonoma, Santa Clara, Mendocino, Humboldt and Del Norte counties
Inland counties:	all other 38 California counties

Region

Los Angeles:	Los Angeles County
San Diego:	San Diego County
Orange:	Orange County
Other South:	San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial, Ventura, Santa Barbara, and San Luis Obispo
Central Valley:	Butte, Colusa, Fresno, Glenn, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Placer, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama, Tulare, Yolo, and Yuba
SF Bay Area:	San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara and San Mateo
Other North:	Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Del Norte, El Dorado, Humboldt, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Monterey, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Benito, Santa Cruz, Sierra, Siskiyou, Trinity, and Tuolumne

All other subgroups are based on demographic variables or are derived from questions asked in the survey.

Estimates of Sampling Error

In any survey based on a sampling, there is some sampling error introduced into the data by the process of sampling itself. When the sample has been drawn using random processes, it is possible to apply probability principles to determine the potential range of such error. While survey samples of human populations rarely, if ever, meet all of the criteria theoretically required for the application of these principles, it is customary to use them as an approximation of error that is introduced as a result of sampling. The table below shows the range of error that is associated with samples of various sizes, assuming the use of the 95% confidence level, which is customary for most public opinion surveys.

Thus, if 50% of a sample of overall sample of 1,201 registered voters statewide answered “yes” to a specific question, this statistic would have a sampling error of plus or minus 2.9 percentage points at the 95% confidence level. This means that there is a 95% chance that had the entire population of registered voters statewide been interviewed using the same questionnaire and methods, the results of such a census would yield a result between 47.1% and 52.9%. The same procedure can be used to estimate the sample error ranges of any other statistic contained in this report.

<u>Approximate sample size</u>	<u>Approximate percentage distribution of replies to question</u>				
	<u>10%</u>	<u>30%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>70%</u>	<u>90%</u>
100	+/- 6.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 10.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 6.0
200	+/- 4.3	+/- 6.5	+/- 7.1	+/- 6.5	+/- 4.3
300	+/- 3.5	+/- 5.3	+/- 5.8	+/- 5.3	+/- 3.5
600	+/- 2.5	+/- 3.8	+/- 4.1	+/- 3.8	+/- 2.5
1000	+/- 1.9	+/- 2.9	+/- 3.2	+/- 2.9	+/- 1.9
1200	+/- 1.7	+/- 1.9	+/- 2.9	+/- 2.7	+/- 1.7

There are many other possible sources of error other than sampling variability in this and any other public opinion survey. Different results could occur because of differences in question wording, sequencing, the rigor with which sampling procedures were implemented, or through undetected errors or omissions in sampling, interviewing or data processing. The Field professionals working on this study did everything possible to minimize such errors.

Questions Asked

(ASKED OF ALL REGISTERED VOTERS) Do you approve or disapprove of the way Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling his job as Governor of California?

Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way the California state legislature is doing its job?

(ASKED OF RANDOM SUBSAMPLES OF ALL VOTERS)

There will be an election for U.S. Senate in California in 2010, and incumbent Democrat Barbara Boxer has already announced her intention to seek re-election. Because of term limits, Republican Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger can not seek re-election for Governor. Suppose, when his term expires in 2010, Schwarzenegger decided to run for the U.S. Senate against Boxer. If the 2010 election for U.S. Senate were being held today and the candidates were Barbara Boxer, Democrat and Arnold Schwarzenegger, Republican, for whom would you vote – Boxer or Schwarzenegger?

As you know, because Governor Schwarzenegger was not born in the U.S., he is prevented by law from running for President. If Schwarzenegger were able to run for President, would you be inclined to vote for him or not?

Is your overall opinion of Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger favorable or unfavorable?

Generally speaking in politics, do you think Arnold Schwarzenegger is strongly conservative, somewhat conservative, moderate, somewhat liberal or strongly liberal?

If Governor Schwarzenegger's position on an important state issue differed from that of leaders in the state legislature, whose position would you more inclined to support – Governor Schwarzenegger's or the leaders in the state legislature?

In his dealings with Democratic leaders in the state legislature, do you think Governor Schwarzenegger does not compromise enough, compromises too much, or compromises about the right amount?

Tables

OCTOBER 2007 FIELD POLL

Table 14-1
 Q.12: DO YOU APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE OF THE WAY ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER IS HANDLING HIS
 JOB AS GOVERNOR OF CALIFORNIA?

			===== REGION=====										PARTY			LIKELIHOOD		VOTING METHOD			
			COUNTIES										REGISTRATION			OF VOTING		=====			
			=====															TOTAL PERM.			
TOTAL	REG	SO.	NO.	COS-	IN-	AREA										NON-	FEB.	NOV.	PRE-	ABS-	ABS-
VOTER	CA.	CA.	CA.	TAL	LAND	LOS	SAN	OTHER	CNTRL	S.F.	OTHER	DEM-	REPUB	PART/	PRI-	GENRL	CINT	TEE	TEE		
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS																					
WEIGHTED BASE	1201	707	494	839	362	302	104	115	173	200	245	62	508	411	282	819	984	614	552	353	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
APPROVE	673	384	289	462	211	154	71	59	94	120	136	39	258	259	157	470	569	335	325	211	
	56.0%	54.2%	58.6%	55.1%	58.2%	50.9%	69.0%	51.3%	54.0%	60.3%	55.6%	62.0%	50.7%	62.9%	55.6%	57.4%	57.8%	54.6%	58.8%	59.7%	
DISAPPROVE	384	237	146	276	108	114	23	37	59	56	80	15	190	110	83	256	298	212	161	99	
	32.0%	33.6%	29.7%	32.8%	29.9%	37.8%	22.0%	32.4%	33.9%	27.8%	32.8%	24.4%	37.4%	26.9%	29.6%	31.2%	30.3%	34.6%	29.1%	28.0%	
NO OPINION	144	86	58	101	43	34	9	19	21	24	29	8	60	42	42	93	117	66	66	43	
	12.0%	12.2%	11.7%	12.1%	11.9%	11.3%	9.0%	16.3%	12.1%	11.9%	11.7%	13.6%	11.9%	10.2%	14.8%	11.4%	11.9%	10.8%	12.0%	12.2%	

Table 14-2

Q.12: DO YOU APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE OF THE WAY ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER IS HANDLING HIS JOB AS GOVERNOR OF CALIFORNIA?

TOTAL REG VOTER	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY					POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					MARITAL STATUS		
	MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 PLUS	WHITE NON-HISPANIC	LA-TINO	BLACK	ASIAN	STRNG CNSRV	MDDLE CNSRV	LIBERAL ROAD	LIBERAL LIBRL	LIBERAL LIBRL	MRRD/ TOGTH	SEPRT WIDOW	NEVER MARRD	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS																				
WEIGHTED BASE	1201	561	640	190	211	238	333	228	742	295	60	83	233	127	548	128	164	793	170	212
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
APPROVE	673	324	349	90	103	144	198	138	454	125	29	56	130	74	340	52	76	456	95	112
	56.0%	57.7%	54.6%	47.4%	48.8%	60.3%	59.3%	60.8%	61.3%	42.3%	47.1%	67.8%	55.9%	58.3%	62.1%	40.3%	46.5%	57.5%	55.5%	52.5%
DISAPPROVE	384	185	199	76	80	73	96	59	205	134	23	12	76	41	144	59	63	238	58	78
	32.0%	32.9%	31.1%	40.2%	37.7%	30.4%	28.8%	25.9%	27.7%	45.5%	38.0%	14.0%	32.5%	32.4%	26.3%	46.4%	38.3%	30.0%	34.2%	36.8%
NO OPINION	144	53	92	24	28	22	40	30	82	36	9	15	27	12	63	17	25	99	18	23
	12.0%	9.4%	14.3%	12.4%	13.5%	9.3%	11.9%	13.3%	11.1%	12.2%	14.9%	18.2%	11.6%	9.3%	11.6%	13.3%	15.1%	12.5%	10.3%	10.6%

Table 14-3

Q.12: DO YOU APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE OF THE WAY ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER IS HANDLING HIS JOB AS GOVERNOR OF CALIFORNIA?

	EDUCATION				HOUSEHOLD INCOME					RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION		
	TOTAL	H.S.	SOME	POST	UNDR	\$20K	\$40K	\$80K	PLUS	PROTS/	CATH-	OTHER	NO	CHRISTIAN	YES	NO	OWNER	RENTR	YES	NO
	REG	GRAD/	CLLG/	COLLG	GRAD	UNDR	\$20K	\$40K	\$80K	PLUS	CHRSTN	OLIC	RELIG	PREF	YES	NO	OWNER	RENTR	YES	NO
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS	1201	303	384	288	196	118	182	312	397	454	306	199	191	279	909	824	318	275	896	
WEIGHTED BASE	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
APPROVE	673	158	209	160	131	56	93	175	248	268	165	113	106	168	498	492	155	134	527	
	56.0%	52.1%	54.5%	55.6%	67.0%	47.0%	51.2%	56.1%	62.3%	59.2%	53.7%	57.0%	55.4%	60.3%	54.7%	59.7%	48.5%	48.9%	58.8%	
DISAPPROVE	384	115	126	90	45	49	66	97	113	127	116	69	52	80	300	235	127	114	259	
	32.0%	37.8%	32.7%	31.2%	23.0%	41.5%	36.0%	31.3%	28.4%	27.9%	37.9%	34.8%	27.2%	28.7%	33.0%	28.5%	39.9%	41.4%	29.0%	
NO OPINION	144	31	49	38	20	14	24	39	37	58	26	16	33	30	112	97	37	27	109	
	12.0%	10.1%	12.8%	13.2%	10.0%	11.5%	12.9%	12.7%	9.2%	12.9%	8.4%	8.2%	17.4%	10.9%	12.3%	11.7%	11.6%	9.7%	12.2%	

OCTOBER 2007 FIELD POLL

Table 15-1

Q.13: OVERALL, DO YOU APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE OF THE WAY THE CALIFORNIA STATE LEGISLATURE IS DOING ITS JOB?

		===== REGION=====										PARTY			LIKELIHOOD		VOTING METHOD				
		COUNTRIES										REGISTRATION			OF VOTING		=====				
		=====										=====			=====		TOTAL PERM.				
TOTAL	REG	SOCAL	NOCAL	COSANTY	INLAND	LOSANGLS	SANDIEGO	ORANG	SOUTHSOUTH	CNTRLVALLY	S.F.BAY	OTHERNORTH	DEMOCRAT	REPUBLICAN	NONPART/OTHER	FEB.MARY	NOV.ELECN	PREVOTER	ABSNVOTER	ABSNVOTER	
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS																					
WEIGHTED BASE	1201	707	494	839	362	302	104	115	173	200	245	62	508	411	282	819	984	614	552	353	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
APPROVE	453	270	183	314	139	113	47	35	69	75	90	25	198	135	120	308	372	244	202	122	
	37.7%	38.1%	37.1%	37.4%	38.4%	37.3%	44.9%	30.5%	39.7%	37.6%	36.7%	39.5%	38.9%	32.9%	42.5%	37.7%	37.8%	39.8%	36.6%	34.6%	
DISAPPROVE	478	285	193	318	160	116	33	49	81	85	89	24	176	205	96	330	395	244	221	153	
	39.8%	40.3%	39.0%	37.9%	44.2%	38.6%	32.2%	42.9%	46.7%	42.5%	36.2%	38.5%	34.7%	50.0%	34.1%	40.4%	40.1%	39.8%	40.1%	43.2%	
NO OPINION	271	153	118	207	63	73	24	31	24	40	66	14	134	71	66	180	217	126	129	78	
	22.5%	21.6%	23.9%	24.7%	17.4%	24.1%	22.9%	26.6%	13.6%	19.9%	27.1%	22.0%	26.4%	17.1%	23.4%	22.0%	22.0%	20.4%	23.3%	22.2%	

Table 15-2

Q.13: OVERALL, DO YOU APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE OF THE WAY THE CALIFORNIA STATE LEGISLATURE IS DOING ITS JOB?

TOTAL REG VOTER	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY					POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					MARITAL STATUS		
	MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 PLUS	WHITE NON- HISPN	LA- TINO	BLACK ONLY	ASIAN	STRNG CNSRV	MDDLE CNSRV	LIBERAL ROAD	LIBERAL LIBRL	LIBERAL LIBRL	MRRD/ TOGTH	SEPRT LIVE	DVRC/ WIDOW	NEVER MARRD
1201	561	640	190	211	238	333	228	742	295	60	83	233	127	548	128	164	793	170	212	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
453	215	238	90	81	87	116	78	269	117	26	36	67	49	223	43	72	293	53	98	
37.7%	38.2%	37.3%	47.2%	38.3%	36.6%	34.9%	34.5%	36.2%	39.6%	42.4%	43.8%	28.6%	38.2%	40.6%	33.4%	43.8%	37.0%	30.8%	46.3%	
478	252	225	66	78	96	148	89	322	104	29	15	125	54	196	52	51	328	65	76	
39.8%	44.9%	35.2%	34.9%	37.1%	40.4%	44.3%	39.0%	43.4%	35.3%	47.3%	18.0%	53.7%	42.3%	35.7%	40.4%	31.1%	41.3%	38.3%	36.0%	
271	95	176	34	52	55	69	61	151	74	6	32	41	25	130	34	41	172	53	38	
22.5%	16.9%	27.5%	17.9%	24.7%	23.0%	20.7%	26.6%	20.4%	25.2%	10.3%	38.2%	17.7%	19.5%	23.6%	26.2%	25.1%	21.7%	30.9%	17.7%	

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

WEIGHTED BASE

APPROVE

DISAPPROVE

NO OPINION

Table 15-3

Q.13: OVERALL, DO YOU APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE OF THE WAY THE CALIFORNIA STATE LEGISLATURE IS DOING ITS JOB?

TOTAL REG VOTER	EDUCATION				HOUSEHOLD INCOME				RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION		
	H.S. GRAD/ LESS	SOME CLLG/ TRADE	COLLG GRAD	POST GRAD WORK	UNDR \$20K	\$20K \$39K	\$40K \$79K	\$80K PLUS	PROTS/ CHRSTN	CATH- OLIC	OTHER RELIG	NO PREF	CHRISTIAN	NO	OWNER	RENTN	AFFILIATED	NO	
	REG	CLLG/ TRADE	COLLG GRAD	POST GRAD WORK	UNDR \$20K	\$20K \$39K	\$40K \$79K	\$80K PLUS	PROTS/ CHRSTN	CATH- OLIC	OTHER RELIG	NO PREF	CHRISTIAN	NO	OWNER	RENTN	AFFILIATED	NO	
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS																			
WEIGHTED BASE	1201	303	384	288	196	118	182	312	397	454	306	199	191	279	909	824	318	275	896
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
APPROVE	453	124	135	102	81	49	76	128	150	172	110	77	80	104	344	298	130	101	343
	37.7%	41.0%	35.2%	35.2%	41.5%	41.6%	41.4%	41.1%	37.8%	38.0%	35.8%	38.9%	41.9%	37.3%	37.8%	36.2%	41.0%	36.8%	38.3%
DISAPPROVE	478	105	164	123	79	37	64	124	174	197	125	74	66	126	347	351	106	116	352
	39.8%	34.6%	42.8%	42.5%	40.1%	31.4%	35.0%	39.8%	43.8%	43.4%	40.8%	37.3%	34.5%	45.3%	38.1%	42.6%	33.4%	42.1%	39.3%
NO OPINION	271	74	85	64	36	32	43	59	73	85	72	47	45	49	219	175	82	58	200
	22.5%	24.4%	22.0%	22.3%	18.4%	27.0%	23.6%	19.0%	18.4%	18.6%	23.4%	23.8%	23.7%	17.4%	24.0%	21.3%	25.7%	21.1%	22.4%

Table 65-1

Q.34: BECAUSE OF TERM LIMITS, REPUBLICAN GOVERNOR ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER CAN NOT SEEK RE-ELECTION FOR GOVERNOR. SUPPOSE, WHEN HIS TERM EXPIRES IN 2010, SCHWARZENEGGER DECIDED TO RUN FOR THE U.S. SENATE AGAINST BOXER. IF THE 2010 ELECTION FOR U.S. SENATE WERE BEING HELD TODAY AND THE CANDIDATES WERE BARBARA BOXER, DEMOCRAT AND ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, REPUBLICAN, FOR WHOM WOULD YOU VOTE - BOXER OR SCHWARZENEGGER?

		REGION										PARTY REGISTRATION			LIKELIHOOD OF VOTING		VOTING METHOD			
		COUNTIES										NON-			FEB.	NOV.	PRE-	ABS-	ABS-	
		AREA										DEM-	REP-	PART/	PRI-	GENRL	CINT	TEE	TEE	
TOTAL	REG VOTER	SO. CA.	NO. CA.	COS- TAL CNTY	IN- LAND CNTY	LOS ANGLS	SAN DIEGO	OTHER ORANG	CNTRL SOUTH	S.F. VALLY	OTHER NORTH	CRAT	LICAN	OTHER	MARY	ELECN	VOTER	ABS-	ABS-	
WEIGHTED BASE	622	364	258	429	193	156	54	60	90	103	127	32	263	213	146	427	524	316	288	194
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
BOXER	270	170	99	210	60	90	18	24	36	27	64	10	164	30	75	182	221	143	120	76
	43.3%	46.7%	38.5%	48.9%	31.0%	57.6%	34.3%	40.4%	40.4%	26.1%	50.4%	30.4%	62.3%	14.2%	51.6%	42.7%	42.3%	45.2%	41.6%	39.4%
SCHWARZENEGGER	275	147	128	171	104	43	29	32	40	60	51	20	58	161	57	197	243	135	134	95
	44.2%	40.4%	49.7%	40.0%	53.6%	27.8%	53.4%	53.0%	44.6%	58.5%	40.6%	60.4%	22.0%	75.4%	38.8%	46.2%	46.4%	42.8%	46.6%	49.0%
UNDECIDED	77	47	30	48	30	23	7	4	13	16	11	3	41	22	14	47	59	38	34	22
	12.4%	12.9%	11.8%	11.1%	15.3%	14.6%	12.3%	6.7%	15.0%	15.4%	9.0%	9.2%	15.6%	10.4%	9.6%	11.1%	11.3%	12.0%	11.8%	11.5%

BASE: FORM "B" ONLY

Table 65-2

Q.34: BECAUSE OF TERM LIMITS, REPUBLICAN GOVERNOR ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER CAN NOT SEEK RE-ELECTION FOR GOVERNOR. SUPPOSE, WHEN HIS TERM EXPIRES IN 2010, SCHWARZENEGGER DECIDED TO RUN FOR THE U.S. SENATE AGAINST BOXER. IF THE 2010 ELECTION FOR U.S. SENATE WERE BEING HELD TODAY AND THE CANDIDATES WERE BARBARA BOXER, DEMOCRAT AND ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, REPUBLICAN, FOR WHOM WOULD YOU VOTE - BOXER OR SCHWARZENEGGER?

TOTAL REG VOTER	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY					POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					MARITAL STATUS		
	MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 PLUS	WHITE NON- HISPN	LA- TINO	ASIAN ONLY	STRNG CNSRV	MODER CNSRV	THE ROAD	LIBERAL LIBRL	MODER LIBRL	STRNG LIBRL	MRRD/ LIVE	SEPRT DVRG	NEVER MARRD	
WEIGHTED BASE	622	291	331	105	105	120	174	118	404	144	33	31	119	56	283	71	93	397	103	113
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
BOXER	270	109	160	69	41	51	66	42	139	93	20	13	13	19	119	49	69	147	52	65
	43.3%	37.6%	48.4%	66.0%	39.2%	42.7%	38.1%	35.3%	34.3%	64.1%	59.9%	40.3%	10.8%	34.3%	42.1%	69.0%	74.7%	37.1%	50.3%	57.7%
SCHWARZENEGGER	275	142	133	29	49	55	84	59	223	28	10	11	95	32	120	15	13	204	36	35
	44.2%	48.8%	40.2%	27.9%	46.6%	45.6%	48.0%	49.7%	55.0%	19.7%	31.2%	34.5%	79.8%	56.9%	42.4%	20.7%	14.5%	51.5%	34.5%	30.8%
UNDECIDED	77	40	38	6	15	14	24	18	43	24	3	8	11	5	44	7	10	45	16	13
	12.4%	13.6%	11.4%	6.1%	14.2%	11.7%	13.9%	15.0%	10.6%	16.3%	8.8%	25.2%	9.3%	8.8%	15.5%	10.3%	10.8%	11.4%	15.2%	11.5%

BASE: FORM "B" ONLY

Table 65-3

Q.34: BECAUSE OF TERM LIMITS, REPUBLICAN GOVERNOR ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER CAN NOT SEEK RE-ELECTION FOR GOVERNOR. SUPPOSE, WHEN HIS TERM EXPIRES IN 2010, SCHWARZENEGGER DECIDED TO RUN FOR THE U.S. SENATE AGAINST BOXER. IF THE 2010 ELECTION FOR U.S. SENATE WERE BEING HELD TODAY AND THE CANDIDATES WERE BARBARA BOXER, DEMOCRAT AND ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, REPUBLICAN, FOR WHOM WOULD YOU VOTE - BOXER OR SCHWARZENEGGER?

	EDUCATION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME					RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION	
	TOTAL	H.S. REG	SOME GRAD/ LESS	COLLG/ TRADE	POST GRAD WORK	UNDR \$20K	\$40K \$39K	\$80K \$79K	PLUS	PROTS/ CHRSTN	CATH- OLIC	OTHER RELIG	NO PREF	CHRISTIAN	NO	OWNER	RENTN	AFFILIATED	NO	
BASE: FORM "B" ONLY																				
WEIGHTED BASE	622	152	206	151	103	66	94	158	221	244	162	103	92	142	476	433	165	134	476	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
BOXER	270	72	84	63	45	39	57	66	71	70	82	47	61	43	224	170	83	64	198	
	43.3%	47.1%	40.7%	41.7%	43.4%	58.3%	60.6%	41.6%	31.9%	28.6%	50.2%	45.6%	66.3%	30.1%	47.1%	39.4%	50.2%	48.1%	41.6%	
SCHWARZENEGGER	275	60	94	70	49	19	27	77	129	145	62	43	21	85	188	208	63	54	221	
	44.2%	39.3%	45.9%	46.8%	47.7%	28.9%	28.3%	48.8%	58.6%	59.5%	38.1%	41.5%	23.1%	60.1%	39.5%	48.1%	38.4%	40.0%	46.4%	
UNDECIDED	77	21	28	17	9	9	10	15	21	29	19	13	10	14	63	54	19	16	57	
	12.4%	13.6%	13.4%	11.5%	8.9%	12.9%	11.2%	9.6%	9.5%	11.8%	11.7%	12.8%	10.6%	9.8%	13.3%	12.5%	11.5%	11.9%	12.0%	

OCTOBER 2007 FIELD POLL

Table 66-1

Q.35: AS YOU KNOW, BECAUSE GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER WAS NOT BORN IN THE U.S., HE IS PREVENTED BY LAW FROM RUNNING FOR PRESIDENT. IF SCHWARZENEGGER WERE ABLE TO RUN FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU BE INCLINED TO VOTE FOR HIM OR NOT?

	===== REGION=====													PARTY			LIKELIHOOD		VOTING METHOD			
	COUNTIES													REGISTRATION			OF VOTING		=====			
	=====													=====			=====		TOTAL PERM.			
	TOTAL	REG	SO.	NO.	TAL	IN-	LOS	SAN	OTHER	CNTRL	S.F.	OTHER	DEMO-	REPUB	PART/	FEB.	NOV.	PRE-	ABS-	ABS-		
VOTER	CA.	CA.	CA.	CNTY	CNTY	ANGLS	DIEGO	ORANG	SOUTH	VALLY	BAY	NORTH	CRAT	LICAN	OTHER	MARY	ELECN	VOTER	TEE	TEE		
BASE: FORM "B" ONLY																						
WEIGHTED BASE	622	364	258	429	193	156	54	60	90	103	127	32	263	213	146	427	524	316	288	194		
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
INCLINED	112	66	46	77	35	19	16	15	13	21	20	6	33	55	25	69	97	49	59	34		
	18.0%	18.0%	17.8%	18.0%	17.9%	12.4%	29.9%	25.9%	15.0%	20.1%	16.1%	19.4%	12.4%	25.6%	16.8%	16.3%	18.5%	15.6%	20.6%	17.5%		
NOT INCLINED	394	224	170	273	121	108	25	30	60	63	88	20	192	110	92	279	330	213	173	116		
	63.3%	61.4%	66.0%	63.7%	62.3%	69.1%	45.9%	50.1%	66.4%	60.9%	69.8%	62.2%	73.1%	51.4%	63.1%	65.4%	62.9%	67.2%	60.1%	60.1%		
DEPENDS	82	52	30	52	30	18	9	12	11	18	10	4	27	33	22	58	69	40	40	34		
	13.2%	14.3%	11.7%	12.1%	15.5%	11.2%	16.9%	20.5%	12.5%	17.5%	7.6%	13.0%	10.4%	15.5%	14.8%	13.5%	13.1%	12.7%	13.8%	17.6%		
NO OPINION	34	23	12	26	8	11	4	2	5	2	8	2	11	16	8	21	29	15	16	9		
	5.5%	6.3%	4.5%	6.2%	4.2%	7.3%	7.3%	3.5%	6.1%	1.5%	6.5%	5.4%	4.2%	7.5%	5.2%	4.8%	5.5%	4.6%	5.5%	4.7%		

Table 66-2

Q.35: AS YOU KNOW, BECAUSE GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER WAS NOT BORN IN THE U.S., HE IS PREVENTED BY LAW FROM RUNNING FOR PRESIDENT. IF SCHWARZENEGGER WERE ABLE TO RUN FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU BE INCLINED TO VOTE FOR HIM OR NOT?

TOTAL REG VOTER -----	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY					====POLITICAL IDEOLOGY=====					MARITAL STATUS			
	MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	PLUS	WHITE	NON- HISPANIC	LA- TINO	BLACK	ASIAN ONLY	CONSERVATV CNSRV	MDDLE MODER	LIBERAL ROAD	LIBERAL LIBRL	LIBERAL LIBRL	MRRD/ TOGTH	SEPRT LIVE	NEVER DVRC/ WIDOW	MARRD
WEIGHTED BASE	622	291	331	105	105	120	174	118	404	144	33	31	119	56	283	71	93	397	103	113	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
INCLINED	112	60	51	7	28	19	36	21	75	23	4	10	28	12	53	7	12	87	12	13	
	18.0%	20.8%	15.5%	7.0%	26.9%	15.9%	20.9%	17.4%	18.6%	15.9%	11.3%	30.5%	23.8%	21.2%	18.7%	9.2%	13.0%	21.9%	11.5%	11.3%	
NOT INCLINED	394	177	217	76	63	77	108	70	243	105	23	12	62	31	166	57	77	243	73	73	
	63.3%	60.9%	65.4%	72.4%	59.4%	64.2%	62.1%	59.6%	60.1%	72.8%	71.1%	38.1%	51.7%	55.8%	58.8%	80.8%	83.0%	61.1%	70.5%	64.9%	
DEPENDS	82	38	44	14	10	17	24	18	64	9	6	5	24	10	37	6	4	52	13	17	
	13.2%	13.2%	13.2%	13.5%	9.3%	13.9%	13.7%	15.0%	15.8%	6.1%	17.6%	16.8%	20.5%	18.5%	13.2%	8.8%	4.0%	13.0%	12.3%	15.1%	
NO OPINION	34	15	19	8	5	7	6	10	22	8	-	5	5	2	26	1	-	15	6	10	
	5.5%	5.2%	5.8%	7.2%	4.4%	6.0%	3.3%	8.1%	5.5%	5.2%		14.6%	4.0%	4.5%	9.3%	1.3%		3.9%	5.7%	8.7%	

Table 66-3

Q.35: AS YOU KNOW, BECAUSE GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER WAS NOT BORN IN THE U.S., HE IS PREVENTED BY LAW FROM RUNNING FOR PRESIDENT. IF SCHWARZENEGGER WERE ABLE TO RUN FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU BE INCLINED TO VOTE FOR HIM OR NOT?

	EDUCATION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME				RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION							
	TOTAL	H.S.	SOME	POST	POST	UNDR	\$20K	\$40K	\$80K	PLUS	PROTS/	CATH-	OTHER	NO	CHRSTN	OLIC	RELIG	PREF	CHRISTIAN	HOME	AFFILIATED				
	REG	GRAD/	CLLG/	COLLG	GRAD														YES	NO	OWNER	RENTN	YES	NO	
	VOTER	LESS	TRADE	GRAD	WORK	\$20K	\$39K	\$79K	PLUS	CHRSTN	OLIC	RELIG	PREF	YES	NO	OWNER	RENTN	YES	NO	OWNER	RENTN	YES	NO		
BASE: FORM "B" ONLY																									
WEIGHTED BASE	622	152	206	151	103	66	94	158	221	244	162	103	92	142	476	433	165	134	476						
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
INCLINED	112	38	32	25	16	8	15	29	49	44	39	15	13	37	74	77	35	18	93						
	18.0%	24.7%	15.7%	16.7%	15.4%	12.5%	16.5%	18.6%	22.1%	18.0%	24.0%	14.4%	14.2%	25.9%	15.6%	17.7%	21.2%	13.6%	19.6%						
NOT INCLINED	394	99	127	95	66	41	62	105	134	151	105	62	64	80	310	277	102	95	292						
	63.3%	65.3%	61.7%	63.0%	64.4%	61.9%	66.0%	66.2%	60.7%	62.1%	64.5%	60.4%	69.7%	56.2%	65.3%	63.9%	61.8%	70.8%	61.3%						
DEPENDS	82	13	28	21	18	11	15	19	27	35	10	23	11	16	66	57	21	16	66						
	13.2%	8.8%	13.7%	14.2%	17.7%	16.6%	16.1%	12.3%	12.1%	14.5%	6.2%	22.0%	11.5%	11.0%	14.0%	13.1%	12.5%	11.6%	13.8%						
NO OPINION	34	2	18	9	3	6	1	5	11	13	8	3	4	10	25	23	7	5	25						
	5.5%	1.2%	8.9%	6.1%	2.6%	9.1%	1.4%	2.9%	5.1%	5.4%	5.2%	3.2%	4.5%	6.9%	5.2%	5.3%	4.5%	3.9%	5.2%						

Table 73-2

Q.40: IS YOUR OVERALL OPINION OF GOVERNOR ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE?

TOTAL REG VOTER	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY					POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					MARITAL STATUS		
	MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 PLUS	WHITE NON- HISPANIC	LA- TINO	ASIAN ONLY	CONSERVATV STRNG CNSRV	MIDDLE MODER CNSRV	LIBERAL THE ROAD	LIBERAL MODER LIBRL	LIBERAL STRNG LIBRL	MARRIED LIVE TOGTH	SINGLE DVRC/ WIDOW	NEVER MARRD		
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS																				
WEIGHTED BASE	1201	561	640	190	211	238	333	228	742	295	60	83	233	127	548	128	164	793	170	212
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FAVORABLE	710	343	367	83	112	147	209	160	498	114	32	60	157	71	350	52	81	493	102	106
	59.1%	61.0%	57.4%	43.8%	53.1%	61.5%	62.6%	70.1%	67.1%	38.5%	52.7%	72.1%	67.2%	56.0%	63.8%	40.3%	49.0%	62.2%	59.8%	49.7%
UNFAVORABLE	380	169	210	84	80	70	96	50	193	141	25	9	59	44	152	58	67	233	52	84
	31.6%	30.2%	32.9%	44.3%	37.9%	29.2%	28.7%	21.9%	26.1%	47.8%	40.8%	11.1%	25.2%	34.4%	27.7%	45.0%	41.0%	29.4%	30.3%	39.5%
NO OPINION	111	49	62	23	19	22	29	18	51	40	4	14	18	12	46	19	16	67	17	23
	9.3%	8.8%	9.7%	11.9%	9.0%	9.3%	8.7%	8.0%	6.9%	13.7%	6.5%	16.9%	7.5%	9.6%	8.5%	14.7%	9.9%	8.5%	9.9%	10.8%

Table 73-3

Q.40: IS YOUR OVERALL OPINION OF GOVERNOR ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE?

TOTAL REG VOTER	EDUCATION				HOUSEHOLD INCOME					RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION	
	H.S. GRAD/ LESS	SOME CLLG/ TRADE	COLLG GRAD	POST GRAD WORK	UNDR \$20K	\$20K \$39K	\$40K \$79K	\$80K PLUS	PROTS/ CHRSTN	CATH- OLIC	OTHER RELIG	NO PREF	CHRISTIAN YES	CHRISTIAN NO	OWNER	RENTN	AFFILIATED YES	AFFILIATED NO	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS																			
WEIGHTED BASE	1201	303	384	288	196	118	182	312	397	454	306	199	191	279	909	824	318	275	896
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FAVORABLE	710	165	223	170	138	63	95	189	261	296	171	110	113	177	525	530	159	141	559
	59.1%	54.5%	58.1%	59.0%	70.2%	53.3%	51.8%	60.5%	65.7%	65.2%	55.8%	55.2%	58.9%	63.6%	57.7%	64.3%	49.9%	51.4%	62.4%
UNFAVORABLE	380	109	118	96	46	41	69	92	115	127	108	69	52	81	295	242	112	108	259
	31.6%	35.9%	30.8%	33.1%	23.6%	34.9%	38.0%	29.6%	28.9%	28.0%	35.4%	34.5%	27.4%	29.0%	32.4%	29.3%	35.1%	39.4%	28.9%
NO OPINION	111	29	43	23	12	14	18	31	22	31	27	21	26	21	90	52	48	25	78
	9.3%	9.6%	11.1%	7.9%	6.2%	11.8%	10.1%	9.9%	5.4%	6.9%	8.8%	10.3%	13.7%	7.4%	9.9%	6.3%	14.9%	9.2%	8.7%

Table 74-1

Q.41: GENERALLY SPEAKING IN POLITICS, DO YOU THINK ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER IS STRONGLY CONSERVATIVE, SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE, MODERATE, SOMEWHAT LIBERAL OR STRONGLY LIBERAL?

	===== REGION=====											PARTY			LIKELIHOOD		VOTING METHOD			
	COUNTIES											REGISTRATION			OF VOTING		=====			
	TOTAL	REG	SO.	NO.	TAL	IN-	LOS	SAN	ORANG	OTHER	CNTRL	S.F.	OTHER	DEMO-	REPUB	PART/	FEB.	NOV.	PRE-	ABS-
VOTER	CA.	CA.	CA.	CNTY	CNTY	ANGLS	DIEGO		SOUTH	VALLY	BAY	NORTH	CRAT	LICAN	OTHER	MARY	ELECN	VOTER	VOTER	VOTER
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS	1201	707	494	839	362	302	104	115	173	200	245	62	508	411	282	819	984	614	552	353
WEIGHTED BASE	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
NET: CONSERVATIVE	412	249	163	292	120	113	30	41	60	64	80	25	190	115	106	285	347	226	177	108
-----	34.3%	35.2%	33.1%	34.8%	33.3%	37.3%	28.8%	35.7%	34.6%	31.9%	32.6%	40.3%	37.5%	28.1%	37.7%	34.8%	35.3%	36.8%	32.0%	30.7%
STRONGLY CONSERVATIVE	90	59	32	64	26	27	6	11	13	14	14	5	53	15	22	59	65	50	39	24
	7.5%	8.3%	6.5%	7.6%	7.3%	9.0%	5.4%	9.6%	7.6%	7.2%	5.9%	7.3%	10.5%	3.7%	7.8%	7.2%	6.6%	8.2%	7.1%	6.8%
SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE	322	190	131	228	94	85	24	30	47	49	65	21	137	100	84	226	282	176	138	84
	26.8%	26.9%	26.6%	27.1%	26.0%	28.2%	23.4%	26.1%	27.0%	24.7%	26.7%	33.0%	27.0%	24.4%	29.9%	27.6%	28.6%	28.6%	25.0%	23.8%
MODERATE	490	275	215	342	148	113	43	45	70	85	113	21	205	172	113	352	403	246	231	154
	40.8%	38.9%	43.5%	40.8%	40.9%	37.5%	41.5%	39.0%	40.4%	42.5%	46.2%	33.7%	40.3%	41.9%	40.0%	43.0%	41.0%	40.0%	41.8%	43.7%
NET: LIBERAL	176	114	62	123	53	45	20	19	28	24	31	10	50	88	38	118	148	84	86	55
-----	14.7%	16.1%	12.6%	14.7%	14.7%	14.8%	19.5%	16.1%	16.3%	12.1%	12.5%	15.8%	9.8%	21.4%	13.6%	14.5%	15.0%	13.8%	15.6%	15.7%
SOMEWHAT LIBERAL	145	93	53	99	46	33	16	17	25	20	24	10	43	73	30	99	124	68	73	48
	12.1%	13.1%	10.7%	11.8%	12.8%	10.9%	15.6%	14.9%	14.3%	10.2%	9.9%	15.8%	8.4%	17.6%	10.7%	12.1%	12.6%	11.1%	13.2%	13.6%
STRONGLY LIBERAL	31	21	9	24	7	12	4	1	3	4	6	-	7	16	8	20	24	17	13	7
	2.6%	3.0%	1.9%	2.9%	1.9%	3.9%	3.9%	1.2%	2.0%	1.9%	2.6%		1.4%	3.8%	2.9%	2.4%	2.5%	2.7%	2.4%	2.0%
NO OPINION	122	69	53	82	40	32	11	11	15	27	21	6	63	35	24	64	86	58	58	35
	10.2%	9.8%	10.8%	9.8%	11.2%	10.5%	10.2%	9.2%	8.8%	13.5%	8.7%	10.2%	12.4%	8.6%	8.7%	7.8%	8.7%	9.4%	10.5%	9.9%

Table 74-2

Q.41: GENERALLY SPEAKING IN POLITICS, DO YOU THINK ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER IS STRONGLY CONSERVATIVE, SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE, MODERATE, SOMEWHAT LIBERAL OR STRONGLY LIBERAL?

	TOTAL REG VOTER	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY				POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				MARITAL STATUS			
		MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	PLUS	WHITE NON- HISP	LA- TINO	BLACK	ASIAN ONLY	CONSERVATV STRNG CNSRV	MDDLE MODER CNSRV	LIBERAL THE ROAD	LIBERAL LIBRL LIBRL	MRRD/ LIVE TOGTH	SEPRT DVRC/ WIDOW	NEVER MARRD	
		REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG	REG
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS	1201	561	640	190	211	238	333	228	742	295	60	83	233	127	548	128	164	793	170	212
WEIGHTED BASE	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
NET: CONSERVATIVE	412	188	224	86	85	77	102	62	241	120	21	28	75	35	152	64	86	273	45	86
-----	34.3%	33.5%	35.0%	45.3%	40.4%	32.4%	30.5%	27.2%	32.4%	40.6%	35.0%	33.5%	32.4%	27.7%	27.7%	49.6%	52.5%	34.3%	26.3%	40.3%
STRONGLY CONSERVATIVE	90	42	48	14	27	16	21	12	34	46	7	3	17	6	31	21	17	56	14	18
	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	12.7%	6.9%	6.3%	5.3%	4.6%	15.7%	11.7%	4.1%	7.3%	4.4%	5.6%	16.2%	10.1%	7.0%	8.1%	8.4%
SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE	322	146	176	72	59	61	81	50	207	74	14	24	58	30	121	43	70	217	31	68
	26.8%	26.0%	27.5%	37.8%	27.7%	25.5%	24.2%	21.9%	27.9%	24.9%	23.3%	29.4%	25.0%	23.3%	22.1%	33.4%	42.4%	27.3%	18.2%	31.9%
MODERATE	490	227	264	67	74	112	141	95	338	91	20	35	89	58	248	37	59	335	65	87
	40.8%	40.4%	41.2%	35.4%	35.2%	46.9%	42.3%	41.9%	45.5%	31.0%	33.3%	42.4%	38.2%	45.5%	45.2%	28.5%	36.1%	42.2%	38.0%	41.0%
NET: LIBERAL	176	89	87	20	31	33	54	38	108	40	10	11	61	20	64	17	14	128	24	21
-----	14.7%	15.8%	13.6%	10.3%	14.7%	13.9%	16.2%	16.8%	14.5%	13.6%	15.8%	13.5%	26.1%	15.9%	11.7%	13.2%	8.6%	16.1%	14.2%	9.7%
SOMEWHAT LIBERAL	145	70	75	12	24	27	51	31	95	28	7	10	54	14	47	17	13	103	22	18
	12.1%	12.5%	11.8%	6.0%	11.6%	11.4%	15.4%	13.5%	12.8%	9.6%	12.1%	12.4%	23.2%	11.1%	8.6%	13.2%	8.0%	13.0%	12.8%	8.3%
STRONGLY LIBERAL	31	19	12	8	6	6	3	8	13	12	2	1	7	6	17	-	1	25	2	3
	2.6%	3.3%	1.9%	4.3%	3.1%	2.5%	0.8%	3.3%	1.7%	4.1%	3.7%	1.1%	2.9%	4.8%	3.1%		0.6%	3.1%	1.4%	1.3%
NO OPINION	122	58	65	17	20	16	37	32	56	44	10	9	8	14	85	11	5	59	37	19
	10.2%	10.3%	10.1%	9.0%	9.7%	6.8%	11.0%	14.1%	7.5%	14.8%	15.8%	10.6%	3.4%	10.9%	15.4%	8.7%	2.9%	7.4%	21.5%	9.1%

Table 74-3

Q.41: GENERALLY SPEAKING IN POLITICS, DO YOU THINK ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER IS STRONGLY CONSERVATIVE, SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE, MODERATE, SOMEWHAT LIBERAL OR STRONGLY LIBERAL?

VOTER	EDUCATION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME				RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION							
	TOTAL	H.S.	SOME	POST	POST	UNDR	\$20K	\$40K	\$80K	PLUS	PROTS/	CATH-	OTHER	NO	CHRSTN	OLIC	RELIG	PREF	YES	NO	OWNER	RENTR	YES	NO	
	REG	GRAD/	CLLG/	COLLG	GRAD	GRAD	\$20K	\$39K	\$79K	PLUS	CHRSTN	OLIC	RELIG	PREF	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	
	VOTER	LESS	TRADE	GRAD	WORK	\$20K	\$39K	\$79K	PLUS	CHRSTN	OLIC	RELIG	PREF	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS	1201	303	384	288	196	118	182	312	397	454	306	199	191	279	909	824	318	275	896						
WEIGHTED BASE	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
NET: CONSERVATIVE	412	102	130	104	64	40	67	124	130	132	106	88	74	84	324	262	128	103	302						
-----	34.3%	33.8%	33.9%	36.2%	32.6%	33.8%	36.8%	39.9%	32.8%	29.0%	34.5%	44.0%	38.5%	30.3%	35.6%	31.8%	40.1%	37.4%	33.7%						
STRONGLY CONSERVATIVE	90	27	27	22	10	9	20	27	22	18	37	19	11	16	71	61	25	30	58						
	7.5%	8.9%	7.1%	7.6%	5.2%	7.5%	11.2%	8.8%	5.4%	4.0%	12.2%	9.6%	5.5%	5.9%	7.8%	7.4%	7.9%	10.8%	6.4%						
SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE	322	76	103	83	54	31	47	97	109	114	68	69	63	68	253	201	102	73	244						
	26.8%	24.9%	26.8%	28.6%	27.4%	26.4%	25.5%	31.0%	27.4%	25.1%	22.3%	34.4%	32.9%	24.4%	27.8%	24.4%	32.2%	26.6%	27.3%						
MODERATE	490	104	155	127	98	48	65	118	183	199	118	74	85	119	368	367	108	103	381						
	40.8%	34.3%	40.4%	44.2%	50.1%	40.3%	35.6%	37.9%	46.0%	43.8%	38.6%	37.2%	44.6%	42.7%	40.4%	44.5%	34.0%	37.5%	42.6%						
NET: LIBERAL	176	49	56	43	26	11	27	46	67	85	44	18	21	52	122	124	44	47	124						
-----	14.7%	16.0%	14.4%	15.0%	13.2%	9.7%	14.8%	14.8%	16.8%	18.7%	14.5%	8.9%	11.2%	18.7%	13.4%	15.0%	13.9%	17.1%	13.8%						
SOMEWHAT LIBERAL	145	42	42	36	24	10	22	40	53	72	35	16	15	43	100	106	33	42	98						
	12.1%	13.8%	11.0%	12.5%	12.3%	8.4%	12.2%	13.0%	13.4%	15.9%	11.3%	8.2%	8.1%	15.4%	11.0%	12.9%	10.3%	15.3%	10.9%						
STRONGLY LIBERAL	31	7	13	7	2	2	5	6	14	13	10	1	6	9	22	17	11	5	26						
	2.6%	2.2%	3.4%	2.5%	0.9%	1.3%	2.6%	1.8%	3.4%	2.8%	3.2%	0.7%	3.2%	3.2%	2.4%	2.1%	3.6%	1.8%	2.9%						
NO OPINION	122	48	43	13	8	19	23	23	17	39	38	20	11	23	96	72	38	22	89						
	10.2%	15.9%	11.3%	4.6%	4.1%	16.2%	12.9%	7.5%	4.4%	8.5%	12.4%	9.9%	5.7%	8.3%	10.6%	8.7%	11.9%	8.0%	9.9%						

Table 75-1

Q.42: IF GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER'S POSITION ON AN IMPORTANT STATE ISSUE DIFFERED FROM THAT OF LEADERS IN THE STATE LEGISLATURE, WHOSE POSITION WOULD YOU MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT - GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER'S OR THE LEADERS IN THE STATE LEGISLATURE?

	===== REGION=====												PARTY			LIKELIHOOD		VOTING METHOD		
	COUNTIES												REGISTRATION			OF VOTING		=====		
	TOTAL	REG	SO.	NO.	TAL	IN-	LOS	SAN	OTHER	CNTRL	S.F.	OTHER	DEMO-	REPUB	PART/	FEB.	NOV.	PRE-	ABS-	ABS-
VOTER	CA.	CA.	CA.	CNTY	CNTY	ANGLS	DIEGO	ORANG	SOUTH	VALLY	BAY	NORTH	CRAT	LICAN	OTHER	MARY	ELECN	VOTER	TEE	TEE
WEIGHTED BASE	579	343	236	410	169	146	50	56	84	96	118	30	245	198	136	392	460	298	263	159
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SCHWARZENEGGER	218	140	78	148	70	44	29	28	34	41	35	8	65	113	40	148	186	108	105	57
	37.6%	40.7%	33.1%	36.1%	41.3%	30.3%	57.1%	50.1%	40.4%	42.2%	30.1%	25.1%	26.5%	57.1%	29.1%	37.6%	40.4%	36.4%	39.8%	36.1%
LEGISLATIVE LEADERS	186	106	80	143	42	53	11	14	26	27	47	8	101	31	53	120	139	104	79	49
	32.1%	30.8%	34.0%	34.9%	25.2%	36.6%	21.4%	25.1%	31.0%	27.9%	39.6%	27.9%	41.4%	15.6%	39.4%	30.6%	30.3%	34.9%	30.0%	30.8%
NEITHER	77	41	36	50	27	22	2	8	7	17	14	6	38	24	15	51	57	37	38	22
	13.2%	11.9%	15.2%	12.1%	15.9%	15.1%	4.2%	15.0%	8.9%	17.6%	12.3%	18.5%	15.4%	12.1%	11.1%	13.0%	12.4%	12.3%	14.4%	13.8%
UNDECIDED	99	57	42	69	30	26	9	5	16	12	21	9	41	30	28	74	78	49	42	31
	17.0%	16.6%	17.7%	16.8%	17.5%	18.1%	17.3%	9.7%	19.7%	12.4%	18.1%	28.5%	16.6%	15.2%	20.4%	18.8%	16.9%	16.3%	15.9%	19.3%

Table 75-2

Q.42: IF GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER'S POSITION ON AN IMPORTANT STATE ISSUE DIFFERED FROM THAT OF LEADERS IN THE STATE LEGISLATURE, WHOSE POSITION WOULD YOU MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT - GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER'S OR THE LEADERS IN THE STATE LEGISLATURE?

TOTAL REG VOTER	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY					POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					MARITAL STATUS		
	MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	PLUS	WHITE HISPN	NON- TINO	LA- BLACK	ASIAN ONLY	CONSERVATV CNSRV	MDDLE CNSRV	LIBERAL ROAD	LIBERAL LIBRL	LIBERAL LIBRL	MRRD/ TOGTH	SEPRT LIVE	DVRC/ WIDOW	NEVER MARRD
WEIGHTED BASE	579	271	308	85	106	119	159	110	338	151	28	52	114	72	265	57	71	397	67	100
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SCHWARZENEGGER	218	120	98	24	30	49	63	52	148	31	10	21	69	36	94	6	13	160	25	28
	37.6%	44.4%	31.7%	28.6%	28.1%	41.5%	39.5%	46.9%	43.7%	20.9%	36.3%	40.7%	60.5%	50.3%	35.3%	11.0%	18.5%	40.4%	37.4%	28.3%
LEGISLATIVE LEADERS	186	76	110	41	40	40	44	21	87	73	11	14	17	18	87	30	35	112	22	46
	32.1%	28.1%	35.6%	48.3%	37.9%	33.7%	27.6%	18.7%	25.7%	48.2%	37.9%	27.6%	14.9%	24.9%	32.7%	51.6%	48.7%	28.2%	32.9%	46.2%
NEITHER	77	36	41	10	19	17	20	12	39	27	2	7	6	8	42	12	8	56	7	10
	13.2%	13.3%	13.2%	11.3%	18.0%	14.2%	12.3%	10.5%	11.6%	18.2%	9.0%	13.0%	5.7%	11.8%	15.6%	21.8%	10.9%	14.2%	10.8%	10.1%
UNDECIDED	99	38	60	10	17	13	33	26	64	19	5	10	22	9	43	9	16	68	13	15
	17.0%	14.2%	19.5%	11.9%	15.9%	10.6%	20.6%	23.9%	19.0%	12.7%	16.8%	18.7%	18.9%	13.0%	16.3%	15.5%	21.9%	17.1%	18.9%	15.4%

Table 75-3

Q.42: IF GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER'S POSITION ON AN IMPORTANT STATE ISSUE DIFFERED FROM THAT OF LEADERS IN THE STATE LEGISLATURE, WHOSE POSITION WOULD YOU MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT - GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER'S OR THE LEADERS IN THE STATE LEGISLATURE?

	EDUCATION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME				RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION										
	TOTAL	H.S.	SOME	POST	POST	UNDR	\$20K	\$40K	\$80K	PLUS	PROTS/	CATH-	OTHER	NO	CHRSTN	OLIC	RELIG	PREF	CHRISTIAN	HOME	AFFILIATED	YES	NO	OWNER	RENTN	YES	NO	
	REG	GRAD/	CLLG/	COLLG	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD
WEIGHTED BASE	579	151	179	138	93	52	89	154	176	210	144	96	99	137	434	392	154	141	420									
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SCHWARZENEGGER	218	50	69	43	48	17	29	48	88	94	54	32	30	63	150	168	38	41	171									
	37.6%	33.3%	38.8%	31.6%	51.3%	31.6%	32.3%	31.2%	49.7%	44.5%	37.8%	33.3%	29.8%	46.1%	34.6%	42.8%	24.8%	29.2%	40.8%									
LEGISLATIVE LEADERS	186	57	59	51	16	22	39	53	43	55	49	39	37	31	151	108	66	54	127									
	32.1%	37.7%	33.0%	37.3%	17.6%	42.9%	43.8%	34.8%	24.7%	26.1%	34.0%	40.2%	37.3%	22.7%	34.8%	27.7%	43.2%	38.6%	30.3%									
NEITHER	77	18	21	20	14	5	6	25	26	25	20	9	16	22	55	55	17	25	50									
	13.2%	11.8%	11.7%	14.9%	15.5%	9.7%	7.2%	16.3%	15.0%	11.7%	13.9%	8.9%	16.6%	16.1%	12.6%	14.2%	11.0%	17.5%	11.9%									
UNDECIDED	99	26	30	22	15	8	15	27	19	37	21	17	16	21	78	60	32	21	71									
	17.0%	17.3%	16.5%	16.3%	15.6%	15.8%	16.7%	17.7%	10.6%	17.6%	14.4%	17.6%	16.3%	15.0%	18.0%	15.3%	21.0%	14.7%	17.0%									

Table 76-1

Q.43: IN HIS DEALINGS WITH DEMOCRATIC LEADERS IN THE STATE LEGISLATURE, DO YOU THINK GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER DOES NOT COMPROMISE ENOUGH, COMPROMISES TOO MUCH, OR COMPROMISES ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT?

	===== REGION=====												PARTY			LIKELIHOOD		VOTING METHOD		
	COUNTIES												REGISTRATION			OF VOTING		=====		
	TOTAL	REG	SO.	NO.	TAL	IN-	LOS	SAN	OTHER	CNTRL	S.F.	OTHER	DEMO-	REPUB	PART/	FEB.	NOV.	PRE-	ABS-	ABS-
VOTER	CA.	CA.	CA.	CNTY	CNTY	ANGLS	DIEGO	ORANG	SOUTH	VALLY	BAY	NORTH	CRAT	LICAN	OTHER	MARY	ELECN	VOTER	VOTER	VOTER
WEIGHTED BASE	579	343	236	410	169	146	50	56	84	96	118	30	245	198	136	392	460	298	263	159
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
DOESN'T COMPROMISE ENOUGH	126	75	52	96	31	44	6	9	14	17	28	8	80	10	37	82	99	73	52	33
	21.8%	21.7%	22.0%	23.3%	18.2%	30.1%	12.9%	16.1%	17.2%	17.2%	23.9%	26.9%	32.6%	5.1%	26.9%	21.0%	21.4%	24.4%	19.7%	20.8%
COMPROMISES TOO MUCH	108	68	40	75	32	27	12	11	15	22	19	1	29	57	22	79	92	52	49	30
	18.6%	19.7%	17.0%	18.4%	19.1%	18.6%	24.8%	20.4%	17.6%	23.3%	15.8%	3.1%	11.7%	28.6%	16.4%	20.1%	20.0%	17.4%	18.4%	18.5%
ABOUT RIGHT AMOUNT	256	150	105	172	84	54	26	22	42	47	47	17	104	99	53	171	203	130	120	71
	44.2%	43.8%	44.6%	41.9%	49.8%	37.3%	52.9%	39.1%	50.7%	48.7%	39.7%	56.8%	42.3%	49.8%	39.2%	43.7%	44.0%	43.6%	45.7%	44.6%
NO OPINION	89	51	39	67	22	20	5	14	12	10	24	4	33	33	24	59	67	44	43	26
	15.4%	14.7%	16.4%	16.4%	13.0%	14.0%	9.4%	24.3%	14.4%	10.8%	20.6%	13.2%	13.4%	16.5%	17.5%	15.2%	14.6%	14.7%	16.2%	16.1%

Table 76-2

Q.43: IN HIS DEALINGS WITH DEMOCRATIC LEADERS IN THE STATE LEGISLATURE, DO YOU THINK GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER DOES NOT COMPROMISE ENOUGH, COMPROMISES TOO MUCH, OR COMPROMISES ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT?

	TOTAL REG VOTER	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY				POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					MARITAL STATUS			
		MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	PLUS	WHITE	NON- HISPANIC	LA- TINO	BLACK	ASIAN ONLY	CONSERVATV CNSRV	MDDLE MODER	LIBERAL ROAD	LIBERAL LIBRL	MRRD/ TOGTH	SEPRT LIVE	DVRC/ WIDOW	NEVER MARRD
BASE: FORM "A" ONLY	579	271	308	85	106	119	159	110	338	151	28	52	114	72	265	57	71	397	67	100	
WEIGHTED BASE	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
DOESN'T COMPROMISE ENOUGH	126	47	79	23	28	29	32	14	57	54	5	8	13	8	56	24	25	76	12	35	
	21.8%	17.5%	25.6%	27.3%	26.9%	24.5%	19.9%	12.6%	16.9%	35.6%	18.0%	15.7%	11.3%	11.6%	21.2%	42.4%	34.6%	19.2%	17.8%	35.0%	
COMPROMISES TOO MUCH	108	64	44	15	18	25	29	20	68	24	5	7	43	20	36	5	3	80	11	14	
	18.6%	23.5%	14.2%	17.5%	17.1%	20.8%	18.5%	18.7%	20.3%	15.8%	17.8%	12.7%	38.2%	27.7%	13.5%	9.2%	4.6%	20.3%	16.1%	14.0%	
ABOUT RIGHT AMOUNT	256	118	137	28	42	55	76	55	160	54	12	27	45	31	126	18	35	180	32	35	
	44.2%	43.7%	44.6%	33.5%	39.3%	46.1%	47.7%	49.9%	47.5%	35.7%	42.1%	52.5%	39.4%	43.3%	47.6%	32.2%	49.5%	45.3%	48.2%	35.4%	
NO OPINION	89	41	48	19	18	10	22	21	52	19	6	10	13	12	47	9	8	60	12	16	
	15.4%	15.3%	15.5%	21.8%	16.7%	8.5%	13.9%	18.8%	15.3%	12.9%	22.2%	19.1%	11.1%	17.3%	17.7%	16.2%	11.3%	15.2%	17.9%	15.6%	

Table 76-3

Q.43: IN HIS DEALINGS WITH DEMOCRATIC LEADERS IN THE STATE LEGISLATURE, DO YOU THINK GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER DOES NOT COMPROMISE ENOUGH, COMPROMISES TOO MUCH, OR COMPROMISES ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT?

	EDUCATION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME				RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION				
	TOTAL	H.S.	SOME	POST	POST	UNDR	\$20K	\$40K	\$80K	PLUS	PROTS/	CATH-	OTHER	NO	CHRISTIAN	CHRISTIAN	HOME	OWNER	RENTR	AFFILIATED	AFFILIATED	
	REG	GRAD/	CLLG/	COLLG	GRAD	\$20K	\$39K	\$79K	PLUS	CHRSTN	OLIC	RELIG	PREF	YES	NO	OWNER	RENTR	YES	NO	YES	NO	
	VOTER	LESS	TRADE	GRAD	WORK	\$20K	\$39K	\$79K	PLUS	CHRSTN	OLIC	RELIG	PREF	YES	NO	OWNER	RENTR	YES	NO	YES	NO	
BASE: FORM "A" ONLY																						
WEIGHTED BASE	579	151	179	138	93	52	89	154	176	210	144	96	99	137	434	392	154	141	420			
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
DOESN'T COMPROMISE ENOUGH	126	38	45	29	13	16	25	32	31	30	40	27	21	22	102	67	50	38	84	21.8%	25.4%	
	21.8%	25.4%	25.0%	20.9%	13.8%	31.5%	28.4%	20.7%	17.8%	14.4%	27.8%	28.3%	20.7%	16.1%	23.6%	17.2%	32.5%	27.2%	20.0%			
COMPROMISES TOO MUCH	108	27	37	26	16	8	13	25	41	42	29	18	12	32	73	84	19	27	77	18.6%	17.8%	
	18.6%	17.8%	20.9%	18.6%	16.6%	16.2%	14.5%	16.0%	23.4%	20.2%	20.5%	19.2%	12.2%	23.6%	16.9%	21.4%	12.3%	18.8%	18.3%			
ABOUT RIGHT AMOUNT	256	59	74	61	50	14	41	71	86	104	56	38	48	63	191	187	57	58	191	44.2%	38.9%	
	44.2%	38.9%	41.3%	44.5%	54.0%	26.7%	46.5%	46.1%	49.0%	49.5%	38.8%	39.7%	48.0%	45.9%	44.0%	47.7%	37.2%	40.9%	45.5%			
NO OPINION	89	27	23	22	15	13	9	26	17	33	19	12	19	20	68	54	28	18	68	15.4%	17.9%	
	15.4%	17.9%	12.8%	16.0%	15.6%	25.7%	10.6%	17.2%	9.8%	15.9%	13.0%	12.8%	19.1%	14.4%	15.6%	13.8%	18.0%	13.1%	16.1%			