

FIELD

RESEARCH

CORPORATION

FOUNDED IN 1945 BY MERVIN FIELD

601 California Street, Suite 900 • San Francisco, California 94108 • 415-392-5763

**Tabulations From a Survey of the Presidential Preferences of Likely
Voters in the California Democratic Primary**

*- prepared for -
Capitol Alert*

December 2007

Introduction

This volume presents the statistical data developed from a *Field Poll* survey of the presidential preferences of likely voters in the California Democratic primary completed December 10-17, 2007. *The Field Poll* is an independent and non-partisan survey of public opinion in California established in 1945 by Mervin Field. Reports of *The Field Poll*, typically published 30-50 times per year, cover a wide range of political, and political topics. Many of California's leading media properties and news organizations publish and broadcast the results of each *Field Poll* report as feature or breaking news stories in their respective publications and broadcasts. All new and previous reports since 1995 are available to the public through its online archives at www.field.com/fieldpollonline. *The Field Poll* is owned and operated by Field Research Corporation, with headquarters in San Francisco, California.

The results contained in this report are based on a *Field Poll* survey completed among a representative sample of 1,283 registered voters statewide, including 543 Democrats, 439 Republicans and 301 voters registered as decline to state or with another party. Democratic primary preferences are based on 457 voters likely to vote in the California Democratic primary for president. Some of the questions in the survey were asked of likely voters in the November general election, which included 1,053 voters. All interviewing was conducted during the period December 10-17, 2007 by telephone.

Sample Frame

Voters in the survey were selected randomly from a listing of California's statewide voter registration rolls. The list used by Field provides a telephone number for over 90% of the state's registered voters. These telephone numbers come from a variety of sources, including telephone numbers included by the voter on the his/her official voter registration form, as well as through cross-referencing voter names and addresses against recent telephone directories and other telephone matching services. While the telephone numbers are primarily landline telephone numbers, these phone listings also include cell phones, whenever a cell phone number is provided by the voter when registering or in other settings accessible through telephone matching services. Thus, cell phones are not systematically excluded from the sample frame. Special procedures were used in the survey when contacting voters on their cell phones to include them into the survey sample.

Data Collection

Prior to the start of data collection, professionally-trained telephone interviewers were briefed with regard to the survey's proper calling and interviewing procedures by the Study Director. This session provided both interviewers and supervisors with an overview of the study and includes a question-by-question review of all items in the survey.

Interviewers then completed all survey interviews by telephone through the computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) system. CATI controls the telephone scripts read to individual respondents by displaying the appropriate questionnaire items and their valid response code alternatives in their proper sequence on computer screens at each interviewer's booth. The interviewer then reads each question aloud to the respondent from the screen and enters each respondent's pre-coded answer category through the keyboard directly to a computer disk. All answers are automatically stored in computer memory.

In order to bring hard-to-reach respondents into the survey, up to eight attempts (an initial call plus seven callbacks) were made to each telephone number selected for inclusion into the sample. Callbacks were made at different times and on different days to increase the probability of finding adults available for the interview. Where possible, appointments are made at specified dates and times to maximize convenience and cooperation rates.

Data Processing

Because CATI itself provides for the direct data entry of responses by the interviewer and does not permit ineligible or invalid data entries, the data file resulting from CATI interviewing is itself virtually error-free.

Following this, a final series of data checks were performed by means of a specially designed cleaning program that scrutinizes each respondent record for internally inconsistent information. Once the data were determined to be clean and error-free, the sample was weighted to align it to known estimates of the demographic and regional characteristics of the state's registered voter population.

Guide to Reading the Tables

The following is an explanation of the detailed statistical tabulations contained in this report:

- The question or questions upon which the data are based is shown at the top of each table
- Tables are percentaged vertically with the raw percentage base appearing at the top of each column.
- The data have been weighted to known parameters of the statewide registered voter population. All percentages and frequencies reported in each table are therefore weighted tabulations.
- In instances where percentages are calculated on small bases (e.g., when the unweighted base is fewer than 100 respondents) the reader is urged to interpret the data with caution, since results are subject to relatively large levels of sampling error.
- Throughout the tables an asterisk is used to denote a value of less than 1/2 of 1%. A hyphen indicates zero value. On some tables the percentages may add to more than 100% due to multiple mentions.
- Bases of subgroups used in the tabulations may add to less than the total number of respondents due to some respondents not reporting that characteristic.

Subgroup Definitions

The following are some of the definitions applicable to some of the voter subgroups reported in this volume:

Area

Southern California: Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Imperial, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Kern, and San Luis Obispo counties

Northern California: all other 48 California counties

Coastal Counties: San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Mateo, San Francisco, Contra Costa, Alameda, Marin, Napa, Solano, Sonoma, Santa Clara, Mendocino, Humboldt and Del Norte counties

Inland counties: all other 38 California counties

Region

Los Angeles: Los Angeles County

San Diego: San Diego County

Orange: Orange County

Other South: San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial, Ventura, Santa Barbara, and San Luis Obispo

Central Valley: Butte, Colusa, Fresno, Glenn, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Placer, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehema, Tulare, Yolo, and Yuba

SF Bay Area: San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara and San Mateo

Other North: Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Del Norte, El Dorado, Humboldt, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Monterey, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Benito, Santa Cruz, Sierra, Siskiyou, Trinity, and Tuolumne

All other subgroups are based on demographic variables or are derived from questions asked in the survey.

Estimates of Sampling Error

In any survey based on a sampling, there is some sampling error introduced into the data by the process of sampling itself. When the sample has been drawn using random processes, it is possible to apply probability principles to determine the potential range of such error. While survey samples of human populations rarely, if ever, meet all of the criteria theoretically required for the application of these principles, it is customary to use them as an approximation of error that is introduced as a result of sampling. The table below shows the range of error that is associated with samples of various sizes, assuming the use of the 95% confidence level, which is customary for most public opinion surveys.

For example, if 50% of a sample of overall sample of 457 likely voters in the California Democratic primary for president answered “yes” to a specific question, this statistic would have a sampling error of plus or minus 4.8 percentage points at the 95% confidence level. This means that there is a 95% chance that had the entire population of registered voters statewide been interviewed using the same questionnaire and methods, the results of such a census would yield a result between 45.2% and 54.8%. The same procedure can be used to estimate the sample error ranges of any other statistic contained in this report.

<u>Approximate sample size</u>	<u>Approximate percentage distribution of replies to question</u>				
	<u>10%</u>	<u>30%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>70%</u>	<u>90%</u>
100	+/- 6.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 10.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 6.0
200	+/- 4.3	+/- 6.5	+/- 7.1	+/- 6.5	+/- 4.3
300	+/- 3.5	+/- 5.3	+/- 5.8	+/- 5.3	+/- 3.5
500	+/- 2.7	+/- 4.1	+/- 4.5	+/- 4.1	+/- 2.7
1000	+/- 1.9	+/- 2.9	+/- 3.2	+/- 2.9	+/- 1.9

There are many other possible sources of error other than sampling variability in this and any other public opinion survey. Different results could occur because of differences in question wording, sequencing, the rigor with which sampling procedures were implemented, or through undetected errors or omissions in sampling, interviewing or data processing. The Field professionals working on this study did everything possible to minimize such errors.

Questions Asked

(ASKED OF LIKELY VOTERS IN THE CALIFORNIA DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY ELECTION)

I am going to read the names of some Democrats who are running for the Democratic presidential nomination next year. For each, please tell me whether there would be a good chance, some chance or no chance that you would vote for that person in California's presidential primary election. You may name as many or as few persons as you like as people you would be inclined to vote for. (NAMES AND TITLES OF CANDIDATES READ IN RANDOM ORDER) I am going to read back the names of the candidates you said you would have at least some chance of voting for. (NAMES OF CANDIDATES READ BACK) Of these persons, who would be your first choice if the California Democratic primary election for President were being held today? (IF FIRST CHOICE GIVEN) Who would be your second choice? (SEE TABULATIONS FOR CANDIDATES READ)

Let's take three of the Democratic candidates – Hillary Clinton, Barack Obama and John Edwards. Which of the three do you think has the best chance of being elected President if he or she were to become the Democratic Party's nominee – Clinton, Obama or Edwards?

(ASKED OF LIKELY VOTERS IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION)

Generally speaking, do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of Hillary Clinton?

Generally speaking, do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of Barack Obama?

If Hillary Clinton were to be the Democratic nominee for President, would you definitely vote for her in the general election for president, would you consider voting for her, or would you definitely not vote for her?

If Barack Obama were to be the Democratic nominee for President, would you definitely vote for him in the general election for president, would you consider voting for him, or would you definitely not vote for him?

Tables

Table 29-1

Q.22A: I AM GOING TO READ BACK THE NAMES OF THE CANDIDATES YOU SAID YOU WOULD HAVE AT LEAST SOME CHANCE OF VOTING FOR. OF THESE PERSONS, WHO WOULD BE YOUR FIRST CHOICE IF THE CALIFORNIA DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT WERE BEING HELD TODAY? BASED TO LIKELY VOTERS IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY

	===== REGION=====												PARTY REGISTRATION	LIKELIHOOD OF VOTING			VOTING METHOD			
	TOTAL REG VOTER		COS- TAL CA.		IN- LAND CA.		AREA							NON- PART/ OTHER	FEB. PRI- MARY	NOV. GENRL ELEC	PRE- CINT VOTER	TOTAL ABS- VOTER	PERM. ABS- VOTER	
	SO.	NO.	TAL	IN-	LOS	SAN	OTHER	CNTRL	S.F.	OTHER	DEM-	REPUB	CRAT	LICAN	OTHER	MARY	ELEC	VOTER	ABS-	ABS-
	CA.	CA.	CNTY	CNTY	ANGLS	DIEGO	ORANG	SOUTH	VALLY	BAY	NORTH									
WEIGHTED BASE	457	252	205	353	104	126	30	30	56	70	123	21	399	-	58	457	444	255	196	133
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
HILLARY CLINTON, NEW YORK SENATOR	167	93	73	132	34	48	11	9	22	21	48	7	151	-	15	167	162	86	79	52
	36.4%	37.0%	35.6%	37.4%	32.9%	37.7%	36.9%	31.2%	39.6%	30.1%	38.9%	33.7%	37.9%		26.5%	36.4%	36.6%	33.6%	40.1%	38.9%
BARACK OBAMA, ILLINOIS SENATOR	101	50	51	79	21	27	8	3	12	16	29	6	84	-	17	101	99	53	47	33
	22.0%	19.7%	24.9%	22.5%	20.5%	21.1%	27.6%	9.8%	21.2%	23.4%	23.5%	26.4%	20.9%		29.6%	22.0%	22.4%	20.8%	23.8%	25.1%
JOHN EDWARDS, FORMER NORTH CAROLINA SENATOR	57	29	28	41	16	13	1	8	4	12	16	3	52	-	5	57	56	33	24	17
	12.5%	11.4%	13.7%	11.5%	15.7%	10.4%	4.5%	25.0%	7.7%	17.0%	12.8%	13.4%	13.0%		8.7%	12.5%	12.7%	12.8%	12.1%	13.1%
BILL RICHARDSON, NEW MEXICO GOVERNOR	15	12	3	11	4	7	2	1	2	2	1	1	12	-	3	15	14	12	3	2
	3.3%	4.6%	1.7%	3.1%	3.6%	5.4%	5.1%	3.2%	4.0%	2.8%	0.6%	3.1%	3.0%		4.8%	3.3%	3.2%	4.5%	1.7%	1.6%
JOE BIDEN DELAWARE SENATOR	14	5	9	11	3	2	1	1	1	1	6	1	10	-	4	14	14	5	8	6
	3.0%	2.0%	4.2%	3.1%	2.8%	1.8%	3.3%	3.0%	1.6%	2.1%	4.8%	6.0%	2.5%		6.5%	3.0%	3.1%	2.1%	4.2%	4.8%
DENNIS KUCINICH, OHIO CONGRESSMAN	9	6	3	7	2	2	1	2	-	2	2	-	5	-	4	9	9	6	4	2
	2.0%	2.3%	1.7%	2.0%	2.1%	1.9%	2.5%	5.9%		3.1%	1.7%		1.4%		6.6%	2.0%	2.1%	2.2%	1.9%	1.6%
CHRIS DODD, CONNECTICUT SENATOR	5	3	2	5	-	1	-	2	1	-	2	-	5	-	-	5	4	5	1	-
	1.2%	1.3%	1.0%	1.5%		0.5%		5.3%	1.8%		1.7%		1.3%			1.2%	0.8%	1.8%	0.3%	
MIKE GRAVEL, FORMER ALASKA SENATOR	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-
	0.2%	0.3%		0.2%				2.4%					0.2%			0.2%	0.2%	0.3%		
UNDECIDED	89	54	35	66	23	27	6	4	13	15	20	4	79	-	10	89	85	56	31	20
	19.5%	21.3%	17.2%	18.6%	22.4%	21.1%	20.1%	14.3%	24.1%	21.5%	16.0%	17.4%	19.8%		17.4%	19.5%	19.0%	21.9%	15.8%	15.0%

Table 29-2

Q.22A: I AM GOING TO READ BACK THE NAMES OF THE CANDIDATES YOU SAID YOU WOULD HAVE AT LEAST SOME CHANCE OF VOTING FOR. OF THESE PERSONS, WHO WOULD BE YOUR FIRST CHOICE IF THE CALIFORNIA DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT WERE BEING HELD TODAY? BASED TO LIKELY VOTERS IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY

TOTAL REG VOTER	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY					POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					MARITAL STATUS		
	MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 PLUS	WHITE NON- HISPN	LA- TINO	BLACK	ASIAN /OTHR	CONSERVATV STRNG CNSRV	MDDLE OF CNSRV	LIBERAL THE MODER LIBRL	LIBERAL STRNG LIBRL	MRRD/ LIVE TOGTH	SEPRT DVRG/ WIDOW	NEVER MARRD		
WEIGHTED BASE	457	194	264	40	74	92	149	103	289	112	30	31	33	32	207	74	112	303	74	76
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
HILLARY CLINTON, NEW YORK SENATOR	167	58	108	12	25	31	53	46	99	46	8	14	11	11	78	21	45	101	32	33
	36.4%	30.0%	41.1%	31.5%	33.5%	33.5%	35.3%	44.6%	34.3%	41.6%	27.6%	44.0%	34.1%	35.8%	37.7%	28.0%	40.3%	33.3%	43.1%	43.7%
BARACK OBAMA, ILLINOIS SENATOR	101	46	54	13	19	21	36	12	62	24	11	6	3	1	48	27	22	69	14	16
	22.0%	24.0%	20.6%	32.1%	26.0%	22.7%	24.3%	11.4%	21.4%	21.8%	38.2%	20.4%	10.1%	3.3%	23.0%	36.1%	19.8%	22.7%	18.4%	21.3%
JOHN EDWARDS, FORMER NORTH CAROLINA SENATOR	57	33	24	4	5	14	25	10	43	8	1	4	6	3	21	9	17	41	8	8
	12.5%	17.2%	9.0%	9.3%	6.5%	15.2%	16.6%	9.6%	14.9%	7.5%	4.1%	13.8%	18.9%	10.5%	10.1%	12.7%	15.3%	13.6%	10.6%	10.5%
BILL RICHARDSON, NEW MEXICO GOVERNOR	15	6	9	3	3	2	4	3	10	5	-	-	-	3	6	1	6	9	2	4
	3.3%	3.1%	3.4%	7.1%	4.0%	2.2%	2.5%	3.4%	3.4%	4.6%	-	-	-	8.3%	2.8%	0.8%	5.3%	3.0%	2.7%	5.0%
JOE BIDEN DELAWARE SENATOR	14	4	9	1	3	2	4	4	12	2	-	-	2	2	8	-	2	10	2	2
	3.0%	2.3%	3.5%	2.5%	3.9%	1.9%	2.5%	4.3%	4.2%	1.5%	-	-	5.4%	6.1%	3.7%	-	2.2%	3.4%	2.2%	2.2%
DENNIS KUCINICH, OHIO CONGRESSMAN	9	5	4	-	1	5	3	1	7	2	-	1	2	1	1	1	5	7	2	-
	2.0%	2.6%	1.6%	-	1.0%	5.1%	2.0%	0.8%	2.4%	1.4%	-	2.6%	6.7%	2.5%	0.4%	1.1%	4.1%	2.5%	2.4%	-
CHRIS DODD, CONNECTICUT SENATOR	5	3	2	2	2	-	1	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	-	1	5
	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%	4.0%	2.7%	-	0.5%	1.0%	1.8%	-	-	-	-	-	1.6%	2.8%	-	-	0.9%	6.1%
MIKE GRAVEL, FORMER ALASKA SENATOR	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
	0.2%	0.4%	-	-	-	-	-	0.7%	-	-	-	2.3%	-	-	0.3%	-	-	0.2%	-	-
UNDECIDED	89	37	53	5	17	18	24	25	51	24	9	5	8	11	42	14	15	64	15	9
	19.5%	18.9%	19.9%	13.5%	22.4%	19.5%	16.4%	24.2%	17.6%	21.6%	30.0%	17.0%	24.9%	33.4%	20.4%	18.4%	13.0%	21.2%	19.7%	11.3%

Table 29-3

Q.22A: I AM GOING TO READ BACK THE NAMES OF THE CANDIDATES YOU SAID YOU WOULD HAVE AT LEAST SOME CHANCE OF VOTING FOR. OF THESE PERSONS, WHO WOULD BE YOUR FIRST CHOICE IF THE CALIFORNIA DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT WERE BEING HELD TODAY? BASED TO LIKELY VOTERS IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY

	EDUCATION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME				RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION	
	TOTAL	H.S. REG	SOME GRAD/ LESS	COLLG/ TRADE	POST GRAD WORK	UNDR \$20K	\$20K \$39K	\$40K \$79K	\$80K PLUS	PROTS/ CHRSTN	CATH- OLIC	OTHER RELIG	NO PREF	CHRISTIAN	NO	HOME OWNER	RENTR	YES	NO
BASE: LIKELY VOTERS IN DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY	457	93	150	120	93	39	69	119	171	132	116	83	107	67	387	343	105	140	314
WEIGHTED BASE	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
HILLARY CLINTON, NEW YORK SENATOR	167	42	58	35	30	19	30	49	46	40	50	38	31	23	142	123	39	40	124
	36.4%	45.5%	38.8%	29.5%	32.3%	49.5%	44.2%	40.6%	26.6%	30.0%	43.3%	46.0%	29.3%	34.7%	36.8%	35.9%	37.7%	28.9%	39.6%
BARACK OBAMA, ILLINOIS SENATOR	101	8	36	37	19	5	14	19	51	31	16	19	34	15	86	72	25	34	66
	22.0%	8.8%	23.9%	30.6%	20.5%	14.1%	20.0%	16.2%	29.6%	23.3%	13.7%	22.3%	32.0%	22.3%	22.2%	21.0%	24.3%	24.4%	20.9%
JOHN EDWARDS, FORMER NORTH CAROLINA SENATOR	57	11	16	13	16	7	3	13	29	21	10	9	12	9	47	46	11	19	38
	12.5%	12.2%	10.7%	11.1%	17.6%	17.1%	4.1%	10.6%	17.1%	16.0%	8.3%	11.2%	11.0%	13.7%	12.2%	13.5%	10.4%	13.7%	12.0%
BILL RICHARDSON, NEW MEXICO GOVERNOR	15	4	3	5	2	2	3	5	5	2	5	2	6	3	11	11	4	7	8
	3.3%	4.8%	1.8%	4.5%	2.6%	4.9%	4.8%	4.0%	2.9%	1.6%	4.4%	2.1%	5.6%	5.1%	3.0%	3.2%	3.6%	4.8%	2.6%
JOE BIDEN DELAWARE SENATOR	14	4	2	3	5	-	3	5	2	5	5	2	1	-	13	11	2	4	10
	3.0%	4.5%	1.3%	2.3%	5.1%		4.9%	4.6%	0.9%	3.8%	4.5%	2.7%	1.2%	0.5%	3.5%	3.3%	2.2%	3.0%	3.0%
DENNIS KUCINICH, OHIO CONGRESSMAN	9	2	3	1	4	-	-	4	6	2	3	1	2	3	6	7	2	3	6
	2.0%	1.8%	1.7%	1.2%	3.9%			3.1%	3.2%	1.6%	2.9%	1.0%	2.0%	4.4%	1.6%	2.2%	1.7%	2.2%	2.0%
CHRIS DODD, CONNECTICUT SENATOR	5	2	-	1	3	-	2	2	-	2	-	-	3	2	4	3	3	-	5
	1.2%	1.7%		0.6%	3.3%		2.3%	1.7%		1.7%			2.9%	2.4%	1.0%	0.8%	2.6%		1.7%
MIKE GRAVEL, FORMER ALASKA SENATOR	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	1
	0.2%			0.6%						0.5%					0.2%	0.2%			0.2%
UNDECIDED	89	19	33	24	14	6	14	23	34	28	27	12	17	11	76	68	18	32	56
	19.5%	20.8%	21.7%	19.6%	14.7%	14.4%	19.7%	19.1%	19.8%	21.4%	22.9%	14.7%	16.1%	16.9%	19.6%	19.8%	17.5%	23.0%	17.9%

Table 30-4

Q.22B: WHO WOULD BE YOUR SECOND CHOICE?
 BASED TO LIKELY VOTERS IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY

Q.22A: I AM GOING TO READ BACK THE NAMES OF THE CANDIDATES YOU SAID YOU WOULD HAVE AT
 LEAST SOME CHANCE OF VOTING FOR. OF THESE PERSONS, WHO WOULD BE YOUR FIRST CHOICE IF THE
 CALIFORNIA DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT WERE BEING HELD TODAY?

=====

TOTAL	JOE BIDEN	HILLARY CLINTON	CHRIS DODD	JOHN EDWARDS	MIKE GRAVEL	DENNIS KUCINICH	BARACK OBAMA	BILL RICHARDSON	UN- DECIDED
-------	--------------	--------------------	---------------	-----------------	----------------	--------------------	-----------------	--------------------	----------------

BASE: LIKELY VOTERS IN
 DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY

WEIGHTED BASE	457 100%	14 100%	167 100%	5 100%	57 100%	1 100%	9 100%	101 100%	15 100%	89 100%
BARACK OBAMA, ILLINOIS SENATOR	109 24%	-	80 48%	2 38%	23 40%	-	-	-	5 32%	-
HILLARY CLINTON, NEW YORK SENATOR	87 19%	4 32%	-	2 30%	14 24%	-	1 8%	46 45%	3 23%	17 20%
JOHN EDWARDS, FORMER NORTH CAROLINA SENATOR	71 15%	3 21%	33 20%	-	-	-	2 23%	26 26%	5 31%	2 2%
BILL RICHARDSON, NEW MEXICO GOVERNOR	24 5%	4 28%	8 5%	-	6 11%	-	2 26%	4 4%	-	-
JOE BIDEN DELAWARE SENATOR	13 3%	-	5 3%	-	3 6%	-	-	4 4%	-	-
DENNIS KUCINICH, OHIO CONGRESSMAN	10 2%	-	4 2%	-	2 3%	-	-	4 4%	1 5%	-
CHRIS DODD, CONNECTICUT SENATOR	3 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 5%	-
MIKE GRAVEL, FORMER ALASKA SENATOR	3 1%	-	1 *	-	-	-	2 19%	-	-	-
UNDECIDED	139 30%	3 19%	36 21%	2 32%	9 16%	1 100%	2 24%	16 16%	1 4%	70 78%

Table 201-1

Q.22X: LET'S TAKE THREE OF THE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATES - HILLARY CLINTON, BARACK OBAMA AND JOHN EDWARDS. WHICH OF THE THREE DO YOU THINK HAS THE BEST CHANCE OF BEING ELECTED PRESIDENT IF HE OR SHE WERE TO BECOME THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY'S NOMINEE - CLINTON, OBAMA OR EDWARDS? BASED TO LIKELY VOTERS IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY

	===== REGION=====												PARTY REGISTRATION	LIKELIHOOD OF VOTING			VOTING METHOD					
	COUNTIES						AREA							=====			=====					
	TOTAL REG VOTER	SO. CA.	NO. CA.	COS- TAL CNTY	IN- LAND CNTY	LOS ANGLS	SAN DIEGO	ORANG	OTHER SOUTH	CNTRL VALLY	S.F. BAY	OTHER NORTH		DEMO- CRAT	REPUB LICAN	PART/ OTHER	NON- MARY	FEB. ELEC	NOV. GENRL ELEC	PRE- CINT VOTER	ABSN- TEE VOTER	ABSN- TEE VOTER
BASE: LIKELY VOTERS IN DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY																						
WEIGHTED BASE	457 100%	252 100%	205 100%	353 100%	104 100%	126 100%	30 100%	30 100%	56 100%	70 100%	123 100%	21 100%	399 100%	-	58 100%	457 100%	444 100%	255 100%	196 100%	133 100%		
CLINTON	240 52.4%	135 53.4%	105 51.3%	194 54.9%	46 44.0%	63 50.1%	16 51.4%	21 68.3%	31 55.9%	32 45.3%	67 54.3%	10 48.9%	213 53.5%	-	27 45.5%	240 52.4%	235 52.9%	124 48.8%	112 57.1%	78 58.9%		
OBAMA	84 18.4%	48 18.9%	36 17.7%	64 18.0%	20 19.4%	29 22.9%	7 24.2%	3 10.2%	8 15.0%	12 17.5%	17 13.8%	7 32.8%	71 17.8%	-	13 21.9%	84 18.4%	81 18.2%	53 20.9%	31 15.6%	23 16.9%		
EDWARDS	73 16.0%	36 14.2%	38 18.3%	55 15.7%	18 17.2%	19 15.3%	4 13.4%	3 9.6%	6 11.0%	13 19.0%	26 21.2%	1 6.0%	62 15.5%	-	11 19.3%	73 16.0%	70 15.8%	43 16.7%	29 14.9%	18 13.7%		
ALL (VOLUNTEERED)	7 1.6%	3 1.3%	4 2.0%	2 0.6%	5 5.0%	1 0.5%	-	1 2.5%	2 3.7%	3 4.5%	1 0.7%	-	7 1.6%	-	1 1.5%	7 1.6%	7 1.7%	5 1.8%	3 1.5%	2 1.5%		
NONE (VOLUNTEERED)	8 1.7%	5 2.1%	3 1.3%	5 1.5%	3 2.6%	2 1.8%	-	1 2.5%	1 2.6%	2 3.3%	1 1.0%	-	8 2.0%	-	-	8 1.7%	8 1.8%	5 1.9%	3 1.5%	2 1.7%		
NO OPINION	45 9.8%	26 10.1%	19 9.4%	33 9.3%	12 11.7%	12 9.4%	3 11.0%	2 7.0%	7 11.9%	7 10.5%	11 8.9%	3 12.2%	38 9.5%	-	7 11.8%	45 9.8%	43 9.6%	25 9.9%	18 9.3%	10 7.2%		

Table 201-2

Q.22X: LET'S TAKE THREE OF THE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATES - HILLARY CLINTON, BARACK OBAMA AND JOHN EDWARDS. WHICH OF THE THREE DO YOU THINK HAS THE BEST CHANCE OF BEING ELECTED PRESIDENT IF HE OR SHE WERE TO BECOME THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY'S NOMINEE - CLINTON, OBAMA OR EDWARDS? BASED TO LIKELY VOTERS IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY

TOTAL REG VOTER	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY					POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					MARITAL STATUS		
	MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 PLUS	WHITE NON-HISP	LA-TINO	BLACK	ASIAN /OTHR	STRNG CNSRV	MIDDLE MODER CNSRV	LIBERAL THE MODER	STRNG LIBRL	LIBRL	MRRD/ LIVE TOGTH	SEPRT/ NEVER MARRD		
WEIGHTED BASE	457	194	264	40	74	92	149	103	289	112	30	31	33	32	207	74	112	303	74	76
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
CLINTON	240	94	145	14	39	56	68	63	154	63	11	12	19	16	112	32	60	161	38	39
	52.4%	48.7%	55.2%	35.1%	53.1%	60.7%	45.4%	61.5%	53.2%	56.8%	37.3%	39.7%	57.8%	51.0%	54.4%	43.9%	53.2%	53.2%	51.4%	50.8%
OBAMA	84	41	43	12	16	10	32	14	50	20	9	7	4	3	32	19	26	54	14	15
	18.4%	21.3%	16.2%	31.4%	21.1%	10.5%	21.7%	13.5%	17.2%	18.3%	32.0%	23.8%	12.4%	9.3%	15.6%	25.4%	23.2%	18.0%	19.1%	20.0%
EDWARDS	73	31	42	8	12	15	25	13	47	15	4	7	4	5	34	14	17	47	15	9
	16.0%	16.2%	15.9%	19.4%	16.8%	16.5%	16.5%	13.1%	16.3%	13.5%	14.2%	21.8%	12.0%	15.6%	16.2%	18.5%	15.3%	15.6%	20.0%	12.0%
ALL (VOLUNTEERED)	7	2	5	-	-	2	3	2	5	1	2	-	1	1	4	1	1	5	3	-
	1.6%	1.2%	2.0%			2.4%	2.1%	2.1%	1.6%	0.7%	7.0%		2.7%	2.4%	2.0%	1.5%	0.5%	1.6%	3.6%	
NONE (VOLUNTEERED)	8	4	4	-	1	1	3	3	4	4	-	-	1	5	1	-	-	5	1	2
	1.7%	2.1%	1.5%		1.2%	0.9%	2.3%	2.8%	1.2%	3.9%			4.3%	16.1%	0.5%	0.6%		1.7%	1.6%	2.2%
NO OPINION	45	20	25	6	6	8	18	7	30	8	3	5	4	2	23	7	9	30	3	11
	9.8%	10.5%	9.3%	14.0%	7.9%	9.0%	12.1%	7.0%	10.4%	6.8%	9.5%	14.6%	10.8%	5.6%	11.3%	10.1%	7.7%	10.0%	4.2%	15.0%

Table 201-3

Q.22X: LET'S TAKE THREE OF THE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATES - HILLARY CLINTON, BARACK OBAMA AND JOHN EDWARDS. WHICH OF THE THREE DO YOU THINK HAS THE BEST CHANCE OF BEING ELECTED PRESIDENT IF HE OR SHE WERE TO BECOME THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY'S NOMINEE - CLINTON, OBAMA OR EDWARDS? BASED TO LIKELY VOTERS IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY

	EDUCATION				HOUSEHOLD INCOME				RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION		
	TOTAL	H.S. REG	SOME GRAD/ LESS	COLLG/ TRADE GRAD	POST GRAD WORK	UNDR \$20K	\$20K \$39K	\$40K \$79K	\$80K PLUS	PROTS/ CHRSTN	CATH- OLIC	OTHER RELIG	NO PREF	CHRISTIAN	NO	HOME OWNER	RENTR	YES	NO
BASE: LIKELY VOTERS IN DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY	457	93	150	120	93	39	69	119	171	132	116	83	107	67	387	343	105	140	314
WEIGHTED BASE	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
CLINTON	240	56	79	56	47	22	44	58	87	69	67	48	47	38	201	184	50	61	176
	52.4%	60.1%	52.9%	46.7%	50.6%	56.3%	63.9%	48.4%	50.7%	52.1%	58.0%	57.9%	44.1%	56.0%	52.0%	53.7%	48.1%	43.7%	56.1%
OBAMA	84	9	27	28	20	5	8	29	36	22	19	16	23	12	72	55	28	31	53
	18.4%	9.8%	18.0%	23.2%	21.7%	12.9%	11.2%	23.9%	21.1%	17.1%	16.3%	19.6%	21.6%	18.3%	18.5%	16.0%	26.5%	21.9%	17.0%
EDWARDS	73	16	23	19	15	7	8	19	29	22	18	12	18	11	62	58	14	25	47
	16.0%	17.2%	15.7%	15.7%	16.0%	18.0%	12.0%	15.6%	16.8%	16.3%	15.4%	14.1%	17.0%	15.9%	16.0%	16.8%	12.9%	18.1%	15.0%
ALL (VOLUNTEERED)	7	1	2	1	3	-	3	2	1	3	1	1	3	1	6	7	1	-	7
	1.6%	1.6%	1.1%	0.7%	3.7%		3.9%	1.9%	0.8%	2.1%	0.5%	1.1%	3.0%	1.7%	1.6%	2.0%	0.6%		2.4%
NONE (VOLUNTEERED)	8	4	2	-	2	-	1	4	1	2	4	-	2	2	6	7	1	2	6
	1.7%	4.8%	1.3%		1.8%		1.0%	3.3%	0.7%	1.5%	3.3%		2.1%	2.5%	1.6%	1.9%	1.4%	1.7%	1.8%
NO OPINION	45	6	17	16	6	5	6	8	17	14	8	6	13	4	39	33	11	20	25
	9.8%	6.7%	11.1%	13.6%	6.3%	12.8%	8.0%	7.0%	9.9%	10.9%	6.5%	7.3%	12.3%	5.6%	10.2%	9.6%	10.6%	14.5%	7.8%

DECEMBER 2007 FIELD POLL

Table 216-1

Q.27X: GENERALLY SPEAKING, DO YOU HAVE A FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE OPINION OF HILLARY CLINTON?
 BASED TO LIKELY TO VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION

TOTAL REG VOTER	===== REGION=====												PARTY			LIKELIHOOD		VOTING METHOD		
	COUNTIES					AREA							REGISTRATION			OF VOTING		=====		
	SO.	NO.	TAL	IN-								NON-	FEB.	NOV.	PRE-	ABS-	ABS-			
CA.	CA.	CNTY	LAND	LOS	SAN	ORANG	SOUTH	VALLY	BAY	NORTH	CRAT	REPUB	PART/	MARY	ELECN	CINT	TEE	TEE	TEE	
				ANGLS	DIEGO							LICAN	OTHER			VOTER	VOTER	VOTER	PERM.	
BASE: LIKELY TO VOTE IN NOV. GEN. ELECTION																				
WEIGHTED BASE	1053	621	432	778	276	257	98	99	146	169	232	52	473	370	210	839	1053	569	469	312
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FAVORABLE	551	332	219	434	117	157	44	44	79	66	138	23	361	66	124	425	551	298	243	142
	52.3%	53.4%	50.7%	55.8%	42.4%	61.2%	45.1%	44.0%	53.9%	39.1%	59.5%	43.6%	76.3%	17.7%	59.2%	50.6%	52.3%	52.4%	51.8%	45.5%
UNFAVORABLE	438	259	180	299	139	86	49	51	62	89	79	23	84	285	69	366	438	236	197	146
	41.6%	41.6%	41.6%	38.5%	50.4%	33.3%	49.8%	51.3%	42.3%	52.6%	34.2%	43.8%	17.8%	77.0%	32.8%	43.6%	41.6%	41.4%	41.9%	46.8%
NO OPINION	64	31	33	45	20	14	5	5	6	14	14	7	28	19	17	49	64	35	29	24
	6.1%	5.0%	7.7%	5.7%	7.2%	5.5%	5.0%	4.8%	3.8%	8.3%	6.2%	12.6%	5.9%	5.2%	8.0%	5.9%	6.1%	6.2%	6.3%	7.7%

Table 216-2

Q.27X: GENERALLY SPEAKING, DO YOU HAVE A FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE OPINION OF HILLARY CLINTON?
 BASED TO LIKELY TO VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION

TOTAL REG VOTER	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY					POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					MARITAL STATUS		
	MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 PLUS	WHITE HISPN	NON- TINO	LA- BLACK	ASIAN /OTHR	CONSERVATV STRNG	MDDLE MODER	LIBERAL ROAD	LIBERAL LIBRL	LIBERAL LIBRL	MRRD/ TOGTH	SEPRT WIDOW	NEVER MARRD	
WEIGHTED BASE	1053	492	561	107	174	240	315	217	716	220	50	80	204	115	461	118	155	716	146	180
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FAVORABLE	551	247	304	67	91	128	164	101	321	152	33	55	35	41	265	84	126	353	75	118
	52.3%	50.2%	54.1%	62.6%	52.0%	53.3%	52.0%	46.8%	44.8%	69.0%	66.6%	68.3%	17.0%	35.4%	57.5%	71.8%	80.9%	49.3%	51.2%	65.4%
UNFAVORABLE	438	220	218	32	71	100	137	98	352	55	13	21	159	67	163	26	23	316	64	55
	41.6%	44.7%	38.9%	29.7%	40.5%	41.8%	43.5%	45.4%	49.1%	24.8%	26.4%	26.6%	78.0%	57.8%	35.3%	22.3%	15.1%	44.1%	43.7%	30.2%
NO OPINION	64	25	39	8	13	12	14	17	44	14	3	4	10	8	33	7	6	47	8	8
	6.1%	5.1%	7.0%	7.7%	7.5%	4.8%	4.5%	7.9%	6.1%	6.3%	6.9%	5.1%	5.0%	6.8%	7.1%	6.0%	4.0%	6.6%	5.1%	4.4%

Table 216-3

Q.27X: GENERALLY SPEAKING, DO YOU HAVE A FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE OPINION OF HILLARY CLINTON?
 BASED TO LIKELY TO VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION

	EDUCATION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME				RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION			
	TOTAL	H.S.	SOME	POST		UNDR	\$20K	\$40K	\$80K	PROTS/	CATH-	OTHER	NO	CHRISTIAN	HOME	OWNER	RENTR	YES	NO		
	VOTER	REG	GRAD/	CLLG/	COLLG	GRAD	WORK	\$20K	\$39K	\$79K	PLUS	CHRSTN	OLIC	RELIG	PREF	YES	NO	OWNER	RENTR	YES	NO
WEIGHTED BASE	1053	218	369	271	186	76	154	263	406	371	250	170	216	210	834	798	228	259	781		
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FAVORABLE	551	108	193	140	106	49	88	150	201	136	158	93	134	75	473	400	136	145	399		
	52.3%	49.8%	52.4%	51.5%	56.9%	65.1%	56.9%	56.8%	49.6%	36.6%	63.0%	55.0%	62.1%	35.7%	56.7%	50.2%	59.7%	56.0%	51.0%		
UNFAVORABLE	438	86	155	118	74	22	54	100	188	209	80	66	70	123	310	350	78	94	340		
	41.6%	39.6%	42.2%	43.6%	39.9%	28.5%	34.7%	38.1%	46.2%	56.3%	31.8%	39.0%	32.2%	58.6%	37.2%	43.8%	34.3%	36.1%	43.5%		
NO OPINION	64	23	20	13	6	5	13	13	17	26	13	10	12	12	51	48	14	20	43		
	6.1%	10.7%	5.5%	4.9%	3.3%	6.4%	8.4%	5.1%	4.2%	7.1%	5.1%	6.0%	5.6%	5.7%	6.1%	6.0%	6.0%	7.8%	5.5%		

DECEMBER 2007 FIELD POLL

Table 217-1

Q.27XX: GENERALLY SPEAKING, DO YOU HAVE A FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE OPINION OF BARACK OBAMA?
 BASED TO LIKELY TO VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION

	===== REGION=====												PARTY			LIKELIHOOD		VOTING METHOD			
	COUNTIES												REGISTRATION			OF VOTING		=====			
	TOTAL	AREA											NON-			FEB.	NOV.	PRE-	ABS-	ABS-	
REG	SO.	NO.	COS-	IN-	=====								DEM-	REP-	PART/	PRI-	GENRL	CINT	TEE	TEE	
VOTER	CA.	CA.	CNTY	CNTY	LOS	SAN	ORANG	SOUTH	VALLY	BAY	NORTH	CRAT	LICAN	OTHER	MARY	ELECN	VOTER	VOTER	VOTER		
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
BASE: LIKELY TO VOTE IN NOV. GEN. ELECTION																					
WEIGHTED BASE	1053	621	432	778	276	257	98	99	146	169	232	52	473	370	210	839	1053	569	469	312	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FAVORABLE	663	377	286	502	161	182	58	55	70	104	162	32	359	157	147	535	663	366	289	185	
	63.0%	60.7%	66.2%	64.5%	58.5%	71.1%	59.6%	55.6%	47.7%	61.3%	69.8%	61.2%	75.9%	42.5%	69.9%	63.7%	63.0%	64.4%	61.7%	59.1%	
UNFAVORABLE	243	148	94	171	72	43	25	30	46	43	48	8	61	150	31	194	243	129	112	78	
	23.0%	23.9%	21.9%	22.0%	26.0%	16.8%	25.6%	30.7%	31.6%	25.2%	20.6%	14.5%	12.9%	40.6%	14.9%	23.1%	23.0%	22.6%	24.0%	25.1%	
NO OPINION	147	96	51	105	43	31	14	14	30	23	22	13	53	62	32	111	147	74	67	49	
	14.0%	15.4%	11.9%	13.5%	15.4%	12.2%	14.8%	13.7%	20.7%	13.5%	9.6%	24.3%	11.2%	16.9%	15.2%	13.2%	14.0%	13.0%	14.3%	15.8%	

Table 217-2

Q.27XX: GENERALLY SPEAKING, DO YOU HAVE A FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE OPINION OF BARACK OBAMA?
 BASED TO LIKELY TO VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION

TOTAL REG VOTER	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY					POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					MARITAL STATUS		
	MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 PLUS	WHITE HISPN	NON- TINO	LA- BLACK	ASIAN /OTHR	CONSERVATV STRNG	MDDLE MODER	LIBERAL THE	LIBERAL LIBRL	LIBERAL LIBRL	MRRD/ TOGTH	SEPRT WIDOW	NEVER MARRD	
WEIGHTED BASE	1053	492	561	107	174	240	315	217	716	220	50	80	204	115	461	118	155	716	146	180
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FAVORABLE	663	308	356	75	116	157	198	118	455	134	39	44	63	54	310	101	135	446	86	126
	63.0%	62.5%	63.3%	69.8%	66.7%	65.3%	62.8%	54.2%	63.6%	60.9%	77.5%	55.0%	30.9%	47.2%	67.3%	86.0%	86.5%	62.2%	59.2%	69.7%
UNFAVORABLE	243	120	123	21	43	51	69	59	166	49	4	24	104	42	78	7	11	172	32	38
	23.0%	24.3%	21.9%	19.5%	24.4%	21.3%	21.9%	27.3%	23.2%	22.5%	7.8%	30.3%	50.8%	36.7%	16.9%	6.2%	7.4%	24.1%	22.0%	20.8%
NO OPINION	147	65	83	12	15	32	48	40	95	36	7	12	37	19	73	9	10	98	27	17
	14.0%	13.1%	14.8%	10.7%	8.9%	13.4%	15.3%	18.5%	13.3%	16.6%	14.7%	14.7%	18.4%	16.1%	15.8%	7.7%	6.1%	13.7%	18.8%	9.5%

Table 217-3

Q.27XX: GENERALLY SPEAKING, DO YOU HAVE A FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE OPINION OF BARACK OBAMA?
 BASED TO LIKELY TO VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION

	EDUCATION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME				RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION			
	TOTAL	H.S.	SOME	POST		UNDR	\$20K	\$40K	\$80K	PROTS/	CATH-	OTHER	NO	CHRISTIAN	HOME	OWNER	RENTR	YES	NO		
	VOTER	REG	GRAD/	CLLG/	COLLG	GRAD	WORK	\$20K	\$39K	\$79K	PLUS	CHRSTN	OLIC	RELIG	PREF	YES	NO	OWNER	RENTR	YES	NO
WEIGHTED BASE	1053	218	369	271	186	76	154	263	406	371	250	170	216	210	834	798	228	259	781		
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FAVORABLE	663	104	226	188	141	49	89	166	269	208	143	108	173	111	551	489	159	182	474		
	63.0%	48.0%	61.4%	69.4%	75.9%	64.9%	57.6%	63.1%	66.4%	56.1%	56.9%	63.9%	80.1%	52.7%	66.0%	61.3%	69.6%	70.4%	60.7%		
UNFAVORABLE	243	66	93	51	30	15	40	62	92	108	66	36	28	68	172	196	42	50	191		
	23.0%	30.4%	25.2%	18.9%	16.2%	19.5%	25.7%	23.6%	22.7%	29.0%	26.4%	21.1%	12.8%	32.1%	20.7%	24.5%	18.4%	19.4%	24.4%		
NO OPINION	147	47	49	32	15	12	26	35	44	56	42	26	15	32	111	113	27	26	116		
	14.0%	21.5%	13.4%	11.7%	7.9%	15.6%	16.7%	13.2%	10.9%	15.0%	16.6%	15.1%	7.1%	15.2%	13.3%	14.2%	12.0%	10.1%	14.8%		

Table 218-1

Q.27XXX: IF HILLARY CLINTON WERE TO BE THE DEMOCRATIC NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU DEFINITELY VOTE FOR HER IN THE GENERAL ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU CONSIDER VOTING FOR HER, OR WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NOT VOTE FOR HER?
 BASED TO LIKELY TO VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION

	===== REGION=====											PARTY			LIKELIHOOD			VOTING METHOD		
	COUNTIES											REGISTRATION			OF VOTING			=====		
	TOTAL	COS-		IN-		AREA					NON-	FEB.	NOV.	PRE-	TOTAL		PERM.			
REG VOTER	SO. CA.	NO. CA.	TAL CNTY	IN- CNTY	LOS ANGLS	SAN DIEGO	ORANG	SOUTH	CNTRL VALLY	S.F. BAY	OTHER NORTH	DEMO- CRAT	REPUBLICAN	PART/ OTHER	PRI- MARY	GENRL ELECN	CINT VOTER	ABSNT VOTER	ABSNT VOTER	
WEIGHTED BASE	1053	621	432	778	276	257	98	99	146	169	232	52	473	370	210	839	1053	569	469	312
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
WOULD VOTE/CONSIDER VOTING FOR HER (NET)	644	372	273	504	140	176	55	53	78	87	167	30	417	77	150	489	644	352	281	174
	61.2%	59.8%	63.2%	64.8%	50.9%	68.7%	56.1%	53.1%	53.0%	51.2%	71.9%	56.6%	88.1%	20.8%	71.7%	58.3%	61.2%	61.9%	60.0%	55.6%
DEFINITELY VOTE FOR HER	399	228	171	316	83	107	31	31	54	48	111	17	304	21	74	321	399	216	175	107
	37.9%	36.8%	39.5%	40.7%	30.1%	41.7%	31.5%	31.1%	36.9%	28.6%	47.8%	33.3%	64.3%	5.6%	35.4%	38.2%	37.9%	38.0%	37.4%	34.4%
CONSIDER VOTING FOR HER	245	143	102	188	57	69	24	22	24	38	56	12	113	56	76	169	245	136	106	66
	23.3%	23.1%	23.6%	24.2%	20.8%	27.1%	24.6%	22.0%	16.1%	22.6%	24.1%	23.4%	23.8%	15.2%	36.3%	20.1%	23.3%	23.9%	22.6%	21.2%
DEFINITELY NOT VOTE FOR HER	378	232	146	248	130	71	40	45	66	79	59	20	46	284	48	328	378	197	177	133
	35.9%	37.4%	33.8%	31.9%	47.1%	27.8%	40.5%	44.9%	44.8%	46.5%	25.3%	38.0%	9.8%	76.6%	22.9%	39.0%	35.9%	34.6%	37.7%	42.5%
NO OPINION	31	17	13	25	5	9	3	2	3	4	6	3	10	9	11	22	31	20	11	6
	2.9%	2.8%	3.0%	3.2%	2.0%	3.5%	3.4%	2.0%	2.2%	2.3%	2.8%	5.3%	2.1%	2.5%	5.4%	2.7%	2.9%	3.5%	2.3%	1.9%

Table 218-2

Q.27XXX: IF HILLARY CLINTON WERE TO BE THE DEMOCRATIC NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU DEFINITELY VOTE FOR HER IN THE GENERAL ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU CONSIDER VOTING FOR HER, OR WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NOT VOTE FOR HER?
 BASED TO LIKELY TO VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION

TOTAL REG VOTER	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY					POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					MARITAL STATUS		
	MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 PLUS	WHITE NON- HISPN	LA- TINO	BLACK	ASIAN /OTHR	CONSERVATV STRNG CNSRV	MDDLE OF CNSRV	LIBERAL THE ROAD	LIBERAL MODER LIBRL	LIBERAL STRNG LIBRL	MRRD/ LIVE TOGTH	SEPRT DVRG/ WIDOW	NEVER MARRD	
WEIGHTED BASE	1053	492	561	107	174	240	315	217	716	220	50	80	204	115	461	118	155	716	146	180
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
WOULD VOTE/CONSIDER VOTING FOR HER (NET)	644	286	359	77	124	146	184	114	391	160	44	61	41	49	305	102	148	426	84	129
	61.2%	58.0%	63.9%	71.4%	71.1%	60.9%	58.2%	52.7%	54.6%	72.5%	89.0%	76.0%	19.9%	42.5%	66.1%	86.6%	95.4%	59.5%	57.8%	71.4%
DEFINITELY VOTE FOR HER	399	176	224	43	67	89	120	81	226	108	31	39	20	23	175	58	123	247	62	87
	37.9%	35.7%	39.8%	40.4%	38.2%	37.0%	38.0%	37.3%	31.6%	49.1%	62.9%	48.8%	9.7%	19.7%	38.0%	49.3%	79.4%	34.5%	42.5%	48.0%
CONSIDER VOTING FOR HER	245	110	135	33	57	57	64	34	164	52	13	22	21	26	129	44	25	179	22	42
	23.3%	22.4%	24.1%	31.0%	32.9%	23.9%	20.2%	15.5%	23.0%	23.4%	26.1%	27.3%	10.2%	22.8%	28.1%	37.2%	16.0%	25.0%	15.2%	23.4%
DEFINITELY NOT VOTE FOR HER	378	192	187	29	49	84	120	95	309	48	5	18	159	63	137	13	6	268	56	50
	35.9%	38.9%	33.3%	27.5%	28.4%	35.0%	38.2%	43.9%	43.1%	21.9%	11.0%	21.9%	78.0%	54.8%	29.7%	11.1%	4.0%	37.5%	38.4%	27.6%
NO OPINION	31	15	16	1	1	10	11	7	17	12	-	2	4	3	20	3	1	22	6	2
	2.9%	3.0%	2.8%	1.1%	0.5%	4.1%	3.6%	3.4%	2.3%	5.6%		2.1%	2.1%	2.7%	4.2%	2.3%	0.6%	3.0%	3.8%	1.0%

Table 218-3

Q.27XXX: IF HILLARY CLINTON WERE TO BE THE DEMOCRATIC NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU DEFINITELY VOTE FOR HER IN THE GENERAL ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU CONSIDER VOTING FOR HER, OR WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NOT VOTE FOR HER?
 BASED TO LIKELY TO VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION

	EDUCATION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME				RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION	
	TOTAL	H.S. REG	SOME GRAD/ LESS	COLLG/ GRAD	POST GRAD WORK	UNDR \$20K	\$20K \$39K	\$40K \$79K	\$80K PLUS	PROTS/ CHRSTN	CATH- OLIC	OTHER RELIG	NO PREF	CHRISTIAN	NO	OWNER	RENTR	YES	NO
BASE: LIKELY TO VOTE IN NOV. GEN. ELECTION																			
WEIGHTED BASE	1053	218	369	271	186	76	154	263	406	371	250	170	216	210	834	798	228	259	781
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
WOULD VOTE/CONSIDER VOTING FOR HER (NET)	644	130	219	164	128	56	94	174	247	167	162	124	161	87	554	468	161	175	462
	61.2%	59.7%	59.3%	60.5%	68.6%	73.8%	60.9%	66.0%	60.8%	45.0%	64.9%	72.9%	74.6%	41.5%	66.5%	58.6%	70.6%	67.7%	59.2%
DEFINITELY VOTE FOR HER	399	91	130	99	77	33	64	110	153	88	119	69	103	49	349	289	101	99	296
	37.9%	41.8%	35.2%	36.5%	41.5%	44.2%	41.7%	41.6%	37.7%	23.7%	47.7%	40.6%	47.5%	23.3%	41.9%	36.2%	44.1%	38.3%	37.8%
CONSIDER VOTING FOR HER	245	39	89	65	51	23	30	64	94	79	43	55	58	38	205	179	60	76	167
	23.3%	17.9%	24.1%	24.0%	27.2%	29.7%	19.3%	24.4%	23.1%	21.3%	17.2%	32.3%	27.1%	18.2%	24.6%	22.4%	26.4%	29.4%	21.3%
DEFINITELY NOT VOTE FOR HER	378	78	141	102	53	18	52	87	154	196	79	43	48	122	250	309	60	79	295
	35.9%	36.0%	38.2%	37.6%	28.5%	24.1%	34.0%	32.9%	37.9%	52.8%	31.6%	25.5%	22.1%	57.9%	30.0%	38.7%	26.1%	30.4%	37.7%
NO OPINION	31	9	9	5	5	2	8	3	5	8	9	3	7	1	29	22	7	5	24
	2.9%	4.3%	2.5%	2.0%	2.9%	2.0%	5.1%	1.1%	1.3%	2.2%	3.6%	1.5%	3.3%	0.6%	3.5%	2.7%	3.3%	1.9%	3.1%

Table 219-1

Q.27XXXX: IF BARACK OBAMA WERE TO BE THE DEMOCRATIC NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU DEFINITELY VOTE FOR HIM IN THE GENERAL ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU CONSIDER VOTING FOR HIM, OR WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NOT VOTE FOR HIM?
 BASED TO LIKELY TO VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION

	===== REGION=====											PARTY			LIKELIHOOD			VOTING METHOD		
	COUNTIES											REGISTRATION			OF VOTING			=====		
	TOTAL	COS-		IN-		AREA					NON-			FEB.	NOV.	PRE-	TOTAL		PERM.	
REG VOTER	SO. CA.	NO. CA.	TAL CNTY	IN- CNTY	LOS ANGLS	SAN DIEGO	ORANG	OTHER SOUTH	CNTRL VALLY	S.F. BAY	OTHER NORTH	DEMO- CRAT	REPUB LICAN	PART/ OTHER	MARY	GENRL ELECN	CINT VOTER	TEE VOTER	TEE VOTER	
BASE: LIKELY TO VOTE IN NOV. GEN. ELECTION																				
WEIGHTED BASE	1053	621	432	778	276	257	98	99	146	169	232	52	473	370	210	839	1053	569	469	312
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
WOULD VOTE/CONSIDER VOTING FOR HIM (NET)	738	418	320	563	175	196	68	55	87	109	187	36	399	173	165	575	738	402	323	208
	70.0%	67.2%	74.1%	72.4%	63.4%	76.2%	69.2%	55.2%	59.7%	64.3%	80.6%	69.3%	84.4%	46.8%	78.6%	68.5%	70.0%	70.7%	69.0%	66.7%
DEFINITELY VOTE FOR HIM	370	206	164	297	73	109	29	21	41	45	105	21	257	36	76	303	370	199	164	105
	35.1%	33.1%	38.0%	38.2%	26.5%	42.6%	29.2%	21.3%	27.8%	26.5%	45.1%	39.8%	54.3%	9.9%	36.4%	36.0%	35.1%	35.0%	35.1%	33.7%
CONSIDER VOTING FOR HIM	368	212	156	266	102	86	39	34	47	64	83	15	142	137	88	272	368	203	159	103
	34.9%	34.1%	36.1%	34.2%	36.8%	33.6%	40.0%	33.9%	31.9%	37.8%	35.6%	29.6%	30.1%	37.0%	42.1%	32.5%	34.9%	35.6%	33.9%	32.9%
DEFINITELY NOT VOTE FOR HIM	273	177	96	181	92	51	27	40	51	56	36	13	56	186	32	230	273	142	128	93
	25.9%	28.4%	22.3%	23.3%	33.3%	19.7%	27.4%	39.9%	35.0%	33.2%	15.6%	23.9%	11.7%	50.2%	15.1%	27.4%	25.9%	24.9%	27.3%	29.8%
NO OPINION	43	27	16	34	9	10	3	5	8	4	9	4	18	11	13	34	43	25	18	11
	4.1%	4.4%	3.6%	4.3%	3.4%	4.1%	3.4%	4.9%	5.3%	2.5%	3.8%	6.8%	3.9%	3.0%	6.3%	4.1%	4.1%	4.4%	3.8%	3.5%

Table 219-2

Q.27XXXX: IF BARACK OBAMA WERE TO BE THE DEMOCRATIC NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU DEFINITELY VOTE FOR HIM IN THE GENERAL ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU CONSIDER VOTING FOR HIM, OR WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NOT VOTE FOR HIM?
 BASED TO LIKELY TO VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION

TOTAL REG VOTER	GENDER		AGE					ETHNICITY					POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					MARITAL STATUS		
	MALE	FEM	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 PLUS	WHITE NON- HISPN	LA- TINO	BLACK	ASIAN /OTHR	CONSERVATV STRNG CNSRV	MDDLE MODER CNSRV	LIBERAL THE ROAD	LIBERAL MODER LIBRL	LIBERAL STRNG LIBRL	MRRD/ LIVE TOGTH	SEPRT DVRG/ WIDOW	NEVER MARRD	
WEIGHTED BASE	1053	492	561	107	174	240	315	217	716	220	50	80	204	115	461	118	155	716	146	180
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
WOULD VOTE/CONSIDER VOTING FOR HIM (NET)	738	334	404	83	147	173	210	124	487	155	45	60	67	69	346	110	145	498	96	138
	70.0%	67.8%	72.0%	77.5%	84.5%	72.1%	66.7%	57.2%	68.0%	70.3%	90.9%	74.7%	33.0%	60.0%	75.1%	93.2%	93.5%	69.6%	65.5%	76.6%
DEFINITELY VOTE FOR HIM	370	167	203	33	73	82	116	66	235	80	32	29	18	17	145	70	120	236	56	75
	35.1%	34.0%	36.1%	31.2%	41.7%	34.4%	36.7%	30.4%	32.8%	36.2%	63.6%	36.6%	8.8%	14.3%	31.4%	59.8%	77.3%	32.9%	38.3%	41.7%
CONSIDER VOTING FOR HIM	368	166	201	50	75	90	95	58	252	75	14	31	49	53	201	39	25	262	40	63
	34.9%	33.8%	35.9%	46.3%	42.8%	37.7%	30.1%	26.8%	35.2%	34.1%	27.3%	38.1%	24.2%	45.7%	43.6%	33.4%	16.2%	36.6%	27.2%	34.9%
DEFINITELY NOT VOTE FOR HIM	273	138	135	24	27	60	86	77	207	46	5	18	132	41	88	7	6	192	41	38
	25.9%	27.9%	24.1%	22.0%	15.2%	25.0%	27.4%	35.3%	28.9%	21.1%	9.1%	22.4%	64.6%	35.4%	19.1%	5.9%	3.5%	26.8%	28.4%	20.9%
NO OPINION	43	21	22	1	-	7	19	16	22	19	-	2	5	5	27	1	5	26	9	5
	4.1%	4.3%	3.9%	0.5%	0.3%	2.9%	5.9%	7.5%	3.0%	8.6%		2.9%	2.4%	4.6%	5.8%	0.9%	2.9%	3.6%	6.1%	2.5%

Table 219-3

Q.27XXXX: IF BARACK OBAMA WERE TO BE THE DEMOCRATIC NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU DEFINITELY VOTE FOR HIM IN THE GENERAL ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT, WOULD YOU CONSIDER VOTING FOR HIM, OR WOULD YOU DEFINITELY NOT VOTE FOR HIM?
 BASED TO LIKELY TO VOTE IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION

TOTAL VOTER	EDUCATION					HOUSEHOLD INCOME				RELIGION				BRN AGAIN		TENURE		UNION	
	H.S. REG	SOME GRAD/ LESS	CLLG/ TRADE	COLLG GRAD	POST GRAD WORK	UNDR \$20K	\$20K \$39K	\$40K \$79K	\$80K PLUS	PROTS/ CHRSTN	CATH- OLIC	OTHER RELIG	NO PREF	CHRISTIAN	NO	OWNER	RENTR	YES	NO
WEIGHTED BASE	1053	218	369	271	186	76	154	263	406	371	250	170	216	210	834	798	228	259	781
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
WOULD VOTE/CONSIDER VOTING FOR HIM (NET)	738	130	253	199	151	55	107	179	305	238	163	123	178	135	600	544	176	198	533
	70.0%	59.9%	68.8%	73.3%	81.2%	72.1%	69.5%	68.1%	75.1%	64.1%	65.2%	72.8%	82.5%	64.4%	71.9%	68.2%	77.4%	76.5%	68.2%
DEFINITELY VOTE FOR HIM	370	59	135	105	71	24	61	95	149	102	81	59	113	58	311	257	104	99	268
	35.1%	26.9%	36.6%	38.6%	38.0%	31.8%	39.3%	35.9%	36.6%	27.3%	32.3%	34.9%	52.5%	27.7%	37.3%	32.2%	45.8%	38.1%	34.3%
CONSIDER VOTING FOR HIM	368	72	119	94	80	31	47	85	156	136	82	64	65	77	289	287	72	99	265
	34.9%	32.9%	32.2%	34.7%	43.1%	40.3%	30.2%	32.2%	38.5%	36.7%	32.9%	37.9%	30.1%	36.7%	34.6%	36.0%	31.6%	38.3%	33.9%
DEFINITELY NOT VOTE FOR HIM	273	74	102	64	31	17	39	80	91	124	74	41	29	72	194	225	41	55	215
	25.9%	33.9%	27.7%	23.5%	16.4%	22.6%	25.1%	30.4%	22.4%	33.3%	29.6%	24.1%	13.6%	34.3%	23.3%	28.2%	17.9%	21.3%	27.5%
NO OPINION	43	14	13	9	5	4	8	4	10	10	13	5	8	3	40	29	11	6	33
	4.1%	6.3%	3.5%	3.3%	2.4%	5.4%	5.3%	1.5%	2.5%	2.6%	5.2%	3.2%	3.9%	1.3%	4.8%	3.6%	4.7%	2.3%	4.3%