

FIELD

RESEARCH

CORPORATION

FOUNDED IN 1945 BY MERVIN FIELD

601 California Street, Suite 900 • San Francisco, California 94108 • 415-392-5763

**Tabulations From a Survey of Likely Voter Preferences Regarding Prop.
93, the Term Limits Initiative, and Props. 94-97, the Indian Gaming
Compacts Referenda on California's February 5th Primary Election Ballot**

*- prepared for -
Capitol Alert*

Mid-January 2008

Introduction

This volume presents the statistical data developed from a *Field Poll* survey of likely voters preferences regarding Proposition 93, the Term Limits initiative, and Propositions 94-97, the four referenda on Indian gaming compacts that will appear on California's February 5th primary election ballot. *The Field Poll* is an independent and non-partisan survey of public opinion in California established in 1945 by Mervin Field. Reports of *The Field Poll*, typically published 30-50 times per year, cover a wide range of political, and political topics. Many of California's leading media properties and news organizations publish and broadcast the results of each *Field Poll* report as feature or breaking news stories in their respective publications and broadcasts. All new and previous reports since 1995 are available to the public through its online archives at www.field.com/fieldpollonline. *The Field Poll* is owned and operated by Field Research Corporation, with headquarters in San Francisco, California.

The results contained in this report are based on a *Field Poll* survey completed among a representative sample of 823 likely voters in the February 5th primary election. All interviewing was conducted during the period January 14-20, 2008 by telephone in English and Spanish.

Sample Frame

Voters in the survey were selected randomly from a listing of California's statewide voter registration rolls. The list used by Field provides a telephone number for over 90% of the state's registered voters. These telephone numbers come from a variety of sources, including telephone numbers included by the voter on the his/her official voter registration form, as well as through cross-referencing voter names and addresses against recent telephone directories and other telephone matching services. While the telephone numbers are primarily landline telephone numbers, these phone listings also include cell phones, whenever a cell phone number is provided by the voter when registering or in other settings accessible through telephone matching services. Thus, cell phones are not systematically excluded from the sample frame. Special procedures were used in the survey when contacting voters on their cell phones to include them into the survey sample.

For this survey, the sample of Republicans interviewed was augmented. After the completion of interviewing, the results were weighted to re-align the overall sample to characteristics of the state's registered voter population by party, region and other demographic variables.

Data Collection

Prior to the start of data collection, professionally-trained telephone interviewers were briefed with regard to the survey's proper calling and interviewing procedures by the Study Director. This session provided both interviewers and supervisors with an overview of the study and includes a question-by-question review of all items in the survey.

Interviewers then completed all survey interviews by telephone through the computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) system. CATI controls the telephone scripts read to individual respondents by displaying the appropriate questionnaire items and their valid response code alternatives in their proper sequence on computer screens at each interviewer's booth. The interviewer then reads each question aloud to the respondent from the screen and enters each respondent's pre-coded answer category through the keyboard directly to a computer disk. All answers are automatically stored in computer memory.

In order to bring hard-to-reach respondents into the survey, up to six attempts (an initial call plus five callbacks) were made to each telephone number selected for inclusion into the sample. Callbacks were made at different times and on different days to increase the probability of finding adults available for the interview. Where possible, appointments are made at specified dates and times to maximize convenience and cooperation rates.

Data Processing

Because CATI itself provides for the direct data entry of responses by the interviewer and does not permit ineligible or invalid data entries, the data file resulting from CATI interviewing is itself virtually error-free.

Following this, a final series of data checks were performed by means of a specially designed cleaning program that scrutinizes each respondent record for internally inconsistent information. Once the data were determined to be clean and error-free, the sample was weighted to align it to known estimates of the demographic and regional characteristics of the state's registered voter population.

Guide to Reading the Tables

The following is an explanation of the detailed statistical tabulations contained in this report:

- The question or questions upon which the data are based is shown at the top of each table
- Tables are percentaged vertically with the raw percentage base appearing at the top of each column.
- The data have been weighted to known parameters of the statewide registered voter population. All percentages and frequencies reported in each table are therefore weighted tabulations.
- In instances where percentages are calculated on small bases (e.g., when the unweighted base is fewer than 100 respondents) the reader is urged to interpret the data with caution, since results are subject to relatively large levels of sampling error.
- Throughout the tables an asterisk is used to denote a value of less than 1/2 of 1%. A hyphen indicates zero value. On some tables the percentages may add to more than 100% due to multiple mentions.
- Bases of subgroups used in the tabulations may add to less than the total number of respondents due to some respondents not reporting that characteristic.

Subgroup Definitions

The following are some of the definitions applicable to some of the voter subgroups reported in this volume:

Area

Southern California: Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Imperial, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Kern, and San Luis Obispo counties

Northern California: all other 48 California counties

Coastal Counties: San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Mateo, San Francisco, Contra Costa, Alameda, Marin, Napa, Solano, Sonoma, Santa Clara, Mendocino, Humboldt and Del Norte counties

Inland counties: all other 38 California counties

Region

Los Angeles: Los Angeles County

San Diego/Orange: San Diego and Orange counties

Other South: San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial, Ventura, Santa Barbara, and San Luis Obispo

Central Valley: Butte, Colusa, Fresno, Glenn, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Placer, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama, Tulare, Yolo, and Yuba

SF Bay Area: San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara and San Mateo

Other North: Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Del Norte, El Dorado, Humboldt, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Monterey, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Benito, Santa Cruz, Sierra, Siskiyou, Trinity, and Tuolumne

All other subgroups are based on demographic variables or are derived from questions asked in the survey.

Estimates of Sampling Error

In any survey based on a sampling, there is some sampling error introduced into the data by the process of sampling itself. When the sample has been drawn using random processes, it is possible to apply probability principles to determine the potential range of such error. While survey samples of human populations rarely, if ever, meet all of the criteria theoretically required for the application of these principles, it is customary to use them as an approximation of error that is introduced as a result of sampling. The table below shows the range of error that is associated with samples of various sizes, assuming the use of the 95% confidence level, which is customary for most public opinion surveys.

For example, if 50% of a sample of overall sample of 823 likely voters in the California Democratic primary for president answered “yes” to a specific question, this statistic would have a sampling error of plus or minus 3.4 percentage points at the 95% confidence level. This means that there is a 95% chance that had the entire population of registered voters statewide been interviewed using the same questionnaire and methods, the results of such a census would yield a result between 46.6% and 53.4%. The same procedure can be used to estimate the sample error ranges of any other statistic contained in this report.

Approximate sample size	<u>Approximate percentage distribution of replies to question</u>				
	<u>10%</u>	<u>30%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>70%</u>	<u>90%</u>
100	+/- 6.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 10.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 6.0
200	+/- 4.3	+/- 6.5	+/- 7.1	+/- 6.5	+/- 4.3
300	+/- 3.5	+/- 5.3	+/- 5.8	+/- 5.3	+/- 3.5
500	+/- 2.7	+/- 4.1	+/- 4.5	+/- 4.1	+/- 2.7
823	+/- 2.0	+/- 3.1	+/- 3.4	+/- 3.1	+/- 2.0

There are many other possible sources of error other than sampling variability in this and any other public opinion survey. Different results could occur because of differences in question wording, sequencing, the rigor with which sampling procedures were implemented, or through undetected errors or omissions in sampling, interviewing or data processing. The Field professionals working on this study did everything possible to minimize such errors.

Questions Asked

(ASKED OF LIKELY VOTERS IN THE CALIFORNIA FEBRUARY 5TH PRIMARY ELECTION)

Have you seen, read or heard anything about Proposition 93, a statewide ballot proposition having to do with term limits that will appear on the February 2008 primary election ballot?

(As you know) Proposition 93 is called the "Limits on Legislators' Terms in Office" initiative. It reduces permissible state legislative service to 12 years and allows all 12 years' service in one house. Current legislators can serve 12 years in their current house, regardless of prior legislative service. (IF ALREADY VOTED, ASK:) Did you vote Yes or No on Proposition 93? (IF DID NOT ALREADY VOTE, ASK:) If the election were being held today, would you vote Yes or No on Proposition 93?

(ASKED OF A RANDOM SUBSAMPLE OF VOTERS:) Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger is advocating a Yes vote on the term limits reform initiative. (Did) (Does) Governor Schwarzenegger's support of Prop. 93 make you more inclined to vote Yes, less inclined to vote Yes, or (had) (have) no effect on your vote?

As you know, the state of California allows legal casino gaming, such as playing slot machines, dice, blackjack, and roulette, at casinos located on Indian tribal lands. Tax proceeds from these casinos are shared between the state government and the local governments where they are located. In general, do you favor or oppose expanding the number of casino gaming establishments on Indian tribal lands in California?

Have you seen, read or heard anything about four separate referendums to ratify amendments to four Indian gaming compacts that will appear on California's February primary election ballot as Propositions 94-97?

As you know) these referendum are amendments to four Indian Gaming compacts. A "Yes" vote on each approves and a "No" vote rejects a law that ratifies an amendment to existing gaming compacts between the state and four Southern California Indian tribes. Fiscal impact: Net increase in annual state revenues from each referendum probably in the tens of millions of dollars, growing over time through the year 2030. (IF ALREADY VOTED, ASK:) Did you vote Yes or No on Propositions 94 through 97? (IF DID NOT ALREADY VOTE, ASK:) If the election were being held today, would you vote Yes or No on Propositions 94 through 97?

(ASKED OF A RANDOM SUBSAMPLE OF VOTERS:) Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger is advocating a Yes vote to approve these four Indian gaming compacts. (Did) (Does) Governor Schwarzenegger's support make you more inclined to vote Yes, less inclined to vote Yes, or (had) (have) no effect on your vote on Propositions 94 through 97?

Tabulations

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 289

Q.28 Have you seen, read or heard anything about Proposition 93, a statewide ballot proposition having to do with term limits that (will) appear(s) on the February 2008 primary election ballot?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Likely	Voters Voting Method			
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Di-ego/Ornge	South	S.F. Bay Area	Cen-tral Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	'08 Prim.	Precinct	Absentee Total	Perm
Unweighted Base	823	469	354	541	282	180	149	124	165	153	52	330	377	116	823	425	390	278
Weighted Base	795	458	337	549	246	201	135	111	177	126	45	379	291	126	795	432	355	245
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	518	286	231	355	163	115	93	72	122	82	34	234	209	75	518	256	258	181
	65.1	62.5	68.7	64.7	66.1	57.3	69.0	64.3	68.9	65.0	75.2	61.7	72.0	59.7	65.1	59.3	72.5	73.8
No	250	153	97	174	76	78	35	36	50	40	10	126	76	48	250	164	82	56
	31.4	33.4	28.7	31.7	30.7	39.0	26.0	32.8	28.3	31.8	21.9	33.2	26.3	38.1	31.4	37.9	23.1	22.8
Don't know	27	19	9	20	8	7	7	3	5	4	1	20	5	3	27	12	16	8
	3.5	4.1	2.6	3.6	3.1	3.7	5.0	2.8	2.7	3.2	2.9	5.2	1.8	2.1	3.5	2.8	4.4	3.4

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 290

Q.28 Have you seen, read or heard anything about Proposition 93, a statewide ballot proposition having to do with term limits that (will) appear(s) on the February 2008 primary election ballot?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Gender		Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Marital Status		
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng Con- serv- ative	Mod. Con- serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried
Unweighted Base	823	372	451	62	75	151	308	220	568	143	33	91	229	95	328	66	105	582	132	102
Weighted Base	795	378	417	101	101	150	267	170	520	164	40	84	188	85	326	79	117	546	114	130
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	518	260	258	42	53	105	186	128	366	82	21	57	136	52	201	51	77	367	79	68
	65.1	68.7	61.8	41.1	52.7	69.8	69.7	75.3	70.4	49.9	53.4	67.5	72.3	60.5	61.7	65.3	66.4	67.2	69.4	52.5
No	250	108	142	56	43	43	75	32	135	76	18	26	49	32	111	22	35	161	29	58
	31.4	28.6	34.0	54.9	42.7	28.6	28.0	18.7	25.9	46.5	43.8	31.0	26.1	38.0	34.0	28.6	30.0	29.5	25.7	44.4
Don't know	27	10	17	4	5	2	6	10	19	6	1	1	3	1	14	5	4	18	6	4
	3.5	2.7	4.2	4.0	4.6	1.6	2.3	6.0	3.7	3.6	2.8	1.5	1.6	1.5	4.3	6.1	3.6	3.3	4.9	3.1

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 291

Q.28 Have you seen, read or heard anything about Proposition 93, a statewide ballot proposition having to do with term limits that (will) appear(s) on the February 2008 primary election ballot?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Education				Household Income				Religion			Born Again Christian		Tenure		Union stat			
	H.S. Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -80K	More Than \$80K	Pro-tstnt /Chrs-tian	Cath-olic	Other	None/ No Pref.	Yes	No	Home-Owner	Rent-er	Affil-iated	Non-union	
Unweighted Base	823	151	277	198	186	57	104	228	329	317	217	142	110	192	603	664	134	177	636
Weighted Base	795	149	259	193	185	58	103	214	322	280	213	145	116	169	603	609	161	187	597
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	518	83	171	120	138	32	61	134	227	189	129	96	79	102	398	428	75	129	380
	65.1	55.7	66.1	62.1	74.4	54.2	58.7	62.5	70.3	67.3	60.6	66.0	67.7	60.0	66.0	70.3	46.8	69.0	63.5
No	250	57	79	67	45	22	39	75	88	83	77	42	36	60	186	161	78	52	196
	31.4	38.2	30.6	34.8	24.3	37.2	38.3	34.9	27.4	29.7	36.3	29.0	30.6	35.6	30.9	26.5	48.7	27.9	32.8
Don't know	27	9	8	6	2	5	3	6	7	9	7	7	2	7	19	19	7	6	22
	3.5	6.1	3.3	3.1	1.3	8.6	3.0	2.6	2.3	3.0	3.1	5.0	1.7	4.4	3.2	3.1	4.5	3.1	3.6

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 292

Q.29 (As you know) proposition 93 is called the "Limits on Legislators' Terms in Office" initiative. It reduces permissible state legislative service to 12 years and allows all 12 years' service in one house. Current legislators can serve 12 years in their current house, regardless of prior legislative service. (Did you vote/If the election were being held today would you vote Yes or No on Proposition 93?)

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Likely	Voters Voting Method			
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego/Ornge	Other South	S.F. Bay Area	Cen-Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	'08 Prim.	Precinct	Absentee Total	Perm
Unweighted Base	823	469	354	541	282	180	149	124	165	153	52	330	377	116	823	425	390	278
Weighted Base	795	458	337	549	246	201	135	111	177	126	45	379	291	126	795	432	355	245
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	308	178	130	205	103	73	53	47	63	59	14	148	109	51	308	160	146	99
	38.8	38.8	38.6	37.3	42.0	36.3	38.9	42.2	35.6	46.8	31.0	39.1	37.5	40.7	38.8	37.1	41.0	40.4
No	308	172	135	210	97	66	54	47	75	45	21	125	132	50	308	162	142	103
	38.7	37.6	40.1	38.4	39.4	33.0	39.9	42.1	42.3	35.6	46.3	33.1	45.6	39.6	38.7	37.5	40.0	42.0
Don't know	179	108	72	133	46	62	29	17	39	22	10	105	49	25	179	110	67	43
	22.6	23.5	21.3	24.3	18.6	30.8	21.1	15.7	22.1	17.6	22.7	27.9	16.9	19.7	22.6	25.4	19.0	17.6

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 293

Q.29 (As you know) proposition 93 is called the "Limits on Legislators' Terms in Office" initiative. It reduces permissible state legislative service to 12 years and allows all 12 years' service in one house. Current legislators can serve 12 years in their current house, regardless of prior legislative service. (Did you vote/If the election were being held today would you vote Yes or No on Proposition 93?)

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Gender		Age				Ethnicity					Political ideology				Marital Status				
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng serv- ative	Mod. serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried
Unweighted Base	823	372	451	62	75	151	308	220	568	143	33	91	229	95	328	66	105	582	132	102
Weighted Base	795	378	417	101	101	150	267	170	520	164	40	84	188	85	326	79	117	546	114	130
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	308	144	164	30	53	70	97	55	191	70	12	39	76	30	130	30	43	232	30	46
	38.8	38.1	39.4	29.8	52.9	46.4	36.3	32.7	36.7	42.3	30.5	46.3	40.3	35.0	39.9	37.8	36.6	42.5	26.3	35.0
No	308	157	150	36	26	46	114	84	219	53	16	27	83	36	128	21	39	208	50	47
	38.7	41.6	36.0	35.4	25.7	30.3	42.6	49.4	42.0	31.9	39.4	32.1	43.9	42.3	39.3	27.3	33.6	38.1	43.7	36.3
Don't know	179	77	103	35	22	35	56	30	111	42	12	18	30	19	68	27	35	106	34	37
	22.6	20.3	24.6	34.8	21.4	23.3	21.1	17.9	21.3	25.7	30.1	21.6	15.9	22.8	20.8	34.9	29.9	19.4	30.0	28.6

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 294

Q.29 (As you know) proposition 93 is called the "Limits on Legislators' Terms in Office" initiative. It reduces permissible state legislative service to 12 years and allows all 12 years' service in one house. Current legislators can serve 12 years in their current house, regardless of prior legislative service. (Did you vote/If the election were being held today would you vote Yes or No on Proposition 93?)

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Education				Household Income				Religion				Born Again Christian		Tenure		Union stat		
	H.S. Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -80K	More Than \$80K	Pro-tstnt /Chrs-tian	Cath-olic	Other	None/No Pref.	Yes	No	Home-Owner	Rent-er	Union Affil-iated	Non-union	
	Total																		
Unweighted Base	823	151	277	198	186	57	104	228	329	317	217	142	110	192	603	664	134	177	636
Weighted Base	795	149	259	193	185	58	103	214	322	280	213	145	116	169	603	609	161	187	597
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	308	60	105	79	62	23	40	85	136	110	87	57	42	69	234	236	66	73	231
	38.8	40.2	40.7	41.0	33.7	39.1	38.5	39.6	42.1	39.4	40.8	39.3	36.2	40.7	38.7	38.8	41.0	38.9	38.7
No	308	57	99	62	87	16	35	90	124	113	87	51	45	63	232	252	46	68	236
	38.7	38.5	38.2	32.0	47.0	26.6	34.2	42.1	38.3	40.2	40.8	35.2	38.7	37.4	38.5	41.5	28.7	36.1	39.5
Don't know	179	32	55	52	36	20	28	39	63	57	39	37	29	37	138	120	49	47	131
	22.6	21.4	21.1	27.0	19.4	34.3	27.3	18.3	19.5	20.4	18.4	25.6	25.0	21.9	22.8	19.8	30.3	25.0	21.9

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 295

Q.29x Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger is advocating a yes vote on the term limits reform initiative (does/did)
 Governor Schwarzenegger's support of Prop. 93 make you more inclined to vote yes, less inclined to vote yes or (have/had)
 no effect on your vote?@'

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote (Form B)

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Likely Voters		Voting Method		
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego/Ornge	Other South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	'08 Prim.	Precinct	Total	Absentee Perm
Unweighted Base	415	238	177	273	142	90	69	72	88	75	21	173	187	55	415	220	192	139
Weighted Base	401	235	167	276	125	104	66	59	89	63	20	193	151	58	401	223	176	122
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More inclined	45	30	15	31	15	11	8	10	8	7	1	9	27	9	45	23	21	16
	11.3	12.7	9.2	11.1	11.7	10.6	11.6	16.5	9.3	11.3	6.8	4.8	17.8	15.8	11.3	10.4	12.2	12.7
Less inclined	37	23	15	29	8	17	3	3	8	5	2	28	7	2	37	22	15	7
	9.3	9.6	8.7	10.4	6.6	16.1	4.7	4.8	8.7	8.0	8.6	14.7	4.8	2.9	9.3	10.0	8.4	6.1
No effect	254	150	104	172	82	60	48	39	56	39	12	123	97	34	254	143	111	79
	63.3	63.8	62.7	62.2	65.7	58.2	72.3	65.7	63.1	62.6	56.9	63.6	64.4	59.5	63.3	63.9	62.9	64.4
Don't know	16	8	8	9	7	5	2	2	3	4	2	9	4	3	16	8	8	6
	4.0	3.4	4.8	3.3	5.5	4.4	2.8	2.6	3.0	5.7	8.4	4.6	2.7	5.3	4.0	3.7	4.4	4.7

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 296

Q.29x Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger is advocating a yes vote on the term limits reform initiative (does/did)
 Governor Schwarzenegger's support of Prop. 93 make you more inclined to vote yes, less inclined to vote yes or (have/had)
 no effect on your vote?@'

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote (Form B)

	Gender		Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology				Marital Status			
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng serv- ative	Mod. serv- ative	Mid. of Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried
Unweighted Base	415	197	218	29	40	76	159	109	277	75	20	48	107	54	168	33	53	298	58	56
Weighted Base	401	193	208	48	56	76	136	84	259	85	24	39	90	47	167	38	59	274	54	70
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More inclined	45	29	16	9	4	9	14	10	35	6	1	4	14	7	18	1	5	28	7	9
	11.3	15.2	7.6	18.4	6.8	11.5	10.4	11.7	13.4	6.9	3.3	10.2	15.6	15.9	11.1	1.4	8.0	10.1	13.6	13.1
Less inclined	37	10	27	4	10	5	11	6	18	12	2	7	5	2	16	3	10	21	6	10
	9.3	5.3	12.9	9.1	18.7	7.0	8.0	6.7	7.0	14.4	9.0	16.9	6.1	4.2	9.4	9.0	17.7	7.7	10.8	14.4
No effect	254	119	135	27	34	53	87	54	163	56	13	24	57	30	103	29	34	181	27	46
	63.3	61.6	64.9	56.0	60.3	69.9	63.6	64.1	63.0	66.2	54.5	61.1	63.6	64.7	61.8	75.7	58.1	66.0	50.1	65.3
Don't know	16	9	7	-	1	1	11	3	13	-	1	2	2	2	6	2	4	11	3	-
	4.0	4.7	3.3	-	1.4	1.0	8.0	3.3	5.1	-	4.3	4.2	2.3	3.7	3.8	5.2	6.4	4.1	5.5	-

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 297

Q.29x Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger is advocating a yes vote on the term limits reform initiative (does/did) Governor Schwarzenegger's support of Prop. 93 make you more inclined to vote yes, less inclined to vote yes or (have/had) no effect on your vote?@'

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote (Form B)

	Education				Household Income				Religion			Born Again Christian		Tenure		Union stat			
	Total	H.S. Grad- or less	Some Col- lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col- lege Grad	Post Grad- uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -80K	More Than \$80K	Pro- tstnt /Chrs- tian	Cath- olic	Other	None/ No Pref.	Yes	No	Home- Owner	Rent- er	Union Affil- iated	Non- union
Unweighted Base	415	68	149	102	90	31	52	117	163	148	116	75	57	87	315	335	70	81	330
Weighted Base	401	65	138	99	92	33	48	110	161	131	110	76	62	74	318	308	83	85	312
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More inclined	45	5	16	14	9	3	5	13	21	23	10	7	3	14	31	34	10	9	35
	11.3	8.0	11.9	14.3	10.1	9.9	10.9	11.9	12.9	17.5	8.6	9.7	5.2	18.4	9.8	11.0	12.5	11.1	11.2
Less inclined	37	7	14	10	7	3	5	12	11	5	12	7	10	3	33	25	12	9	28
	9.3	10.2	9.8	10.1	7.5	10.0	9.7	10.5	7.0	4.1	11.1	9.8	16.3	4.3	10.3	8.0	13.9	10.9	8.9
No effect	254	41	89	61	60	15	32	76	99	84	73	41	41	43	207	196	51	52	200
	63.3	62.3	64.2	61.2	64.8	44.8	66.4	68.9	61.2	63.8	66.3	53.9	67.0	58.2	65.1	63.8	61.8	62.0	64.0
Don't know	16	4	4	2	4	2	1	1	8	3	4	4	3	3	12	11	3	3	12
	4.0	5.6	2.9	2.3	4.2	5.7	2.0	0.7	5.1	2.6	3.2	4.9	4.9	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.1	3.1	3.7

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 298

Q.30 As you know, the state of California allows legal casino gaming, such as playing slot machines, dice, blackjack, and roulette, at casinos located on Indian tribal lands. Tax proceeds from these casinos are shared between the state government and the local governments where they are located. In general, do you favor or oppose expanding the number of casino gaming establishments on Indian tribal lands in California?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Likely Voters		Voting Method		
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego/Ornge	Other South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	'08 Prim.	Precinct	Absentee Total	Perm
Unweighted Base	823	469	354	541	282	180	149	124	165	153	52	330	377	116	823	425	390	278
Weighted Base	795	458	337	549	246	201	135	111	177	126	45	379	291	126	795	432	355	245
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favor	335	213	122	240	96	107	54	45	63	52	14	164	120	52	335	179	151	111
	42.1	46.6	36.1	43.7	38.8	53.2	40.0	40.7	35.3	41.6	31.1	43.2	41.2	41.1	42.1	41.4	42.5	45.2
Oppose	345	180	165	232	113	65	64	48	88	56	24	149	137	58	345	188	155	100
	43.3	39.2	49.0	42.3	45.7	32.2	47.3	43.5	49.4	44.5	53.3	39.4	47.2	46.2	43.3	43.5	43.5	40.6
Depends	46	25	21	28	18	7	5	13	12	8	1	23	16	7	46	30	16	12
	5.8	5.5	6.2	5.0	7.5	3.7	3.6	11.6	7.0	6.1	2.2	6.1	5.5	5.7	5.8	6.9	4.6	4.9
Don't know	69	40	29	50	20	22	12	5	15	10	6	43	18	9	69	36	33	23
	8.7	8.8	8.7	9.0	8.0	10.9	9.1	4.2	8.4	7.8	13.3	11.2	6.1	7.1	8.7	8.3	9.4	9.3

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 299

Q.30 As you know, the state of California allows legal casino gaming, such as playing slot machines, dice, blackjack, and roulette, at casinos located on Indian tribal lands. Tax proceeds from these casinos are shared between the state government and the local governments where they are located. In general, do you favor or oppose expanding the number of casino gaming establishments on Indian tribal lands in California?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Gender		Age				Ethnicity					Political ideology				Marital Status				
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	serv- ative	Mod. serv- ative	Mid. the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried
Unweighted Base	823	372	451	62	75	151	308	220	568	143	33	91	229	95	328	66	105	582	132	102
Weighted Base	795	378	417	101	101	150	267	170	520	164	40	84	188	85	326	79	117	546	114	130
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favor	335	168	167	52	41	60	115	66	211	76	18	38	63	30	151	33	58	215	54	64
	42.1	44.4	40.1	50.9	40.7	39.9	43.0	38.9	40.5	46.5	45.1	45.3	33.4	35.5	46.3	41.7	49.8	39.4	47.7	49.3
Oppose	345	162	182	35	38	69	117	83	243	63	15	29	105	36	137	30	36	253	44	46
	43.3	42.9	43.7	34.2	37.9	45.9	43.9	48.9	46.6	38.5	36.7	33.9	56.0	42.2	42.1	37.9	30.9	46.4	38.5	35.4
Depends	46	20	26	8	6	12	12	8	27	14	2	3	6	11	13	9	7	28	7	12
	5.8	5.4	6.2	7.8	6.1	7.7	4.4	4.6	5.1	8.8	5.7	3.1	3.1	13.1	4.1	11.5	5.9	5.1	5.8	9.0
Don't know	69	28	42	7	15	10	23	13	40	10	5	15	14	8	25	7	16	50	9	8
	8.7	7.3	10.0	7.1	15.3	6.5	8.7	7.6	7.8	6.2	12.5	17.7	7.5	9.2	7.6	9.0	13.5	9.1	8.0	6.3

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 300

Q.30 As you know, the state of California allows legal casino gaming, such as playing slot machines, dice, blackjack, and roulette, at casinos located on Indian tribal lands. Tax proceeds from these casinos are shared between the state government and the local governments where they are located. In general, do you favor or oppose expanding the number of casino gaming establishments on Indian tribal lands in California?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Education					Household Income				Religion			Born Again Christian		Tenure		Union stat		
	Total	H.S. Grad- or less	Some Col- lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col- lege Grad	Post Grad- uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -80K	More Than \$80K	Pro- tstnt /Chrs- tian	Cath- olic	Other	None/ No Pref.	Yes	No	Home- Owner	Rent- er	Union Affil- iated	Non- union
Unweighted Base	823	151	277	198	186	57	104	228	329	317	217	142	110	192	603	664	134	177	636
Weighted Base	795	149	259	193	185	58	103	214	322	280	213	145	116	169	603	609	161	187	597
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favor	335	66	112	76	80	31	46	92	135	105	98	66	53	62	265	239	90	81	250
	42.1	44.1	43.2	39.5	43.3	53.0	44.2	43.2	42.0	37.6	46.1	45.6	45.8	36.5	43.9	39.3	55.8	43.4	41.9
Oppose	345	65	117	81	80	25	41	98	139	141	89	56	47	90	247	287	48	72	270
	43.3	43.9	45.2	41.9	43.2	42.6	40.0	45.8	43.1	50.4	41.6	38.9	40.8	53.1	40.9	47.2	29.8	38.4	45.2
Depends	46	6	16	13	11	1	11	12	16	14	15	7	7	8	36	31	13	14	31
	5.8	4.0	6.2	6.8	6.0	0.9	10.4	5.5	5.1	5.0	7.0	5.0	5.7	4.9	5.9	5.1	8.3	7.3	5.2
Don't know	69	12	14	23	14	2	6	12	31	19	11	15	9	9	57	51	10	21	46
	8.7	8.1	5.5	11.8	7.5	3.4	5.4	5.6	9.8	6.9	5.3	10.5	7.7	5.5	9.4	8.4	6.1	11.0	7.7

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 301

Q.31 Have you seen, read or heard anything about four separate referendums to ratify amendments to four Indian gaming compacts that (will) appear on California's February primary election ballot as Propositions 94 through 97?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Likely Voters	Voting Method			
	North/South Coast		Inland			LA	San Diego/Ornge	Other South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	'08 Prim.	Precinct	Total	Absentee
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	Ornge	South	Area	Valley	North	Dem	Rep	Other	Prim.	inct	Total	Perm
Unweighted Base	823	469	354	541	282	180	149	124	165	153	52	330	377	116	823	425	390	278
Weighted Base	795	458	337	549	246	201	135	111	177	126	45	379	291	126	795	432	355	245
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	557	329	227	398	158	142	103	78	129	80	25	267	209	80	557	292	260	179
	70.0	71.9	67.4	72.5	64.3	70.9	75.9	69.8	72.7	64.1	54.6	70.5	72.1	63.7	70.0	67.5	73.2	73.1
No	212	116	96	132	80	50	30	33	42	40	18	97	71	44	212	125	84	56
	26.7	25.3	28.5	24.1	32.4	24.7	21.9	29.2	23.6	32.2	39.9	25.6	24.3	35.3	26.7	29.0	23.7	23.0
Don't know	27	13	14	18	8	9	3	1	7	5	2	15	10	1	27	15	11	9
	3.3	2.8	4.1	3.3	3.4	4.4	2.1	1.0	3.7	3.7	5.5	3.9	3.6	1.1	3.3	3.5	3.1	3.8

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 302

Q.31 Have you seen, read or heard anything about four separate referendums to ratify amendments to four Indian gaming compacts that (will) appear on California's February primary election ballot as Propositions 94 through 97?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Gender		Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Marital Status		
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng Con- serv- ative	Mod. Con- serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried
Unweighted Base	823	372	451	62	75	151	308	220	568	143	33	91	229	95	328	66	105	582	132	102
Weighted Base	795	378	417	101	101	150	267	170	520	164	40	84	188	85	326	79	117	546	114	130
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	557	277	280	61	66	106	195	127	390	101	24	54	132	59	231	54	81	381	84	90
	70.0	73.2	67.1	60.0	64.9	70.2	72.8	75.1	74.9	61.7	59.8	64.1	70.2	69.1	70.7	68.6	69.2	69.7	74.0	69.3
No	212	90	123	36	31	42	65	36	116	59	12	25	50	22	87	23	30	149	27	35
	26.7	23.7	29.4	35.6	30.4	27.7	24.2	21.2	22.4	36.0	31.1	30.1	26.7	25.6	26.7	28.9	25.8	27.2	23.5	26.7
Don't know	27	12	15	4	5	3	8	6	14	4	4	5	6	4	8	2	6	17	3	5
	3.3	3.2	3.5	4.4	4.7	2.0	3.0	3.7	2.7	2.3	9.1	5.9	3.1	5.3	2.6	2.5	5.0	3.0	2.5	4.0

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 303

Q.31 Have you seen, read or heard anything about four separate referendums to ratify amendments to four Indian gaming compacts that (will) appear on California's February primary election ballot as Propositions 94 through 97?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Education					Household Income				Religion			Born Again Christian		Tenure		Union stat		
	Total	H.S. Grad- or less	Some Col- lege/ Sch'l	Col- lege Grad	Post Grad- uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -80K	More Than \$80K	Pro- tstnt /Chrs	Cath- olic	Other	None/ No Pref.	Yes	No	Home- Owner	Rent- er	Affil iated	Non- union
Unweighted Base	823	151	277	198	186	57	104	228	329	317	217	142	110	192	603	664	134	177	636
Weighted Base	795	149	259	193	185	58	103	214	322	280	213	145	116	169	603	609	161	187	597
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	557	102	185	133	134	39	74	152	233	217	138	106	73	123	418	446	97	137	413
	70.0	68.2	71.6	68.8	72.5	66.3	71.9	71.2	72.3	77.5	64.9	73.2	63.0	72.7	69.2	73.3	60.4	73.0	69.2
No	212	43	68	52	46	20	24	57	81	53	69	35	41	41	165	146	58	47	163
	26.7	29.0	26.1	27.0	24.9	33.7	22.9	26.7	25.0	19.0	32.2	24.4	34.9	24.3	27.3	24.0	36.0	25.0	27.3
Don't know	27	4	6	8	5	-	5	5	9	10	6	3	2	5	21	17	6	4	21
	3.3	2.8	2.3	4.3	2.6	-	5.2	2.1	2.7	3.5	2.9	2.4	2.1	3.1	3.4	2.7	3.6	2.0	3.5

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 304

Q.32 (As you know) these referendums are amendments to four Indian gaming compacts. A "Yes" vote on each approves and a "No" vote rejects a law that ratifies an amendment to existing gaming compacts between the state and four Southern California Indian tribes. Fiscal impact: Net increase in annual state revenues from each referendum probably in the tens of millions of dollars, growing over time through the year 2030.

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Likely Voters		Voting Method		
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego/Ornge	Other South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	'08 Prim.	Precinct	Absentee Total	Perm
Unweighted Base	823	469	354	541	282	180	149	124	165	153	52	330	377	116	823	425	390	278
Weighted Base	795	458	337	549	246	201	135	111	177	126	45	379	291	126	795	432	355	245
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	330	204	126	234	96	98	49	50	67	50	16	155	127	47	330	166	162	124
	41.5	44.6	37.3	42.6	39.0	49.1	36.4	45.2	37.6	39.4	34.7	41.0	43.8	37.6	41.5	38.4	45.7	50.7
No	296	164	133	198	98	64	52	46	70	48	16	129	116	52	296	162	133	84
	37.2	35.7	39.4	36.1	39.7	32.0	38.3	41.5	39.8	38.1	34.4	34.0	39.9	40.9	37.2	37.4	37.3	34.2
Mixed/some yes - some no	33	17	16	21	11	4	7	4	8	8	1	15	9	9	33	25	8	5
	4.1	3.7	4.7	3.9	4.6	2.2	5.1	3.9	4.8	6.0	2.3	4.0	2.9	7.0	4.1	5.7	2.2	2.2
Don't know	137	74	63	95	41	34	27	11	32	21	13	79	39	18	137	80	52	32
	17.2	16.1	18.7	17.4	16.7	16.7	20.1	9.4	17.9	16.4	28.6	21.0	13.4	14.5	17.2	18.5	14.7	12.9

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 305

Q.32 (As you know) these referendums are amendments to four Indian gaming compacts. A "Yes" vote on each approves and a "No" vote rejects a law that ratifies an amendment to existing gaming compacts between the state and four Southern California Indian tribes. Fiscal impact: Net increase in annual state revenues from each referendum probably in the tens of millions of dollars, growing over time through the year 2030.

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Gender		Age				Ethnicity					Political ideology				Marital Status				
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng serv- ative	Mod. serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Mar- ried/ Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried
Unweighted Base	823	372	451	62	75	151	308	220	568	143	33	91	229	95	328	66	105	582	132	102
Weighted Base	795	378	417	101	101	150	267	170	520	164	40	84	188	85	326	79	117	546	114	130
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	330	161	169	42	35	62	125	66	217	69	17	35	63	36	152	30	49	228	53	50
	41.5	42.6	40.5	41.2	34.6	41.0	47.0	39.0	41.6	42.1	41.5	42.1	33.5	42.2	46.5	38.6	41.6	41.7	46.4	38.2
No	296	132	165	37	43	59	86	70	199	66	13	21	91	33	115	21	36	212	35	50
	37.2	34.8	39.5	36.5	42.3	39.0	32.1	41.5	38.3	39.9	32.6	25.3	48.4	38.9	35.2	27.1	30.6	38.7	30.6	38.3
Mixed/some yes - some no	33	17	16	5	3	7	8	9	19	10	-	5	6	5	14	6	2	25	4	3
	4.1	4.5	3.7	4.9	3.3	4.9	2.9	5.0	3.7	6.1	-	5.6	3.2	5.9	4.3	7.0	1.8	4.6	3.6	2.6
Don't know	137	69	68	18	20	23	48	25	85	19	10	23	28	11	46	21	30	82	22	27
	17.2	18.1	16.3	17.3	19.8	15.1	18.1	14.6	16.3	11.8	26.0	27.1	14.8	13.0	14.0	27.3	26.0	15.0	19.4	21.0

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 306

Q.32 (As you know) these referendums are amendments to four Indian gaming compacts. A "Yes" vote on each approves and a "No" vote rejects a law that ratifies an amendment to existing gaming compacts between the state and four Southern California Indian tribes. Fiscal impact: Net increase in annual state revenues from each referendum probably in the tens of millions of dollars, growing over time through the year 2030.

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Education				Household Income				Religion			Born Again Christian		Tenure		Union stat			
	Total	H.S. Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -80K	More Than \$80K	Pro-tstnt /Chrs-tian	Cath-olic	Other	None/ No Pref.	Yes	No	Home-Owner	Rent-er	Union Affil-iated	Non-union
Unweighted Base	823	151	277	198	186	57	104	228	329	317	217	142	110	192	603	664	134	177	636
Weighted Base	795	149	259	193	185	58	103	214	322	280	213	145	116	169	603	609	161	187	597
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	330	62	110	81	76	26	43	90	140	120	95	54	48	70	251	251	74	74	253
	41.5	41.6	42.5	42.0	40.9	44.4	42.1	42.1	43.4	42.7	44.7	37.6	40.9	41.0	41.5	41.2	46.1	39.7	42.3
No	296	60	99	70	68	22	44	80	121	113	82	49	44	66	222	237	52	62	232
	37.2	40.1	38.2	36.1	36.6	37.7	43.0	37.5	37.4	40.3	38.5	34.2	38.2	39.2	36.7	38.9	32.4	32.8	38.8
Mixed/some yes - some no	33	6	13	6	7	2	3	18	6	6	14	4	5	5	27	21	8	9	24
	4.1	3.8	5.1	2.9	3.9	3.0	2.8	8.2	1.8	2.3	6.8	2.7	4.3	3.1	4.5	3.5	5.1	4.6	4.0
Don't know	137	22	36	37	34	9	12	26	56	41	21	37	19	28	104	100	26	43	89
	17.2	14.5	14.1	19.0	18.6	14.9	12.1	12.2	17.3	14.7	10.0	25.5	16.5	16.7	17.2	16.4	16.4	22.9	14.9

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 307

Q.33 Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger is advocating a Yes vote to approve these four Indian gaming compacts.
 (Did/Does) Governor Schwarzenegger's support make you more inclined to vote Yes, less inclined to vote Yes,
 or (have/had) no effect on your vote on Propositions 94 through 97?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote (Form A)

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Likely Voters		Voting Method		
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego/Ornge	Other South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	'08 Prim.	Precinct	Absentee Total	Perm
Unweighted Base	408	231	177	268	140	90	80	52	77	78	31	157	190	61	408	205	198	139
Weighted Base	394	224	170	273	122	97	69	52	89	63	25	186	140	68	394	209	180	123
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More inclined	70	43	27	44	26	15	17	11	11	13	4	21	33	15	70	40	30	21
	17.7	19.2	15.6	16.1	21.2	15.2	24.1	20.7	12.2	20.5	15.3	11.5	23.9	21.8	17.7	19.1	16.5	17.1
Less inclined	41	28	14	28	13	12	8	8	6	6	3	25	11	5	41	23	19	16
	10.5	12.4	8.0	10.4	10.8	12.5	11.0	15.4	6.3	9.0	10.2	13.2	8.1	8.0	10.5	11.0	10.3	12.8
No effect	267	146	121	189	79	66	42	33	67	41	17	132	92	43	267	140	121	79
	67.8	65.4	71.0	69.2	64.7	68.4	61.2	64.0	75.4	65.6	70.3	71.1	65.5	63.6	67.8	67.1	67.6	64.5
Don't know	16	7	9	12	4	4	3	-	5	3	1	8	3	5	16	6	10	7
	4.0	3.0	5.4	4.3	3.4	3.8	3.7	-	6.2	4.9	4.2	4.2	2.5	6.6	4.0	2.8	5.5	5.6

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 308

Q.33 Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger is advocating a Yes vote to approve these four Indian gaming compacts.
 (Did/Does) Governor Schwarzenegger's support make you more inclined to vote Yes, less inclined to vote Yes,
 or (have/had) no effect on your vote on Propositions 94 through 97?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote (Form A)

	Gender		Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Marital Status		
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng serv- ative	Mod. serv- ative	Mid. of Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried
Unweighted Base	408	175	233	33	35	75	149	111	291	68	13	43	122	41	160	33	52	284	74	46
Weighted Base	394	186	208	54	45	75	131	85	262	80	16	45	98	38	160	40	58	272	60	60
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More inclined	70	38	32	8	10	15	16	20	49	12	4	8	14	7	34	4	10	51	11	7
	17.7	20.3	15.3	15.7	22.9	19.8	12.0	23.8	18.5	15.0	27.1	16.8	14.4	18.2	21.1	11.0	18.1	18.8	18.7	12.5
Less inclined	41	18	23	5	5	7	20	4	22	13	3	4	11	7	13	2	9	29	4	9
	10.5	9.8	11.1	9.1	11.4	8.8	15.2	4.4	8.4	16.2	21.0	9.0	11.2	18.9	7.8	4.7	15.3	10.5	7.2	14.3
No effect	267	124	143	39	26	50	91	59	184	50	8	29	68	22	106	32	38	181	42	44
	67.8	66.9	68.6	72.8	57.4	66.7	69.5	69.0	70.3	63.4	52.0	64.5	69.5	58.8	66.6	78.5	66.6	66.6	70.1	73.2
Don't know	16	5	10	1	4	4	4	2	7	4	-	4	5	2	7	2	-	11	2	-
	4.0	2.9	5.0	2.4	8.2	4.7	3.3	2.7	2.7	5.4	-	9.7	4.9	4.1	4.4	5.8	-	4.1	4.0	-

January 2008 Field Poll

Table 309

Q.33 Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger is advocating a Yes vote to approve these four Indian gaming compacts.
 (Did/Does) Governor Schwarzenegger's support make you more inclined to vote Yes, less inclined to vote Yes,
 or (have/had) no effect on your vote on Propositions 94 through 97?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote (Form A)

	Education				Household Income				Religion				Born Again Christian		Tenure		Union stat		
	Total	H.S. Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-80K	More Than \$80K	Pro-tstnt /Chrs-tian	Cath-olic	Other	None/ No Pref.	Yes	No	Home-Owner	Rent-er	Union Affil-iated	Non-union
Unweighted Base	408	83	128	96	96	26	52	111	166	169	101	67	53	105	288	329	64	96	306
Weighted Base	394	84	120	94	93	25	55	104	161	149	103	69	54	96	286	301	78	103	285
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More inclined	70	16	22	15	17	5	10	9	37	31	18	9	7	21	46	57	11	16	52
	17.7	18.9	18.1	15.5	18.2	18.9	17.8	8.5	22.7	21.1	17.7	13.2	13.7	22.4	16.2	18.8	14.2	15.4	18.4
Less inclined	41	10	14	8	9	3	4	13	13	13	15	6	5	12	28	30	9	18	24
	10.5	11.7	12.0	8.9	9.6	12.5	8.0	12.6	8.2	8.6	14.8	9.1	9.2	12.0	9.6	10.1	12.0	17.4	8.2
No effect	267	52	81	67	66	17	38	80	108	100	65	53	40	61	199	203	54	67	197
	67.8	62.2	67.7	71.6	71.0	68.6	68.9	76.8	66.9	67.2	63.5	75.9	73.9	63.3	69.6	67.5	69.5	65.3	69.1
Don't know	16	6	3	4	1	-	3	2	3	5	4	1	2	2	13	11	3	2	12
	4.0	7.2	2.2	4.0	1.3	-	5.3	2.2	2.2	3.1	4.0	1.7	3.1	2.3	4.5	3.6	4.3	1.8	4.3