

**FIELD**

**RESEARCH**

**CORPORATION**

FOUNDED IN 1945 BY MERVIN FIELD

601 California Street, Suite 900 • San Francisco, California 94108 • 415-392-5763

**Tabulations From a Survey of Likely Voter Preferences Regarding Prop. 93,  
the Term Limits Initiative, and Props. 94-97, the Indian Gaming Compacts  
Referenda on California's February 5<sup>th</sup> Primary Election Ballot**

*- prepared for -  
Capitol Alert*

**Late-January 2008**

**Introduction**

This volume presents the statistical data developed from a *Field Poll* survey of likely voters preferences regarding Proposition 93, the Term Limits initiative, and Propositions 94-97, the four referenda on Indian gaming compacts that will appear on California's February 5<sup>th</sup> primary election ballot. *The Field Poll* is an independent and non-partisan survey of public opinion in California established in 1945 by Mervin Field. Reports of *The Field Poll*, typically published 30-50 times per year, cover a wide range of political, and political topics. Many of California's leading media properties and news organizations publish and broadcast the results of each *Field Poll* report as feature or breaking news stories in their respective publications and broadcasts. All new and previous reports since 1995 are available to the public through its online archives at [www.field.com/fieldpollonline](http://www.field.com/fieldpollonline). *The Field Poll* is owned and operated by Field Research Corporation, with headquarters in San Francisco, California.

The results contained in this report are based on a *Field Poll* survey completed among a representative sample of 1,105 likely voters in the February 5<sup>th</sup> primary election. All interviewing was conducted during the period January 25-February 1, 2008 by telephone in English and Spanish.

**Sample Frame**

Voters in the survey were selected randomly from a listing of California's statewide voter registration rolls. The list used by Field provides a telephone number for over 90% of the state's registered voters. These telephone numbers come from a variety of sources, including telephone numbers included by the voter on the his/her official voter registration form, as well as through cross-referencing voter names and addresses against recent telephone directories and other telephone matching services. While the telephone numbers are primarily landline telephone numbers, these phone listings also include cell phones, whenever a cell phone number is provided by the voter when registering or in other settings accessible through telephone matching services. Thus, cell phones are not systematically excluded from the sample frame. Special procedures were used in the survey when contacting voters on their cell phones to include them into the survey sample.

For this survey, the sample of Republicans interviewed was augmented. After the completion of interviewing, the results were weighted to re-align the overall sample to characteristics of the state's registered voter population by party, region and other demographic variables.

**Data Collection**

Prior to the start of data collection, professionally-trained telephone interviewers were briefed with regard to the survey's proper calling and interviewing procedures by the Study Director. This session provided both interviewers and supervisors with an overview of the study and includes a question-by-question review of all items in the survey.

Interviewers then completed all survey interviews by telephone through the computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) system. CATI controls the telephone scripts read to individual respondents by displaying the appropriate questionnaire items and their valid response code alternatives in their proper sequence on computer screens at each interviewer's booth. The interviewer then reads each question aloud to the respondent from the screen and enters each respondent's pre-coded answer category through the keyboard directly to a computer disk. All answers are automatically stored in computer memory.

In order to bring hard-to-reach respondents into the survey, up to six attempts (an initial call plus five callbacks) were made to each telephone number selected for inclusion into the sample. Callbacks were made at different times and on different days to increase the probability of finding adults available for the interview. Where possible, appointments are made at specified dates and times to maximize convenience and cooperation rates.

### **Data Processing**

Because CATI itself provides for the direct data entry of responses by the interviewer and does not permit ineligible or invalid data entries, the data file resulting from CATI interviewing is itself virtually error-free.

Following this, a final series of data checks were performed by means of a specially designed cleaning program that scrutinizes each respondent record for internally inconsistent information.

### **Guide to Reading the Tables**

The following is an explanation of the detailed statistical tabulations contained in this report:

- The question or questions upon which the data are based is shown at the top of each table
- Tables are percentaged vertically with the raw percentage base appearing at the top of each column.
- The data have been weighted to known parameters of the statewide registered voter population. All percentages and frequencies reported in each table are therefore weighted tabulations.
- In instances where percentages are calculated on small bases (e.g., when the unweighted base is fewer than 100 respondents) the reader is urged to interpret the data with caution, since results are subject to relatively large levels of sampling error.
- Throughout the tables an asterisk is used to denote a value of less than 1/2 of 1%. A hyphen indicates zero value. On some tables the percentages may add to more than 100% due to multiple mentions.
- Bases of subgroups used in the tabulations may add to less than the total number of respondents due to some respondents not reporting that characteristic.

## **Subgroup Definitions**

The following are some of the definitions applicable to some of the voter subgroups reported in this volume:

### Area

Southern California: Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Imperial, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Kern, and San Luis Obispo counties

Northern California: all other 48 California counties

Coastal Counties: San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Mateo, San Francisco, Contra Costa, Alameda, Marin, Napa, Solano, Sonoma, Santa Clara, Mendocino, Humboldt and Del Norte counties

Inland counties: all other 38 California counties

### Region

Los Angeles: Los Angeles County

San Diego/Orange: San Diego and Orange counties

Other South: San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial, Ventura, Santa Barbara, and San Luis Obispo

Central Valley: Butte, Colusa, Fresno, Glenn, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Placer, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama, Tulare, Yolo, and Yuba

SF Bay Area: San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara and San Mateo

Other North: Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Del Norte, El Dorado, Humboldt, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Monterey, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Benito, Santa Cruz, Sierra, Siskiyou, Trinity, and Tuolumne

All other subgroups are based on demographic variables or are derived from questions asked in the survey.

### **Estimates of Sampling Error**

In any survey based on a sampling, there is some sampling error introduced into the data by the process of sampling itself. When the sample has been drawn using random processes, it is possible to apply probability principles to determine the potential range of such error. While survey samples of human populations rarely, if ever, meet all of the criteria theoretically required for the application of these principles, it is customary to use them as an approximation of error that is introduced as a result of sampling. The table below shows the range of error that is associated with samples of various sizes, assuming the use of the 95% confidence level, which is customary for most public opinion surveys.

For example, if 50% of a sample of overall sample of 1,105 likely voters in the California Democratic primary for president answered “yes” to a specific question, this statistic would have a sampling error of plus or minus 3.0 percentage points at the 95% confidence level. This means that there is a 95% chance that had the entire population of registered voters statewide been interviewed using the same questionnaire and methods, the results of such a census would yield a result between 47.0% and 53.0%. The same procedure can be used to estimate the sample error ranges of any other statistic contained in this report.

<u>Approximate sample size</u>	<u>Approximate percentage distribution of replies to question</u>				
	<u>10%</u>	<u>30%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>70%</u>	<u>90%</u>
100	+/- 6.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 10.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 6.0
200	+/- 4.3	+/- 6.5	+/- 7.1	+/- 6.5	+/- 4.3
300	+/- 3.5	+/- 5.3	+/- 5.8	+/- 5.3	+/- 3.5
500	+/- 2.7	+/- 4.1	+/- 4.5	+/- 4.1	+/- 2.7
800	+/- 2.0	+/- 3.1	+/- 3.4	+/- 3.1	+/- 2.0
1100	+/- 1.8	+/- 2.8	+/- 3.0	+/- 2.8	+/- 1.8

There are many other possible sources of error other than sampling variability in this and any other public opinion survey. Different results could occur because of differences in question wording, sequencing, the rigor with which sampling procedures were implemented, or through undetected errors or omissions in sampling, interviewing or data processing. The Field professionals working on this study did everything possible to minimize such errors.

### **Questions Asked**

(ASKED OF LIKELY VOTERS IN THE CALIFORNIA FEBRUARY 5TH PRIMARY ELECTION)

Have you seen, read or heard anything about Proposition 93, a statewide ballot proposition having to do with term limits that will appear on the February 2008 primary election ballot?

(As you know) Proposition 93 is called the "Limits on Legislators' Terms in Office" initiative. It reduces permissible state legislative service to 12 years and allows all 12 years' service in one house. Current legislators can serve 12 years in their current house, regardless of prior legislative service. (IF ALREADY VOTED, ASK:) Did you vote Yes or No on Proposition 93? (IF DID NOT ALREADY VOTE, ASK:) If the election were being held today, would you vote Yes or No on Proposition 93?

As you know, the state of California allows legal casino gaming, such as playing slot machines, dice, blackjack, and roulette, at casinos located on Indian tribal lands. Tax proceeds from these casinos are shared between the state government and the local governments where they are located. In general, do you favor or oppose expanding the number of casino gaming establishments on Indian tribal lands in California?

Have you seen, read or heard anything about four separate referendums to ratify amendments to four Indian gaming compacts that will appear on California's February primary election ballot as Propositions 94-97?

(As you know) these referendums are amendments to four Indian Gaming compacts. A "Yes" vote on each approves and a "No" vote rejects a law that ratifies an amendment to existing gaming compacts between the state and four Southern California Indian tribes. Fiscal impact: Net increase in annual state revenues from each referendum probably in the tens of millions of dollars, growing over time through the year 2030. (IF ALREADY VOTED, ASK:) Did you vote Yes or No on Propositions 94 through 97? (IF DID NOT ALREADY VOTE, ASK:) If the election were being held today, would you vote Yes or No on Propositions 94 through 97?

## **Tabulations**

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 193

Q.28 Have you seen, read or heard anything about Proposition 93, a statewide ballot proposition having to do with term limits that (will) appear(s) on the February 2008 primary election ballot?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Likely Voters Voting Method				
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego/Ornge	Other South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	'08 Prim.	Precinct	Absentee Total	Perm
Unweighted Base	1105	653	452	789	316	272	201	165	231	177	59	440	482	183	1105	574	516	377
Weighted Base	1100	634	466	786	314	265	200	153	237	184	61	495	404	202	1100	582	503	366
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	884	496	388	631	253	194	163	124	202	151	50	380	341	163	884	443	430	317
	80.3	78.2	83.2	80.2	80.6	73.4	81.6	80.8	85.2	82.0	81.1	76.8	84.5	80.6	80.3	76.1	85.6	86.6
No	207	130	77	148	58	68	33	27	34	33	12	110	60	37	207	136	67	45
	18.8	20.5	16.4	18.9	18.6	25.7	16.6	17.8	14.4	17.7	18.9	22.2	15.0	18.2	18.8	23.4	13.3	12.2
Don't know	10	8	2	7	3	2	4	2	1	*	-	5	2	2	10	3	6	4
	0.9	1.3	0.3	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.8	1.4	0.5	0.2	-	1.0	0.5	1.2	0.9	0.5	1.2	1.2

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 194

Q.28 Have you seen, read or heard anything about Proposition 93, a statewide ballot proposition having to do with term limits that (will) appear(s) on the February 2008 primary election ballot?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Gender		Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Marital Status		
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng serv- ative	Mod. serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried
Unweighted Base	1105	509	596	92	129	223	347	312	756	186	47	135	276	147	454	93	135	754	194	137
Weighted Base	1100	516	585	137	170	219	334	240	767	186	70	99	244	139	456	111	151	745	171	170
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	884	427	457	89	130	164	289	210	656	115	59	72	207	99	368	79	130	603	143	125
	80.3	82.9	78.1	64.8	76.9	75.2	86.6	87.8	85.4	61.9	83.3	72.8	85.0	71.0	80.8	71.7	86.3	80.9	83.7	74.0
No	207	86	121	48	37	52	42	28	105	70	12	25	35	40	84	30	18	135	27	44
	18.8	16.7	20.6	35.2	22.1	23.7	12.5	11.5	13.7	37.8	16.7	25.1	14.3	28.4	18.3	27.4	12.2	18.1	15.8	26.0
Don't know	10	2	7	-	2	3	3	2	7	1	-	2	2	1	4	1	2	7	1	-
	0.9	0.4	1.2	-	1.1	1.2	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.4	-	2.1	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.5	1.0	0.5	-

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 195

Q.28 Have you seen, read or heard anything about Proposition 93, a statewide ballot proposition having to do with term limits that (will) appear(s) on the February 2008 primary election ballot?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Education				Household Income				Religion				Born Again Christian		Tenure		Union stat		
	Total	H.S. Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/ Trade Sch'l	Post Grad-uate Col-lege/ Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-80K	More Than \$80K	Pro-tstnt /Chrs	Cath-olic	Other	None/ No Pref.	Yes	No	Home-Owner	Rent-er	Union Affil-iated	Non-union	
Unweighted Base	1105	225	343	271	243	81	141	301	419	441	271	187	151	241	834	843	208	222	858
Weighted Base	1100	216	344	280	243	79	141	319	419	434	255	200	153	246	832	810	241	235	840
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	884	146	280	241	209	58	103	265	355	368	183	166	127	191	678	676	185	188	678
	80.3	67.3	81.3	86.0	85.9	73.5	72.8	83.1	84.8	84.7	71.6	83.3	82.9	77.8	81.4	83.5	76.6	79.9	80.6
No	207	69	62	39	32	21	38	52	60	65	72	31	25	54	146	127	56	44	158
	18.8	32.0	18.1	13.8	13.3	26.5	26.7	16.3	14.3	14.9	28.1	15.7	16.2	22.2	17.5	15.7	23.4	18.6	18.8
Don't know	10	1	2	*	2	-	1	2	4	2	1	2	2	-	9	6	-	3	5
	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.2	0.9	-	0.5	0.6	0.9	0.4	0.3	1.1	1.0	-	1.1	0.8	-	1.5	0.6

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 196

Q.29 (As you know) proposition 93 is called the "Limits on Legislators' Terms in Office" initiative. It reduces permissible state legislative service to 12 years and allows all 12 years' service in one house. Current legislators can serve 12 years in their current house, regardless of prior legislative service. (Did you vote/If the election were being held today would you) vote Yes or No on Proposition 93?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Likely Voters Voting Method				
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego/Ornge	Other South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	'08 Prim.	Precinct	Absentee Total	Perm
Unweighted Base	1105	653	452	789	316	272	201	165	231	177	59	440	482	183	1105	574	516	377
Weighted Base	1100	634	466	786	314	265	200	153	237	184	61	495	404	202	1100	582	503	366
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	357	206	151	259	98	87	67	46	82	59	17	183	110	64	357	192	160	121
	32.5	32.5	32.5	33.0	31.2	32.7	33.4	29.9	34.7	31.9	27.8	36.9	27.4	31.8	32.5	33.0	31.8	33.0
No	504	283	221	349	155	110	95	69	104	95	32	194	224	86	504	243	254	186
	45.8	44.5	47.5	44.4	49.5	41.7	47.3	44.8	43.8	51.3	52.0	39.2	55.6	42.4	45.8	41.8	50.6	51.0
Don't know	239	146	93	178	61	68	39	39	51	31	12	118	69	52	239	147	88	59
	21.7	23.0	20.0	22.7	19.3	25.5	19.3	25.2	21.4	16.7	20.2	23.8	17.0	25.8	21.7	25.2	17.6	16.0

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 197

Q.29 (As you know) proposition 93 is called the "Limits on Legislators' Terms in Office" initiative. It reduces permissible state legislative service to 12 years and allows all 12 years' service in one house. Current legislators can serve 12 years in their current house, regardless of prior legislative service. (Did you vote/If the election were being held today would you vote Yes or No on Proposition 93?)

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Gender			Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology				Marital Status		
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng Con- serv- ative	Mod. Con- serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Mar- ried/ Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried
Unweighted Base	1105	509	596	92	129	223	347	312	756	186	47	135	276	147	454	93	135	754	194	137
Weighted Base	1100	516	585	137	170	219	334	240	767	186	70	99	244	139	456	111	151	745	171	170
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	357	187	171	39	53	81	114	71	233	64	36	33	69	44	136	39	69	237	54	61
	32.5	36.2	29.2	28.2	31.4	36.9	34.0	29.8	30.4	34.6	51.2	33.7	28.2	31.7	29.9	35.6	45.7	31.8	31.3	35.9
No	504	249	255	67	58	87	169	123	380	76	23	32	138	61	207	47	51	342	81	78
	45.8	48.2	43.7	48.6	34.3	39.7	50.7	51.4	49.5	41.0	32.9	32.4	56.5	43.9	45.4	42.6	34.0	46.0	47.6	45.9
Don't know	239	80	158	32	58	51	51	45	155	45	11	34	37	34	113	24	31	165	36	31
	21.7	15.6	27.1	23.2	34.2	23.4	15.3	18.8	20.1	24.4	16.0	33.9	15.3	24.4	24.7	21.8	20.4	22.2	21.1	18.2

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 198

Q.29 (As you know) proposition 93 is called the "Limits on Legislators' Terms in Office" initiative. It reduces permissible state legislative service to 12 years and allows all 12 years' service in one house. Current legislators can serve 12 years in their current house, regardless of prior legislative service. (Did you vote/If the election were being held today would you vote Yes or No on Proposition 93?)

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Education					Household Income				Religion				Born Again Christian		Tenure		Union stat	
	Total	Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/Trade Sch'l	Col-lege/Grad	Post-Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-80K	More Than \$80K	Pro-tstnt /Chrs	Cath-olic	Other	None/Pref.	Yes	No	Home-Owner	Rent-er	Union Affil-iated	Non-union
Unweighted Base	1105	225	343	271	243	81	141	301	419	441	271	187	151	241	834	843	208	222	858
Weighted Base	1100	216	344	280	243	79	141	319	419	434	255	200	153	246	832	810	241	235	840
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	357	74	122	87	76	28	39	112	147	131	87	73	54	79	276	262	84	88	266
	32.5	34.0	35.3	30.9	31.1	35.7	27.5	35.0	35.1	30.2	34.1	36.6	35.0	32.0	33.1	32.3	35.0	37.4	31.7
No	504	96	166	130	108	30	80	142	195	221	113	76	70	116	377	383	105	105	391
	45.8	44.4	48.2	46.4	44.3	38.5	56.5	44.5	46.4	50.8	44.3	38.2	45.9	47.1	45.3	47.3	43.5	44.7	46.6
Don't know	239	47	57	63	60	20	23	65	78	82	55	50	29	51	179	165	52	42	183
	21.7	21.6	16.5	22.6	24.6	25.7	16.1	20.5	18.5	19.0	21.6	25.2	19.1	20.9	21.5	20.4	21.5	17.9	21.7

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 202

Q.30 As you know, the state of California allows legal casino gaming, such as playing slot machines, dice, blackjack, and roulette, at casinos located on Indian tribal lands. Tax proceeds from these casinos are shared between the state government and the local governments where they are located. In general, do you favor or oppose expanding the number of casino gaming establishments on Indian tribal lands in California?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Likely Voters		Voting Method		
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego/Ornge	Other South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	'08 Prim.	Precinct	Total	Perm
Unweighted Base	1105	653	452	789	316	272	201	165	231	177	59	440	482	183	1105	574	516	377
Weighted Base	1100	634	466	786	314	265	200	153	237	184	61	495	404	202	1100	582	503	366
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favor	486	321	165	350	136	140	90	82	80	74	20	212	180	94	486	270	216	157
	44.2	50.6	35.5	44.6	43.3	53.1	44.8	53.3	33.9	40.4	32.5	42.9	44.6	46.6	44.2	46.4	42.9	42.8
Oppose	423	205	218	292	131	70	81	49	115	82	26	191	163	69	423	214	200	146
	38.4	32.3	46.8	37.1	41.7	26.4	40.3	32.0	48.4	44.7	42.9	38.6	40.4	34.0	38.4	36.7	39.7	39.9
Depends	77	44	33	56	21	22	12	9	17	12	4	34	31	12	77	34	43	29
	7.0	7.0	7.1	7.2	6.7	8.5	5.9	5.9	7.4	6.6	7.1	6.9	7.6	6.1	7.0	5.8	8.5	8.0
Don't know	114	65	49	88	26	32	18	14	24	15	11	57	30	27	114	65	45	34
	10.4	10.2	10.6	11.1	8.4	12.1	9.0	8.8	10.3	8.4	17.5	11.6	7.3	13.3	10.4	11.1	8.9	9.3

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 203

Q.30 As you know, the state of California allows legal casino gaming, such as playing slot machines, dice, blackjack, and roulette, at casinos located on Indian tribal lands. Tax proceeds from these casinos are shared between the state government and the local governments where they are located. In general, do you favor or oppose expanding the number of casino gaming establishments on Indian tribal lands in California?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Gender			Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Marital Status		
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng Con- serv- ative	Mod. Con- serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Mar- ried/ Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried	
Unweighted Base	1105	509	596	92	129	223	347	312	756	186	47	135	276	147	454	93	135	754	194	137	
Weighted Base	1100	516	585	137	170	219	334	240	767	186	70	99	244	139	456	111	151	745	171	170	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Favor	486	247	239	79	85	88	137	97	338	82	35	40	104	56	202	51	74	324	77	84	
	44.2	47.9	40.9	57.4	50.1	40.5	41.1	40.4	44.1	43.9	49.3	40.9	42.7	39.9	44.4	45.6	49.0	43.5	44.7	49.4	
Oppose	423	199	224	37	54	92	133	107	309	61	24	35	104	63	161	43	51	298	62	57	
	38.4	38.5	38.3	27.1	31.6	42.2	39.9	44.5	40.3	33.1	34.5	35.3	42.8	45.3	35.2	39.2	34.1	40.0	36.2	33.7	
Depends	77	27	50	14	5	14	35	8	49	18	4	9	13	9	30	13	12	44	14	17	
	7.0	5.3	8.5	10.4	3.1	6.6	10.6	3.4	6.4	9.6	5.2	9.3	5.4	6.8	6.6	11.9	7.7	5.9	8.2	10.1	
Don't know	114	42	72	7	26	24	28	28	70	25	8	14	22	11	63	4	14	79	19	12	
	10.4	8.2	12.3	5.1	15.2	10.8	8.4	11.7	9.2	13.4	10.9	14.5	9.1	8.1	13.8	3.3	9.2	10.6	10.9	6.8	

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 204

Q.30 As you know, the state of California allows legal casino gaming, such as playing slot machines, dice, blackjack, and roulette, at casinos located on Indian tribal lands. Tax proceeds from these casinos are shared between the state government and the local governments where they are located. In general, do you favor or oppose expanding the number of casino gaming establishments on Indian tribal lands in California?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Education					Household Income				Religion				Born Again Christian		Tenure		Union stat	
	H.S. Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20K -40K	\$40K -80K	More Than \$80K	Pro-tstnt /Chrs	Cath-olic	Other	None/No Pref.	Yes	No	Home-Owner	Rent-er	Union Affil-iated	Non-union	
	Total	less																	
	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	
Unweighted Base	1105	225	343	271	243	81	141	301	419	441	271	187	151	241	834	843	208	222	858
Weighted Base	1100	216	344	280	243	79	141	319	419	434	255	200	153	246	832	810	241	235	840
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favor	486	94	148	132	107	41	56	141	195	175	133	93	68	98	386	349	117	107	375
	44.2	43.3	43.1	47.2	44.0	51.7	39.7	44.1	46.4	40.3	52.1	46.5	44.6	39.9	46.4	43.1	48.5	45.6	44.6
Oppose	423	82	138	104	95	21	63	124	171	186	82	69	64	106	306	328	81	83	333
	38.4	38.0	40.3	37.2	39.2	26.4	44.8	39.0	40.7	42.9	32.1	34.8	42.0	43.2	36.7	40.5	33.6	35.2	39.6
Depends	77	16	25	21	14	9	9	15	28	29	18	20	5	20	52	51	23	17	57
	7.0	7.6	7.4	7.5	5.9	11.9	6.6	4.6	6.7	6.6	7.0	9.8	3.3	8.2	6.3	6.3	9.4	7.0	6.8
Don't know	114	24	32	23	27	8	12	39	26	45	22	18	16	21	89	82	21	29	76
	10.4	11.1	9.2	8.1	10.9	9.9	8.8	12.3	6.1	10.3	8.8	8.8	10.1	8.7	10.7	10.1	8.5	12.2	9.0

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 205

Q.31 Have you seen, read or heard anything about four separate referendums to ratify amendments to four Indian gaming compacts that (will) appear on California's February primary election ballot as Propositions 94 through 97?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Region					Area					Party Regis.				Likely Voters Voting Method			
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego/Ornge	Other South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	'08 Prim.	Precinct	Absentee Total	Perm
Unweighted Base	1105	653	452	789	316	272	201	165	231	177	59	440	482	183	1105	574	516	377
Weighted Base	1100	634	466	786	314	265	200	153	237	184	61	495	404	202	1100	582	503	366
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	924	525	400	669	255	213	174	124	210	147	55	406	350	168	924	478	434	316
	84.0	82.7	85.8	85.1	81.3	80.6	87.0	81.0	88.7	80.0	90.8	82.1	86.7	83.3	84.0	82.2	86.4	86.5
No	147	95	52	104	43	46	23	24	24	26	4	72	45	29	147	90	56	38
	13.4	14.9	11.2	13.2	13.7	17.4	11.5	15.4	10.1	14.3	6.5	14.7	11.2	14.5	13.4	15.4	11.0	10.5
Don't know	29	15	14	13	16	5	3	6	3	10	2	16	8	4	29	14	13	11
	2.6	2.4	3.0	1.7	5.0	2.0	1.5	3.6	1.2	5.7	2.7	3.3	2.1	2.2	2.6	2.4	2.6	3.1

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 206

Q.31 Have you seen, read or heard anything about four separate referendums to ratify amendments to four Indian gaming compacts that (will) appear on California's February primary election ballot as Propositions 94 through 97?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Gender		Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Marital Status		
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng serv- ative	Mod. serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried
Unweighted Base	1105	509	596	92	129	223	347	312	756	186	47	135	276	147	454	93	135	754	194	137
Weighted Base	1100	516	585	137	170	219	334	240	767	186	70	99	244	139	456	111	151	745	171	170
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	924	453	471	106	131	184	297	207	665	140	59	80	215	110	384	86	129	631	145	138
	84.0	87.8	80.7	77.1	77.2	84.4	88.9	86.3	86.6	75.5	83.1	81.1	88.4	79.0	84.1	77.8	85.8	84.7	84.8	81.2
No	147	51	95	31	34	28	27	27	90	36	8	14	20	27	57	23	19	96	21	29
	13.4	10.0	16.3	22.9	20.1	12.7	8.1	11.1	11.7	19.4	11.7	14.2	8.4	19.6	12.6	20.9	12.3	12.9	12.1	17.0
Don't know	29	11	18	-	5	6	10	6	13	9	4	5	8	2	15	1	3	18	5	3
	2.6	2.2	3.0	-	2.7	2.9	3.0	2.5	1.6	5.1	5.2	4.7	3.2	1.4	3.3	1.2	1.9	2.4	3.1	1.9

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 207

Q.31 Have you seen, read or heard anything about four separate referendums to ratify amendments to four Indian gaming compacts that (will) appear on California's February primary election ballot as Propositions 94 through 97?

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Education					Household Income				Religion			Born Again Christian		Tenure		Union stat		
	Total	H.S. Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post-Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20K -40K	\$40K -80K	More Than \$80K	Pro-tstnt /Chrs-tian	Cath-olic	Other	None/ No Pref.	Yes	No	Home-Owner	Rent-er	Union Affil-iated	Non-union
Unweighted Base	1105	225	343	271	243	81	141	301	419	441	271	187	151	241	834	843	208	222	858
Weighted Base	1100	216	344	280	243	79	141	319	419	434	255	200	153	246	832	810	241	235	840
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	924	167	292	243	213	66	109	266	372	373	203	172	128	208	701	696	192	205	703
	84.0	77.0	85.0	86.7	87.4	83.7	77.0	83.5	88.6	86.0	79.5	86.0	83.8	84.5	84.3	86.0	79.7	86.9	83.6
No	147	38	43	35	28	11	29	42	46	50	45	26	21	29	114	89	46	27	117
	13.4	17.5	12.6	12.3	11.5	14.0	20.7	13.2	11.1	11.6	17.6	13.0	13.5	12.0	13.7	11.0	19.3	11.6	13.9
Don't know	29	12	8	3	3	2	3	11	1	11	7	2	4	9	17	24	2	4	21
	2.6	5.5	2.5	1.0	1.1	2.3	2.3	3.4	0.3	2.4	2.9	0.9	2.8	3.5	2.0	2.9	1.0	1.5	2.5

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 208

Q.32 (As you know) these referendums are amendments to four Indian gaming compacts. A "Yes" vote on each approves and a "No" vote rejects a law that ratifies an amendment to existing gaming compacts between the state and four Southern California Indian tribes. Fiscal impact: Net increase in annual state revenues from each referendum probably in the tens of millions of dollars, growing over time through the year 2030.

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Likely Voters		Voting Method		
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego/Ornge	Other South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	'08 Prim.	Precinct	Absentee Total	Perm
Unweighted Base	1105	653	452	789	316	272	201	165	231	177	59	440	482	183	1105	574	516	377
Weighted Base	1100	634	466	786	314	265	200	153	237	184	61	495	404	202	1100	582	503	366
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	519	331	188	377	143	144	103	76	86	82	28	222	206	91	519	291	227	161
	47.2	52.2	40.4	47.9	45.5	54.5	51.3	49.9	36.3	44.5	46.0	44.9	51.0	45.2	47.2	50.1	45.1	43.9
No	372	181	191	254	118	66	65	42	105	75	20	167	132	74	372	173	191	145
	33.8	28.5	41.1	32.3	37.7	24.9	32.5	27.4	44.2	40.6	32.5	33.8	32.6	36.5	33.8	29.8	37.9	39.5
Mixed/some yes - some no	33	25	8	28	5	11	8	6	6	2	1	16	9	8	33	19	13	12
	3.0	3.9	1.8	3.6	1.5	4.2	3.8	4.0	2.4	1.0	1.1	3.1	2.3	4.1	3.0	3.2	2.6	3.4
Don't know	175	98	78	127	48	43	25	29	41	26	12	90	57	29	175	98	72	48
	15.9	15.4	16.7	16.2	15.3	16.4	12.4	18.6	17.1	13.9	20.5	18.1	14.1	14.3	15.9	16.9	14.4	13.2

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 209

Q.32 (As you know) these referendums are amendments to four Indian gaming compacts. A "Yes" vote on each approves and a "No" vote rejects a law that ratifies an amendment to existing gaming compacts between the state and four Southern California Indian tribes. Fiscal impact: Net increase in annual state revenues from each referendum probably in the tens of millions of dollars, growing over time through the year 2030.

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Gender			Age				Ethnicity					Political ideology				Marital Status			
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng Con- serv- ative	Mod. Con- serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Mar- ried/ Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried
Unweighted Base	1105	509	596	92	129	223	347	312	756	186	47	135	276	147	454	93	135	754	194	137
Weighted Base	1100	516	585	137	170	219	334	240	767	186	70	99	244	139	456	111	151	745	171	170
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	519	278	241	67	79	106	167	101	378	74	33	39	115	68	225	45	66	353	84	79
	47.2	53.9	41.3	48.7	46.6	48.5	50.0	42.0	49.3	40.0	46.8	39.6	47.2	48.9	49.3	41.0	44.0	47.5	48.9	46.3
No	372	174	199	48	50	69	109	96	257	68	25	30	83	54	132	48	55	249	53	65
	33.8	33.7	34.0	35.4	29.2	31.8	32.7	39.9	33.5	36.5	36.2	30.2	34.3	38.8	28.9	43.3	36.7	33.4	31.1	38.5
Mixed/some yes - some no	33	16	17	3	8	5	10	7	22	11	-	1	6	6	15	2	5	23	5	5
	3.0	3.1	2.9	2.3	4.4	2.4	2.9	3.1	2.8	5.9	-	0.5	2.4	4.0	3.4	1.6	3.0	3.1	2.9	3.0
Don't know	175	48	128	19	33	38	48	36	111	33	12	29	39	12	84	16	24	119	29	21
	15.9	9.3	21.8	13.7	19.7	17.3	14.3	15.0	14.5	17.6	17.0	29.7	16.2	8.4	18.5	14.1	16.2	16.0	17.1	12.1

Late January 2008 Field Poll

Table 210

Q.32 (As you know) these referendums are amendments to four Indian gaming compacts. A "Yes" vote on each approves and a "No" vote rejects a law that ratifies an amendment to existing gaming compacts between the state and four Southern California Indian tribes. Fiscal impact: Net increase in annual state revenues from each referendum probably in the tens of millions of dollars, growing over time through the year 2030.

Base : Already voted or absolutely certain to vote

	Education					Household Income				Religion				Born Again Christian		Tenure		Union stat	
	Total	H.S. Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -80K	More Than \$80K	Pro-tstnt /Chrs	Cath-olic	Other	None/ No Pref.	Yes	No	Home-Owner	Rent-er	Union Affil-iated	Non-union
	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====
Unweighted Base	1105	225	343	271	243	81	141	301	419	441	271	187	151	241	834	843	208	222	858
Weighted Base	1100	216	344	280	243	79	141	319	419	434	255	200	153	246	832	810	241	235	840
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	519	97	156	144	119	33	66	162	210	200	130	100	78	112	402	388	116	121	398
	47.2	44.7	45.4	51.6	49.0	42.1	46.7	50.7	50.2	46.1	51.1	50.0	50.8	45.6	48.3	47.9	48.1	51.2	47.3
No	372	80	132	84	74	26	62	105	136	152	77	70	52	85	278	277	81	82	282
	33.8	36.9	38.5	30.1	30.5	32.4	43.8	33.0	32.3	35.1	30.2	35.0	34.1	34.7	33.5	34.2	33.7	34.7	33.6
Mixed/some yes - some no	33	10	3	11	9	6	1	7	15	13	10	3	4	9	23	20	11	6	28
	3.0	4.6	0.8	4.0	3.8	7.1	0.6	2.2	3.5	3.1	4.1	1.4	2.8	3.6	2.8	2.4	4.5	2.4	3.3
Don't know	175	30	53	40	41	15	13	45	59	68	37	27	19	40	128	125	33	28	133
	15.9	13.8	15.3	14.4	16.7	18.4	8.9	14.1	14.0	15.7	14.6	13.6	12.3	16.1	15.4	15.5	13.7	11.7	15.8