

**FIELD**

**RESEARCH**

**CORPORATION**

FOUNDED IN 1945 BY MERVIN FIELD

601 California Street, Suite 900 • San Francisco, California 94108 • 415-392-5763

**Tabulations From a Survey of California Registered Voters About  
the Job Performance of Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger  
and the State Legislature**

*- prepared for the-  
Capitol Alert and the Sacramento Bee*

**October 13, 2009**

## **Introduction**

This volume presents the statistical data developed from a *Field Poll* survey of registered voters in California about the job performance of Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger and the state legislature. The survey was conducted by *The Field Poll* is an independent and non-partisan survey of California public opinion.

The results contained in this report are based on a *Field Poll* survey completed among a representative sample of 1,005 registered voters in California. In order to cover a broad range of issues and still minimize respondent fatigue, some of the questions in this report are asked of a random sub-sample of either 509 or 496 voters statewide. All interviewing was conducted September 18 – October 5, 2009 by telephone in English and Spanish.

## **Sampling**

The sample was developed from telephone listings of individual voters selected randomly from a listing of statewide voter registration rolls. Once a voter's name and telephone had been selected interviewers are attempted only with the specified voter. Interviews can be conducted on either the voter's landline or cell phone, depending on the source of the telephone listing from the voter file and the preference of the voter. After the completion of interviewing, the results were weighted slightly to bring the results into conformity with characteristics of the state's registered voter population by party, age, gender and region.

## **Data Collection**

Prior to the start of data collection, professionally-trained telephone interviewers were briefed with regard to the survey's proper calling and interviewing procedures by the Study Director. This session provided both interviewers and supervisors with an overview of the study and includes a question-by-question review of all items in the survey. Interviewers then completed all survey interviews by telephone through the computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) system. CATI controls the telephone scripts read to individual respondents by displaying the appropriate questionnaire items and their valid response code alternatives in their proper sequence on computer screens at each interviewer's booth. The interviewer then reads each question aloud to the respondent from the screen and enters each respondent's pre-coded answer category through the keyboard directly to a computer disk. All answers are automatically stored in computer memory.

In order to bring hard-to-reach respondents into the survey, up to six attempts (an initial call plus five callbacks) were made to each telephone number selected for inclusion into the sample. Callbacks were made at different times and on different days to increase the probability of finding voters available for the interview. Where possible, appointments are made at specified dates and times to maximize convenience and cooperation rates.

## **Data Processing**

Because CATI itself provides for the direct data entry of responses by the interviewer and does not permit ineligible or invalid data entries, the data file resulting from CATI interviewing is itself virtually error-free. Following this, a final series of data checks were performed by means of a specially designed cleaning program that scrutinizes each respondent record for internally inconsistent information. Once the data were determined to be clean and error-free, the sample was weighted slightly to align it to known estimates of the demographic and regional characteristics of the state's registered voter population.

## **Guide to Reading the Tables**

The following is an explanation of the detailed statistical tabulations contained in this report:

- The question or questions upon which the data are based is shown at the top of each table
- Tables are percentaged vertically with the raw percentage base appearing at the top of each column.
- The data have been weighted to known parameters of the statewide registered voter population. All percentages and frequencies reported in each table are therefore weighted tabulations.
- In instances where percentages are calculated on small bases (e.g., when the base is fewer than 100 respondents) the reader is urged to interpret the data with caution, since results are subject to relatively large levels of sampling error.
- Throughout the tables an asterisk is used to denote a value of less than 1/2 of 1%. A hyphen indicates zero value. On some tables the percentages may add to more than 100% due to multiple mentions.
- Bases of subgroups used in the tabulations may add to less than the total number of respondents due to some respondents not reporting that characteristic.

## Subgroup Definitions

The following are some of the definitions applicable to some of the voter subgroups reported in this volume:

### Area

Southern California: Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Imperial, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Kern, and San Luis Obispo counties

Northern California: all other 48 California counties

Coastal Counties: San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Mateo, San Francisco, Contra Costa, Alameda, Marin, Napa, Solano, Sonoma, Santa Clara, Mendocino, Humboldt and Del Norte counties

Inland counties: all other 38 California counties

### Region

Los Angeles: Los Angeles County

San Diego/Orange: San Diego County and Orange counties

Other South: San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial, Ventura, Santa Barbara, and San Luis Obispo

SF Bay Area: San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Mateo

Central Valley: Butte, Colusa, Fresno, Glenn, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Placer, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehema, Tulare, Yolo, and Yuba

Other North: Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Del Norte, El Dorado, Humboldt, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Monterey, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Benito, Santa Cruz, Sierra, Siskiyou, Trinity, and Tuolumne

### Estimates of Sampling Error

In any survey based on a sampling, there is some sampling error introduced into the data by the process of sampling itself. When the sample has been drawn using random processes, it is possible to apply probability principles to determine the potential range of such error. While survey samples of human populations rarely, if ever, meet all of the criteria theoretically required for the application of these principles, it is customary to use them as an approximation of error that is introduced as a result of sampling. The table below shows the range of error that is associated with samples of various sizes, assuming the use of the 95% confidence level, which is customary for most public opinion surveys.

For example, if 50% of a sample of overall sample of 1,005 registered voters answered “yes” to a specific question, this statistic would have a sampling error of plus or minus 3.2 percentage points at the 95% confidence level. This means that there is a 95% chance that had the overall population of registered voters statewide been interviewed using the same questionnaire and methods, the results of such a census would yield a result between 46.8 % and 53.2%. The same procedure can be used to estimate the sample error ranges of any other statistic contained in this report.

Approximate <u>sample size</u>	<u>Approximate percentage distribution of replies to question</u>				
	<u>10%</u>	<u>30%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>70%</u>	<u>90%</u>
100	+/- 6.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 10.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 6.0
200	+/- 4.3	+/- 6.5	+/- 7.1	+/- 6.5	+/- 4.3
500	+/- 2.7	+/- 4.1	+/- 4.5	+/- 4.1	+/- 2.7
1,005	+/- 1.9	+/- 2.9	+/- 3.2	+/- 2.9	+/- 1.9

There are many other possible sources of error other than sampling variability in this and any other public opinion survey. Different results could occur because of differences in question wording, sequencing, the rigor with which sampling procedures were implemented, or through undetected errors or omissions in sampling, interviewing or data processing. The overall design and execution of the survey minimized the potential for these other sources of error.

## Questions Asked

(ASKED OF ALL VOTERS)

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling his job as Governor of California?

(ASKED OF A RANDOM SUBSAMPLE OF VOTERS)

Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way the California state legislature is doing its job?

Suppose the governor were to call a special session of the state legislature before the end of the year to deal with water supply issues facing California. Do you favor or oppose the governor calling a special session of the state legislature for this purpose?

Suppose that the governor were to call a special session of the state legislature before the end of the year to deal with some proposed changes to the way taxes are assessed in California. Do you favor or oppose the governor calling a special session of the state legislature for this purpose?

Early October 2009 Field Poll

Q.13 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling his job as Governor of California?

Base: Registered voters

	Region					Area						Party Regis.			Gender		
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego	Ornge	Other South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	Male	Female
Unweighted Base	1005	596	409	684	321	235	102	85	159	196	175	53	452	355	198	521	484
Weighted Base	1005	595	410	705	300	253	99	82	147	208	166	50	447	313	245	468	537
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Approve	270	145	125	188	81	58	30	20	37	68	45	12	105	95	69	157	113
	26.8	24.3	30.5	26.7	27.1	22.7	29.9	24.7	25.3	32.5	27.1	24.8	23.5	30.5	28.2	33.4	21.1
Disapprove	654	394	260	454	199	171	58	53	99	128	110	34	310	184	160	281	373
	65.0	66.2	63.4	64.5	66.4	67.4	58.7	65.5	67.5	61.5	66.2	68.4	69.3	58.9	65.1	60.0	69.5
Don't know	82	57	25	62	20	25	11	8	11	12	11	3	32	33	16	31	51
	8.1	9.5	6.1	8.8	6.5	9.9	11.4	9.7	7.2	6.0	6.6	6.9	7.2	10.6	6.7	6.6	9.5

Early October 2009 Field Poll

Q.13 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling his job as Governor of California?

Base: Registered voters

	Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Marital Status			Tenure	
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non-Hisp-panic	La-tino	Black	Asian/Other	Strng Con- serv- ative	Mod. Con- serv- ative	Mid. the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Mar- Live Tgthr	Separ- ried/ Divor- wed	Never Mar- ried	Rent- er	Home- owner
Unweighted Base	1005	138	145	160	298	264	670	185	57	112	214	130	439	106	116	646	169	185	235	734
Weighted Base	1005	171	168	175	273	220	646	201	61	115	191	124	460	112	118	631	160	210	258	713
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Approve	270	34	42	39	81	74	207	30	6	28	56	39	124	27	24	173	46	49	55	204
	26.8	19.8	25.0	22.3	29.6	33.7	32.0	15.2	10.1	24.6	29.2	31.6	26.9	24.4	19.9	27.4	29.1	23.4	21.3	28.6
Disapprove	654	130	110	117	170	126	380	160	51	76	118	73	299	80	84	400	102	149	187	446
	65.0	76.1	65.8	67.1	62.5	57.4	58.8	79.8	84.6	66.2	61.7	59.0	65.0	71.0	71.3	63.4	63.8	71.2	72.7	62.5
Don't know	82	7	15	19	21	19	59	10	3	10	17	12	37	5	10	58	11	11	16	63
	8.1	4.0	9.2	10.6	7.9	8.8	9.1	5.0	5.3	9.1	9.1	9.5	8.1	4.6	8.8	9.2	7.1	5.4	6.0	8.9

Early October 2009 Field Poll

Q.13 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling his job as Governor of California?

Base: Registered voters

	Education					Household Income					Union Status		Attention To CA Gov't		Size of Government		
Total	less	Sch'l	Grad	Post	Grad-	Less	\$20	\$40	\$60-	More	Yes,	No	Great	Some	Lit-	More	Fewer
====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====
Unweighted Base	1005	208	343	244	209	99	167	155	241	241	210	781	358	492	152	355	509
Weighted Base	1005	213	345	242	204	112	173	143	238	239	214	778	328	505	169	373	490
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Approve	270	41	83	84	61	19	40	35	73	67	48	219	85	141	43	78	156
	26.8	19.4	23.9	34.8	30.1	17.0	23.1	24.8	30.9	28.2	22.6	28.1	25.9	27.9	25.5	20.8	31.8
Disapprove	654	155	243	132	123	87	125	93	147	147	154	492	222	323	109	271	298
	65.0	72.6	70.5	54.6	60.2	77.7	71.9	65.2	62.0	61.5	71.9	63.3	67.8	63.9	64.1	72.6	60.7
Don't know	82	17	19	26	20	6	9	14	17	25	12	67	21	42	18	25	37
	8.1	8.0	5.6	10.6	9.7	5.3	5.0	10.1	7.1	10.3	5.5	8.6	6.3	8.3	10.4	6.6	7.5

Early October 2009 Field Poll

Q.14 Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way the California state legislature is doing its job?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Region					Area							Party Regis.			Gender	
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego	Ornge	Other South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Other	Male	Female
Unweighted Base	509	306	203	354	155	121	56	43	83	107	79	20	223	181	105	270	239
Weighted Base	509	298	211	353	156	128	51	41	74	105	84	25	227	158	124	237	272
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Approve	63	41	22	48	16	21	9	3	8	12	11	-	37	11	15	30	33
	12.5	13.7	10.7	13.5	10.2	16.4	17.6	8.5	10.1	11.2	12.7	-	16.4	7.1	12.1	12.6	12.3
Disapprove	395	232	163	276	119	96	38	35	58	82	60	24	162	136	96	189	205
	77.5	77.8	77.1	78.0	76.4	75.0	75.4	86.5	78.4	78.1	71.8	93.9	71.7	86.2	77.1	79.8	75.5
Don't know	51	25	26	30	21	11	4	2	9	11	13	2	27	11	13	18	33
	10.0	8.5	12.2	8.5	13.5	8.6	7.0	5.0	11.5	10.6	15.5	6.1	11.9	6.7	10.8	7.6	12.2

Early October 2009 Field Poll

Q.14 Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way the California state legislature is doing its job?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Marital Status			Tenure	
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Other	Strong conservative	Mod. conservative	Mid. Road	Mod. liberal	Strong liberal	Married/Tgthr	Separated/Divorced	Never married	Renter	Homeowner
Unweighted Base	509	75	75	85	148	126	336	94	33	58	110	63	226	56	54	325	80	103	120	371
Weighted Base	509	84	85	92	139	109	327	102	35	57	100	62	236	57	55	321	77	110	129	364
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Approve	63	17	11	6	17	12	32	22	4	7	12	11	27	7	7	36	12	15	26	35
	12.5	20.7	13.3	6.9	12.0	10.8	9.7	21.3	12.7	11.8	12.2	18.2	11.3	11.7	12.4	11.2	16.1	13.7	19.8	9.6
Disapprove	395	62	61	72	116	84	263	69	27	41	81	44	183	45	41	254	58	82	90	294
	77.5	73.9	72.1	78.2	83.0	76.9	80.6	68.1	77.1	72.6	81.4	70.9	77.8	79.4	74.7	78.9	75.1	74.9	69.9	80.7
Don't know	51	5	12	14	7	13	31	11	4	9	6	7	26	5	7	32	7	13	13	36
	10.0	5.4	14.6	14.9	5.0	12.3	9.6	10.5	10.2	15.6	6.4	10.9	10.9	8.8	12.9	9.9	8.8	11.5	10.3	9.8

Early October 2009 Field Poll

Q.14 Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way the California state legislature is doing its job?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Education					Household Income					Union Status		Attention To CA Gov't		Size of Government		
	Total	H.S. Grad- or less	Some Col- lege/ Sch'l	Col- lege/ Grad	Post Grad- uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -60K	\$60- \$100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Great Deal	Some	Lit- tle/ None	More Ser- vices	Fewer Ser- vices
Unweighted Base	509	112	175	121	101	57	87	82	115	118	97	403	169	249	90	168	265
Weighted Base	509	113	175	120	101	61	91	76	114	117	96	404	159	252	98	175	256
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Approve	63	23	16	16	9	12	14	7	15	11	12	51	16	24	23	25	28
	12.5	20.5	9.0	13.4	8.4	20.1	15.2	9.2	12.7	9.8	12.7	12.7	10.2	9.6	23.7	14.4	11.1
Disapprove	395	71	145	94	85	38	69	61	92	97	76	315	138	198	57	129	208
	77.5	63.0	83.1	77.9	83.7	62.7	75.5	79.8	80.5	82.5	78.7	77.8	86.8	78.9	58.8	73.9	81.1
Don't know	51	19	14	10	8	11	8	8	8	9	8	39	5	29	17	20	20
	10.0	16.5	7.9	8.7	7.9	17.3	9.3	11.0	6.8	7.7	8.6	9.5	3.0	11.6	17.6	11.7	7.8

Early October 2009 Field Poll

Q.15a Suppose the governor were to call a special session of the state legislature before the end of the year to deal with water supply issues facing California. Do you favor or oppose the governor calling a special session of the state legislature for this purpose?

Base: Registered voters (form A)

	Region					Area							Party Regis.		Gender		
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego	Ornge	Other South	Bay Area	S.F. Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	Male	Female
Unweighted Base	496	290	206	330	166	114	46	42	76	89	96	33	229	174	93	251	245
Weighted Base	496	297	199	351	145	125	48	41	72	103	82	25	221	154	121	231	265
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favor	361	221	141	257	105	96	37	30	53	68	58	19	160	113	88	173	188
	72.9	74.3	70.7	73.1	72.3	76.8	77.0	73.0	73.2	66.4	71.4	76.1	72.6	73.4	72.8	74.9	71.1
Oppose	78	46	32	55	23	18	8	6	10	20	15	1	37	19	21	36	42
	15.6	15.4	16.0	15.5	15.9	14.3	16.1	15.4	13.2	19.3	18.4	5.0	16.9	12.5	17.3	15.5	15.8
Don't know	57	30	27	40	17	11	3	5	10	15	8	5	23	22	12	22	35
	11.5	10.2	13.3	11.4	11.7	9.0	6.9	11.6	13.7	14.3	10.2	18.9	10.5	14.1	9.9	9.7	13.1

Early October 2009 Field Poll

Q.15a Suppose the governor were to call a special session of the state legislature before the end of the year to deal with water supply issues facing California. Do you favor or oppose the governor calling a special session of the state legislature for this purpose?

Base: Registered voters (form A)

	Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Marital Status			Tenure	
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Other	Conservative	Mod. Conservative	Mid. Road	Liberal	Strng liberal	Married/Live Tgthr	Separ Divorced	Never Married	Rent-er	Home-owner
Unweighted Base	496	63	70	75	150	138	334	91	24	54	104	67	213	50	62	321	89	82	115	363
Weighted Base	496	86	83	82	133	111	320	99	26	58	91	62	224	55	63	310	83	100	129	349
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favor	361	68	66	52	96	79	246	64	16	43	63	42	171	36	50	222	59	78	93	252
	72.9	78.1	79.7	63.7	72.1	71.5	76.8	64.2	62.7	73.9	69.0	66.6	76.5	65.3	78.5	71.6	71.2	78.2	72.6	72.1
Oppose	78	10	11	17	23	17	37	29	5	7	16	13	33	10	6	53	13	11	21	57
	15.6	11.9	12.9	20.8	16.9	15.3	11.7	28.9	19.5	11.4	17.3	20.4	14.8	17.6	9.9	17.2	15.8	11.2	16.1	16.3
Don't know	57	9	6	13	15	15	37	7	5	9	12	8	19	9	7	35	11	11	15	41
	11.5	10.0	7.4	15.5	11.0	13.2	11.6	6.9	17.8	14.7	13.7	13.1	8.7	17.1	11.6	11.2	13.0	10.6	11.3	11.6

Early October 2009 Field Poll

Q.15a Suppose the governor were to call a special session of the state legislature before the end of the year to deal with water supply issues facing California. Do you favor or oppose the governor calling a special session of the state legislature for this purpose?

Base: Registered voters (form A)

	Education					Household Income					Union Status		Attention To CA Gov't		Size of Government		
	H.S. Grad-uate	Some Col-lege/Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post-graduate Work		Less Than \$20K	\$20K -40K	\$40K -60K	\$60K -100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Great Deal	Some	Lit-tle/None	More Ser-vices	Fewer Ser-vices
Total	less																
Unweighted Base	496	96	168	123	108	42	80	73	126	123	113	378	189	243	62	187	244
Weighted Base	496	101	170	122	102	51	82	67	123	122	118	373	169	254	72	198	234
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favor	361	61	128	91	80	36	59	46	93	93	96	261	124	185	52	146	175
	72.9	60.8	75.5	74.8	78.0	69.7	72.1	68.8	75.4	76.5	81.5	70.0	73.0	72.8	73.2	73.8	74.6
Oppose	78	26	23	15	14	10	16	13	18	13	15	62	27	41	9	37	32
	15.6	26.1	13.2	12.5	13.2	19.9	20.1	19.7	14.6	10.3	12.7	16.6	16.2	16.2	12.5	18.4	13.5
Don't know	57	13	19	16	9	5	6	8	12	16	7	50	18	28	10	15	28
	11.5	13.1	11.3	12.7	8.8	10.5	7.8	11.5	10.0	13.2	5.8	13.4	10.8	10.9	14.3	7.7	11.9

Early October 2009 Field Poll

Q.15b Suppose that the governor were to call a special session of the state legislature before the end of the year to deal with some proposed changes to the way taxes are assessed in California. Do you favor or oppose the governor calling a special session of the state legislature for this purpose?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Region				Area							Party Regis.		Gender			
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast/Inlnd	In-land	LA	San Diego	Ornge	Other South	Bay Area	S.F. Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	Male	Female
Unweighted Base	509	306	203	354	155	121	56	43	83	107	79	20	223	181	105	270	239
Weighted Base	509	298	211	353	156	128	51	41	74	105	84	25	227	158	124	237	272
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favor	317	195	122	221	96	82	28	31	52	57	48	19	134	104	80	151	166
	62.3	65.4	57.9	62.5	61.7	63.7	54.9	77.1	69.5	53.9	57.5	75.4	59.0	65.5	64.2	63.8	60.9
Oppose	127	69	58	87	40	35	15	4	14	29	27	3	61	35	30	61	66
	25.0	23.3	27.4	24.6	25.9	27.5	29.5	10.8	18.9	27.1	32.2	11.0	27.1	22.3	24.5	25.8	24.3
Don't know	65	34	31	46	19	11	8	5	9	20	9	3	31	19	14	25	40
	12.7	11.3	14.7	12.9	12.4	8.7	15.6	12.0	11.5	19.0	10.3	13.7	13.9	12.2	11.4	10.4	14.8

Early October 2009 Field Poll

Q.15b Suppose that the governor were to call a special session of the state legislature before the end of the year to deal with some proposed changes to the way taxes are assessed in California. Do you favor or oppose the governor calling a special session of the state legislature for this purpose?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Marital Status			Tenure	
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Other	Conservative	Mod. Conservative	Mid. Road	Liberal	Strng liberal	Married/Live Tgthr	Separ Divorced	Never Married	Renter	Homeowner
Unweighted Base	509	75	75	85	148	126	336	94	33	58	110	63	226	56	54	325	80	103	120	371
Weighted Base	509	84	85	92	139	109	327	102	35	57	100	62	236	57	55	321	77	110	129	364
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favor	317	53	51	61	90	63	213	53	21	35	61	43	140	38	35	193	53	71	81	228
	62.3	63.0	59.7	66.1	64.3	57.9	65.3	52.5	61.1	62.0	61.5	69.6	59.4	66.5	63.5	59.9	68.6	64.4	62.4	62.6
Oppose	127	20	24	21	32	31	66	37	10	18	26	16	60	15	11	88	15	24	30	91
	25.0	23.3	28.7	22.5	23.0	28.1	20.1	36.6	30.1	31.5	25.8	25.7	25.5	25.9	19.3	27.3	20.1	21.9	23.1	25.1
Don't know	65	11	10	11	18	15	48	11	3	4	13	3	35	4	9	41	9	15	19	45
	12.7	13.6	11.6	11.5	12.7	14.0	14.6	10.9	8.9	6.5	12.7	4.7	15.0	7.6	17.2	12.8	11.3	13.7	14.5	12.3

Early October 2009 Field Poll

Q.15b Suppose that the governor were to call a special session of the state legislature before the end of the year to deal with some proposed changes to the way taxes are assessed in California. Do you favor or oppose the governor calling a special session of the state legislature for this purpose?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Education					Household Income					Union Status		Attention To CA Gov't		Size of Government		
	Total	Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post-Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20K -40K	\$40K -60K	\$60K -100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Great Deal	Some	Lit-tle/None	More Ser-vices	Fewer Ser-vices
Unweighted Base	509	112	175	121	101	57	87	82	115	118	97	403	169	249	90	168	265
Weighted Base	509	113	175	120	101	61	91	76	114	117	96	404	159	252	98	175	256
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favor	317	60	104	85	68	31	58	52	73	78	54	262	104	153	59	119	161
	62.3	53.3	59.3	71.1	66.9	50.4	63.3	68.3	63.9	67.1	55.7	64.8	65.4	60.8	60.6	67.9	62.7
Oppose	127	38	48	23	18	18	28	15	28	24	34	90	43	56	28	35	68
	25.0	33.5	27.6	19.2	17.9	29.7	30.7	19.8	24.1	20.4	35.1	22.4	27.1	22.2	29.1	20.0	26.4
Don't know	65	15	23	12	15	12	5	9	14	15	9	52	12	43	10	21	28
	12.7	13.2	13.1	9.7	15.2	19.9	5.9	11.9	12.0	12.5	9.2	12.8	7.5	17.0	10.3	12.1	10.8