

FIELD

RESEARCH

CORPORATION

FOUNDED IN 1945 BY MERVIN FIELD

601 California Street, Suite 900 • San Francisco, California 94108 • 415-392-5763

**Tabulations From a Survey of California Voters about Living in
California and Changes in the Quality of Life**

*- prepared for the -
Capitol Alert and the Sacramento Bee*

March 17, 2011

Introduction

This volume presents the statistical data developed from a *Field Poll* survey of registered voters in California about their views about living in California and changes in the quality of life. The survey was undertaken collaboratively by the University of California, Berkeley and *The Field Poll*.

The results are based on a *Field Poll* survey completed among a representative sample of 898 registered voters in California conducted by telephone in English and Spanish February 28-March 14, 2011. In order to cover a broad range of issues and still minimize possible respondent fatigue the overall sample was divided into two random sub-samples of 454 and 444 voters each on the questions asked in this survey.

Sampling

The sample was developed from telephone listings of individual voters selected randomly from a listing of statewide voter registration rolls. Once a voter's name and telephone had been selected interviewers are attempted only with the specified voter. Interviews can be conducted on either the voter's landline or cell phone, depending on the source of the telephone listing from the voter file and the preference of the voter.

Prior to the start of data collection, professionally-trained telephone interviewers were briefed with regard to the survey's proper calling and interviewing procedures by the Study Director. This session provided both interviewers and supervisors with an overview of the study and includes a question-by-question review of all items in the survey. Interviewers then completed survey interviews by telephone through the computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) system. CATI controls the telephone scripts read to individual respondents by displaying the appropriate questionnaire items and their valid response code alternatives in their proper sequence on computer screens at each interviewer's booth. The interviewer then reads each question aloud to the respondent from the screen and enters each respondent's pre-coded answer category through the keyboard directly to a computer disk. All answers are automatically stored in computer memory.

In order to bring hard-to-reach respondents into the survey, up to six attempts (an initial call plus five callbacks) were made to each telephone number selected for inclusion into the sample. Callbacks were made at different times and on different days to increase the probability of finding voters available for the interview. Where possible, appointments are made at specified dates and times to maximize convenience and cooperation rates.

Data Processing

The data file resulting from CATI interviewing is itself virtually error-free. Even so, a final series of data checks were performed by means of a specially designed cleaning program that scrutinizes each respondent record for internally inconsistent information. Once the data were determined to be clean and error-free, the overall sample was weighted to align it to its proper statewide proportions by demographic characteristics of the state's registered voter population.

Guide to Reading the Tables

The following is an explanation of the detailed statistical tabulations contained in this report:

- The question or questions upon which the data are based is shown at the top of each table
- Tables are percentaged vertically with the raw percentage base appearing at the top of each column.
- The data have been weighted to known parameters of the statewide registered voter population. All percentages and frequencies reported in each table are therefore weighted tabulations.
- In instances where percentages are calculated on small bases (e.g., when the base is fewer than 100 respondents) the reader is urged to interpret the data with caution, since results are subject to larger levels of sampling error.
- Throughout the tables an asterisk is used to denote a value of less than 1/2 of 1%. A hyphen indicates zero value. On some tables the percentages may add to more than 100% due to multiple mentions.
- Bases of subgroups used in the tabulations may add to less than the total number of respondents due to some respondents not reporting that characteristic.

Subgroup Definitions

The following are some of the definitions applicable to some of the voter subgroups reported in this volume:

Area

Southern California: Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Imperial, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Kern, and San Luis Obispo counties

Northern California: all other 48 California counties

Coastal Counties: San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Mateo, San Francisco, Contra Costa, Alameda, Marin, Napa, Solano, Sonoma, Santa Clara, Mendocino, Humboldt and Del Norte counties

Inland counties: all other 38 California counties

Region

Los Angeles: Los Angeles County

San Diego/Orange: San Diego County and Orange counties

Other South: San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial, Ventura, Santa Barbara, and San Luis Obispo

SF Bay Area: San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Mateo

Central Valley: Butte, Colusa, Fresno, Glenn, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Placer, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehema, Tulare, Yolo, and Yuba

Other North: Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Del Norte, El Dorado, Humboldt, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Monterey, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Benito, Santa Cruz, Sierra, Siskiyou, Trinity, and Tuolumne

Estimates of Sampling Error

In any survey based on a sampling, there is some sampling error introduced into the data by the process of sampling itself. When the sample has been drawn using random processes, it is possible to apply probability principles to determine the potential range of such error. While survey samples of human populations rarely, if ever, meet all of the criteria theoretically required for the application of these principles, it is customary to use them as an approximation of error that is introduced as a result of sampling. The table below shows the range of error that is associated with samples of various sizes, assuming the use of the 95% confidence level, which is customary for most public opinion surveys. For example, if 50% of the overall sample of 898 registered voters answered “yes” to a specific question, this statistic would have a sampling error of plus or minus 3.4 percentage points at the 95% confidence level. This means that there is a 95% chance that had the overall population of registered voters statewide been interviewed using the same questionnaire and methods, the results of such a census would yield a result between 46.6% and 53.4%. The same procedure can be used to estimate the sample error ranges of any other statistic contained in this report.

Approximate <u>sample size</u>	<u>Approximate percentage distribution of replies to question</u>				
	<u>10%</u>	<u>30%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>70%</u>	<u>90%</u>
100	+/- 6.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 10.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 6.0
300	+/- 3.5	+/- 5.3	+/- 5.8	+/- 5.3	+/- 3.5
450	+/- 2.8	+/- 4.3	+/- 4.8	+/- 4.3	+/- 2.8
900	+/- 2.0	+/- 3.0	+/- 3.4	+/- 3.0	+/- 2.0

There are many other possible sources of error other than sampling variability in this and any other public opinion survey. Different results could occur because of differences in question wording, sequencing, the rigor with which sampling procedures were implemented, or through undetected errors or omissions in sampling, interviewing or data processing. The overall design and execution of the survey minimized the potential for these other sources of error.

Questions Asked

How would you rate California as a place to live? Would you say it is one of the best places to live, a nice but not outstanding place to live, about an average place to live, or a poor place to live?

Would you say that you and your family are financially better off or worse off today than you were a year ago, or is your financial situation about the same as a year ago?

Overall do you believe that immigration to California in recent years has improved life in the state, made life worse, or made no real change?

What about your own local community... Do you believe that immigration has improved life in your own community in recent years, made life worse or made no real change?

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.11 How would you rate California as a place to live? Would you say it is one of the best places to live, a nice but not outstanding place to live, about an average place to live, or a poor place to live?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Region					Area					Party Regis.					Likely Voter		Congress District	
	North/South		Coast/Inlnd			LA	San Diego/Ornge	Othr South	S.F. Bay Area	Cen-tral Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	#1	#2	Perm. mail voter	Demo-crtic	Repub-lican
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	In-land														
Unweighted Base	444	258	186	277	167	91	77	84	77	87	28	188	148	108	341	208	182	233	211
Weighted Base	444	264	180	309	135	115	79	64	90	73	22	195	137	111	340	202	173	247	197
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ONE OF THE BEST	172	92	80	133	39	41	29	20	51	20	11	82	43	47	141	89	75	111	62
	38.8	34.9	44.6	43.2	28.7	35.8	36.5	31.2	56.6	27.9	49.3	42.1	31.1	42.5	41.6	44.1	43.5	44.7	31.3
NICE BUT NOT OUTSTANDING	124	78	45	93	31	32	32	14	22	18	6	55	37	31	92	54	52	64	60
	27.9	29.7	25.2	30.1	22.7	27.4	40.5	22.4	24.2	24.2	27.6	28.3	27.1	28.1	27.2	26.7	30.2	25.9	30.3
ABOUT AVERAGE	90	52	38	50	40	24	11	16	13	23	2	42	26	21	60	29	21	51	39
	20.2	19.6	21.1	16.1	29.6	21.0	13.6	25.6	14.3	31.5	9.8	21.7	19.0	19.1	17.7	14.5	12.3	20.5	19.9
POOR PLACE	46	34	12	25	21	14	7	10	3	10	2	10	27	8	35	22	18	19	27
	10.3	12.7	6.9	8.0	15.6	11.8	8.5	16.3	3.7	13.5	8.9	5.4	19.9	7.4	10.3	11.1	10.2	7.6	13.8
Don't know	12	8	4	8	5	5	1	3	1	2	1	5	4	3	11	7	6	3	9
	2.8	3.1	2.3	2.5	3.4	4.0	0.9	4.6	1.2	3.0	4.3	2.6	3.0	2.9	3.3	3.6	3.7	1.2	4.7
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	444	264	180	309	135	115	79	64	90	73	22	195	137	111	340	202	173	247	197
Respondents	444	264	180	309	135	115	79	64	90	73	22	195	137	111	340	202	173	247	197

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.11 How would you rate California as a place to live? Would you say it is one of the best places to live, a nice but not outstanding place to live, about an average place to live, or a poor place to live?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Gender		Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Tenure	
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng Con- serv- ative	Mod. Con- serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Rent- er	Home- owner
Unweighted Base	444	238	206	81	56	90	102	115	263	110	16	61	88	43	199	48	48	130	287
Weighted Base	444	208	236	86	61	100	101	96	286	98	26	42	78	48	196	53	52	139	274
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ONE OF THE BEST	172	88	84	32	18	37	43	44	118	34	10	12	31	13	69	22	32	49	106
	38.8	42.4	35.6	37.1	28.8	36.5	42.0	45.7	41.2	34.6	37.7	28.2	39.1	27.0	35.1	41.4	61.5	35.6	38.9
NICE BUT NOT OUTSTANDING	124	54	70	34	18	29	27	15	77	31	6	13	14	18	55	21	10	39	73
	27.9	25.7	29.7	39.8	30.4	28.9	27.0	15.4	26.8	31.5	25.2	30.6	17.9	36.8	28.2	39.5	19.5	27.9	26.7
ABOUT AVERAGE	90	37	53	14	19	25	16	16	48	26	9	8	15	15	45	9	4	36	51
	20.2	17.7	22.4	16.5	31.2	24.6	15.3	17.1	16.9	26.1	35.4	19.7	19.8	32.1	22.9	16.7	8.5	26.2	18.6
POOR PLACE	46	24	22	4	5	10	12	15	33	7	*	7	16	1	23	1	2	11	35
	10.3	11.5	9.3	4.7	7.9	9.6	11.9	16.2	11.7	6.9	1.7	17.2	20.5	3.0	11.5	2.5	3.5	7.7	12.7
Don't know	12	6	7	2	1	1	4	5	10	1	-	2	2	1	5	-	4	4	9
	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.0	1.7	0.5	3.8	5.5	3.4	0.9	-	4.3	2.7	1.1	2.3	-	7.0	2.6	3.2
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	444	208	236	86	61	100	101	96	286	98	26	42	78	48	196	53	52	139	274
Respondents	444	208	236	86	61	100	101	96	286	98	26	42	78	48	196	53	52	139	274

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.11 How would you rate California as a place to live? Would you say it is one of the best places to live, a nice but not outstanding place to live, about an average place to live, or a poor place to live?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Education				Household Income						Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification		
	Total	H.S. Grad- or less	Some Col- lege/ Trade Sch'l	Post Grad- lege	Less Than \$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ- Divor- wed	Never Mar- ried	A lot	Some	All Other	
Unweighted Base	444	105	145	99	64	70	75	61	89	104	103	337	266	64	108	48	91	305
Weighted Base	444	100	142	104	69	66	77	69	88	105	106	333	255	66	116	36	99	309
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ONE OF THE BEST	172	31	50	44	34	19	26	27	31	48	49	123	97	25	46	11	30	132
	38.8	31.3	35.3	42.3	48.8	29.7	34.4	38.8	35.7	45.7	46.0	37.0	38.1	38.4	39.8	29.1	29.9	42.8
NICE BUT NOT OUTSTANDING	124	26	41	24	23	14	19	14	38	31	26	94	72	12	37	6	34	84
	27.9	25.9	29.0	23.5	33.9	22.1	24.4	19.8	43.8	29.2	24.7	28.2	28.4	17.5	32.3	15.3	34.1	27.3
ABOUT AVERAGE	90	30	31	24	4	21	24	18	8	11	17	72	46	19	24	10	19	61
	20.2	29.8	21.6	23.1	5.3	31.7	31.7	25.5	8.8	10.8	15.8	21.5	18.0	28.4	20.7	26.6	18.8	19.9
POOR PLACE	46	11	16	10	5	7	6	9	7	14	12	34	33	8	5	10	15	21
	10.3	10.7	11.1	10.1	8.0	11.4	7.6	12.3	8.4	13.0	11.5	10.1	13.0	12.3	4.0	27.4	14.9	6.9
Don't know	12	2	4	1	3	3	1	2	3	1	2	10	7	2	4	1	2	10
	2.8	2.3	3.0	1.0	4.0	5.2	1.9	3.6	3.4	1.3	1.9	3.1	2.6	3.4	3.1	1.5	2.3	3.1
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	444	100	142	104	69	66	77	69	88	105	106	333	255	66	116	36	99	309
Respondents	444	100	142	104	69	66	77	69	88	105	106	333	255	66	116	36	99	309

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.11 How would you rate California as a place to live? Would you say it is one of the best places to live, a nice but not outstanding place to live, about an average place to live, or a poor place to live?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Total	Personal Finance Compared to Last Year			Impact of Immigration on CA Quality of Life			Impact of Immigration on Community Quality of Life		
		Better Off	Worse Off	No Change /Opinion	Improved	Worse /Opinion	No Change	Improved	Worse /Opinion	No Change
	====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Unweighted Base	444	49	172	223	52	178	214	41	125	278
Weighted Base	444	47	168	229	53	173	218	41	117	286
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ONE OF THE BEST	172	20	41	111	21	55	97	19	31	122
	38.8	43.5	24.3	48.5	39.1	31.6	44.4	46.2	26.5	42.8
NICE BUT NOT OUTSTANDING	124	13	52	58	20	36	69	13	20	91
	27.9	28.9	30.9	25.4	36.8	20.6	31.5	30.7	16.9	31.9
ABOUT AVERAGE	90	11	41	38	8	45	37	7	37	45
	20.2	22.8	24.4	16.6	14.7	26.0	16.9	18.2	31.8	15.7
POOR PLACE	46	1	29	16	3	32	11	1	26	19
	10.3	2.9	17.0	7.0	5.6	18.8	4.8	1.9	22.3	6.6
Don't know	12	1	6	6	2	5	5	1	3	8
	2.8	1.9	3.4	2.5	3.9	3.0	2.4	2.9	2.5	2.9
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	444	47	168	229	53	173	218	41	117	286
Respondents	444	47	168	229	53	173	218	41	117	286

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.33 On another topic... Would you say that you and your family are financially better off or worse off today than you were a year ago, or is your financial situation about the same as a year ago?

Base: Registered voters

	Region					Area					Party Regis.					Likely Voter		Congress District		
	North/South		Coast/Inlnd			LA	San Diego/Ornge		S.F. Bay Area		Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	#1	#2	Perm. mail voter	Demo-crtic	Repub-lican
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	In-land		Diego/Ornge	Othr South	Bay Area											
Unweighted Base	898	532	366	601	297	218	160	137	161	162	60	396	285	217	680	443	378	509	389	
Weighted Base	898	540	358	630	268	233	161	130	181	148	44	395	277	225	681	438	369	523	375	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
BETTER OFF	111	56	55	82	29	26	15	13	35	17	4	58	26	28	83	41	44	76	35	
	12.4	10.3	15.5	13.0	10.8	11.2	9.6	10.3	19.3	11.6	8.5	14.6	9.3	12.2	12.2	9.4	11.8	14.5	9.4	
WORSE OFF	337	209	129	223	115	96	47	54	59	64	17	143	124	70	254	159	126	190	148	
	37.6	38.7	35.9	35.3	42.8	41.1	29.5	41.8	32.5	43.1	38.1	36.2	44.8	31.1	37.3	36.4	34.2	36.3	39.4	
NO DIFFERENCE	445	274	171	321	124	110	98	62	85	66	23	194	127	124	343	237	197	254	191	
	49.5	50.7	47.8	51.0	46.0	47.1	60.7	47.8	47.0	44.8	53.4	49.0	45.7	55.2	50.3	54.2	53.5	48.6	50.9	
Don't know	5	2	3	4	1	1	*	*	2	1	-	1	*	3	2	-	2	3	1	
	0.5	0.3	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.2	1.1	0.5	-	0.2	0.1	1.5	0.3	-	0.6	0.6	0.3	
Adds to:																				
Rows	898	540	358	630	268	233	161	130	181	148	44	395	277	225	681	438	369	523	375	
Respondents	898	540	358	630	268	233	161	130	181	148	44	395	277	225	681	438	369	523	375	

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.33 On another topic... Would you say that you and your family are financially better off or worse off today than you were a year ago, or is your financial situation about the same as a year ago?

Base: Registered voters

	Gender		Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Tenure	
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng Con- serv- ative	Mod. Con- serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Rent- er	Home- owner
Unweighted Base	898	459	439	175	109	162	219	233	562	208	39	116	161	100	394	105	108	272	574
Weighted Base	898	421	477	172	118	198	213	197	578	199	52	99	153	107	392	107	112	277	566
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER OFF	111	55	56	26	24	31	17	13	67	26	9	13	12	13	46	20	17	37	71
	12.4	13.0	11.8	15.2	20.1	15.6	7.9	6.8	11.6	13.2	17.2	13.5	7.7	12.4	11.6	18.4	14.9	13.3	12.6
WORSE OFF	337	164	174	64	47	73	88	66	209	81	20	36	68	32	160	38	27	112	204
	37.6	38.9	36.4	37.0	39.7	37.0	41.4	33.4	36.2	40.6	38.9	36.6	44.6	29.6	41.0	36.1	24.1	40.3	36.1
NO DIFFERENCE	445	199	246	80	47	93	107	117	298	91	23	49	73	62	184	48	67	125	291
	49.5	47.3	51.6	46.8	40.2	46.9	50.2	59.5	51.6	45.8	43.8	49.6	47.8	58.0	47.0	45.5	60.0	45.0	51.3
Don't know	5	3	1	2	-	1	1	1	3	1	-	*	-	-	1	-	1	4	*
	0.5	0.8	0.3	1.1	-	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.5	-	0.4	-	-	0.4	-	1.0	1.4	*
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	898	421	477	172	118	198	213	197	578	199	52	99	153	107	392	107	112	277	566
Respondents	898	421	477	172	118	198	213	197	578	199	52	99	153	107	392	107	112	277	566

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.33 On another topic... Would you say that you and your family are financially better off or worse off today than you were a year ago, or is your financial situation about the same as a year ago?

Base: Registered voters

	Education				Household Income						Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification		
	Total	H.S. or less	Some Col-lege/Trade Sch'l	Post-Grad	Less Than \$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Married/Live Tgthr	Separated/Widowed	Never Married	A lot	Some	All Other	
Unweighted Base	898	210	289	208	126	139	155	132	195	201	206	682	534	143	212	92	177	629
Weighted Base	898	202	289	216	128	130	155	138	199	209	212	674	534	140	215	81	190	627
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER OFF	111	15	37	37	15	12	16	15	25	41	25	85	75	11	25	8	17	86
	12.4	7.5	12.7	17.1	11.9	9.2	10.2	10.9	12.6	19.8	11.7	12.6	14.0	7.9	11.8	9.4	9.0	13.8
WORSE OFF	337	84	114	71	41	64	66	54	68	55	68	263	190	61	82	37	84	216
	37.6	41.5	39.6	32.8	31.8	49.2	42.5	38.9	34.3	26.3	32.2	39.1	35.6	43.5	38.3	46.0	44.3	34.5
NO DIFFERENCE	445	101	138	107	71	53	73	69	105	113	119	322	268	68	104	36	89	320
	49.5	49.9	47.8	49.6	55.6	40.8	47.3	50.3	53.0	54.0	56.1	47.7	50.3	48.5	48.4	44.4	46.7	51.1
Don't know	5	2	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	4	1	-	3	*	-	4
	0.5	1.1	-	0.5	0.7	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	0.2	-	1.4	0.3	-	0.7
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	898	202	289	216	128	130	155	138	199	209	212	674	534	140	215	81	190	627
Respondents	898	202	289	216	128	130	155	138	199	209	212	674	534	140	215	81	190	627

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.33 On another topic... Would you say that you and your family are financially better off or worse off today than you were a year ago, or is your financial situation about the same as a year ago?

Base: Registered voters

	Personal Finance Compared to Last Year			Impact of Immigration on CA Quality of Life			Impact of Immigration on Community Quality of Life			
	Better Off	Worse Off	No Change /Opinion	Improved	Worse /Opinion	No Change	Improved	Worse /Opinion	No Change	
Total	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	
Unweighted Base	898	110	339	449	87	358	453	83	237	578
Weighted Base	898	111	337	450	89	350	459	81	230	587
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER OFF	111	111	-	-	20	30	61	15	20	77
	12.4	100.0	-	-	22.9	8.6	13.2	18.2	8.5	13.1
WORSE OFF	337	-	337	-	21	170	146	23	122	193
	37.6	-	100.0	-	23.4	48.7	31.9	27.7	53.1	32.9
NO DIFFERENCE	445	-	-	445	48	149	249	44	87	314
	49.5	-	-	99.0	53.7	42.4	54.2	54.1	37.8	53.5
Don't know	5	-	-	5	-	1	3	-	1	3
	0.5	-	-	1.0	-	0.4	0.7	-	0.5	0.6
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	898	111	337	450	89	350	459	81	230	587
Respondents	898	111	337	450	89	350	459	81	230	587

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.34 Overall do you believe that immigration to California in recent years has improved life in the state, made life worse, or made no real change?

Base: Registered voters

	Region					Area					Party Regis.					Likely Voter		Congress District		
	North/South		Coast/Inlnd			LA	San Diego/ Othr		S.F. Bay	Cen- tral Val- ey	Other		Dem	Rep	Non- Part/ Other	#1	#2	Perm. mail bllot voter	Demo- crtican	Repub lican
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	In- land		Ornge	South	Area		North	Other								
Unweighted Base	898	532	366	601	297	218	160	137	161	162	60	396	285	217	680	443	378	509	389	
Weighted Base	898	540	358	630	268	233	161	130	181	148	44	395	277	225	681	438	369	523	375	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
IMPROVED	89	53	36	64	25	24	15	12	21	13	4	53	11	25	65	42	24	56	32	
	9.9	9.8	10.0	10.1	9.4	10.2	9.3	8.9	11.6	8.6	10.2	13.5	3.8	11.0	9.6	9.6	6.5	10.8	8.6	
WORSE	350	224	126	243	107	88	70	57	64	53	18	128	156	66	276	190	159	184	166	
	39.0	41.5	35.2	38.6	39.8	37.6	43.8	44.0	35.4	35.5	40.8	32.4	56.1	29.5	40.6	43.5	42.9	35.2	44.3	
NO CHANGE	418	239	179	290	127	112	64	58	87	79	18	193	99	125	312	189	170	255	162	
	46.5	44.2	50.0	46.1	47.4	48.2	39.8	44.5	47.8	53.3	39.9	48.8	35.8	55.6	45.8	43.1	46.1	48.9	43.2	
Don't know	42	24	17	32	9	9	12	3	9	4	4	21	12	9	28	17	17	27	15	
	4.6	4.5	4.8	5.1	3.4	4.0	7.2	2.6	5.1	2.6	9.1	5.3	4.2	3.9	4.0	3.8	4.5	5.1	3.9	
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	
Rows	898	540	358	630	268	233	161	130	181	148	44	395	277	225	681	438	369	523	375	
Respondents	898	540	358	630	268	233	161	130	181	148	44	395	277	225	681	438	369	523	375	

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.34 Overall do you believe that immigration to California in recent years has improved life in the state, made life worse, or made no real change?

Base: Registered voters

	Gender		Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Tenure	
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng Con- serv- ative	Mod. Con- serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Rent- er	Home- owner
Unweighted Base	898	459	439	175	109	162	219	233	562	208	39	116	161	100	394	105	108	272	574
Weighted Base	898	421	477	172	118	198	213	197	578	199	52	99	153	107	392	107	112	277	566
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
IMPROVED	89	39	50	26	9	19	20	15	43	38	4	9	7	7	29	17	24	34	49
	9.9	9.2	10.5	14.9	7.3	9.8	9.2	7.9	7.4	19.2	7.4	9.1	4.6	6.2	7.3	16.1	21.8	12.3	8.6
WORSE	350	171	179	42	34	80	98	95	246	63	18	33	92	54	147	24	22	91	239
	39.0	40.6	37.6	24.4	29.0	40.5	46.2	48.4	42.5	31.5	34.7	33.6	60.2	50.0	37.5	22.9	19.8	32.7	42.2
NO CHANGE	418	196	222	100	66	90	89	73	262	89	29	52	50	45	197	57	59	136	254
	46.5	46.5	46.5	58.3	56.0	45.3	41.7	37.0	45.4	44.8	56.6	52.3	32.5	42.0	50.3	53.3	52.7	49.1	44.8
Don't know	42	16	26	4	9	9	6	13	27	9	1	5	4	2	19	8	6	16	25
	4.6	3.7	5.5	2.5	7.7	4.4	2.9	6.7	4.7	4.5	1.4	4.9	2.7	1.8	4.8	7.7	5.7	5.9	4.4
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	898	421	477	172	118	198	213	197	578	199	52	99	153	107	392	107	112	277	566
Respondents	898	421	477	172	118	198	213	197	578	199	52	99	153	107	392	107	112	277	566

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.34 Overall do you believe that immigration to California in recent years has improved life in the state, made life worse, or made no real change?

Base: Registered voters

	Education				Household Income						Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification		
	Total	H.S. Grad- or less	Some Col- lege/ Trade Sch'l	Post Grad- lege Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor Wed	Never Mar- ried	A lot	Some	All Other	
Unweighted Base	898	210	289	208	126	139	155	132	195	201	206	682	534	143	212	92	177	629
Weighted Base	898	202	289	216	128	130	155	138	199	209	212	674	534	140	215	81	190	627
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
IMPROVED	89	18	23	19	18	15	21	9	14	22	23	66	47	15	26	1	5	82
	9.9	8.7	8.1	8.6	14.3	11.8	13.6	6.4	6.9	10.6	10.8	9.7	8.9	10.6	12.3	1.5	2.8	13.1
WORSE	350	94	125	75	41	51	61	60	70	77	79	264	223	59	65	59	104	187
	39.0	46.5	43.3	34.7	32.3	39.0	39.6	43.9	35.3	37.0	37.1	39.3	41.8	41.9	30.3	72.3	54.7	29.9
NO CHANGE	418	85	121	116	64	53	68	64	105	104	99	314	242	57	116	19	74	325
	46.5	42.0	41.9	53.8	50.0	40.9	43.9	46.7	52.7	50.0	46.7	46.6	45.2	40.5	54.1	23.0	38.8	51.9
Don't know	42	6	19	6	4	11	5	4	10	5	11	30	22	10	7	3	7	32
	4.6	2.8	6.7	2.9	3.4	8.4	2.9	3.0	5.1	2.5	5.4	4.4	4.1	6.9	3.4	3.1	3.7	5.1
Adds to:																		
Rows	898	202	289	216	128	130	155	138	199	209	212	674	534	140	215	81	190	627
Respondents	898	202	289	216	128	130	155	138	199	209	212	674	534	140	215	81	190	627

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.34 Overall do you believe that immigration to California in recent years has improved life in the state, made life worse, or made no real change?

Base: Registered voters

	Personal Finance Compared to Last Year			Impact of Immigration on CA Quality of Life			Impact of Immigration on Community Quality of Life			
	Better Off	Worse Off	No Change /Opinion	Improved	Worse	No Change /Opinion	Improved	Worse	No Change /Opinion	
Total	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	
Unweighted Base	898	110	339	449	87	358	453	83	237	578
Weighted Base	898	111	337	450	89	350	459	81	230	587
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
IMPROVED	89	20	21	48	89	-	-	43	1	45
	9.9	18.3	6.1	10.6	100.0	-	-	52.4	0.3	7.7
WORSE	350	30	170	150	-	350	-	8	200	142
	39.0	27.0	50.5	33.3	-	100.0	-	9.6	87.3	24.2
NO CHANGE	418	54	135	229	-	-	418	27	24	367
	46.5	48.5	40.1	50.9	-	-	91.0	33.2	10.5	62.5
Don't know	42	7	11	23	-	-	42	4	5	33
	4.6	6.3	3.3	5.2	-	-	9.0	4.7	2.0	5.6
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	898	111	337	450	89	350	459	81	230	587
Respondents	898	111	337	450	89	350	459	81	230	587

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.35 What about your own community...Do you believe that immigration has improved life in your own community in recent years, made life worse, or made no real change?

Base: Registered voters

	Region					Area					Party Regis.					Likely Voter		Congress District	
	Total	North Cal	South Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego/Ornge	Othr South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	#1	#2	Perm. mail voter	Demo-crtic	Repub-lican
Unweighted Base	898	532	366	601	297	218	160	137	161	162	60	396	285	217	680	443	378	509	389
Weighted Base	898	540	358	630	268	233	161	130	181	148	44	395	277	225	681	438	369	523	375
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
IMPROVED	81	45	37	60	22	24	11	8	21	12	6	44	10	27	61	36	29	58	24
	9.1	8.3	10.2	9.5	8.1	10.5	6.7	6.0	11.7	7.8	12.7	11.2	3.6	12.1	9.0	8.3	7.9	11.1	6.3
WORSE	230	155	75	148	81	55	45	45	33	42	10	78	113	39	182	117	85	114	116
	25.6	28.7	20.9	23.6	30.3	23.7	28.2	34.4	18.0	28.3	21.7	19.7	40.7	17.3	26.7	26.8	23.1	21.7	30.9
NO CHANGE	558	326	232	401	157	151	98	72	120	91	25	256	145	157	416	268	242	335	223
	62.1	60.4	64.6	63.7	58.4	64.8	60.9	55.7	66.1	61.2	57.3	64.8	52.1	69.7	61.1	61.4	65.5	64.0	59.4
Don't know	29	14	15	21	9	2	7	5	8	4	4	17	10	2	22	15	13	16	13
	3.3	2.6	4.2	3.3	3.2	1.0	4.1	4.0	4.2	2.7	8.3	4.3	3.6	0.9	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.2	3.4
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	898	540	358	630	268	233	161	130	181	148	44	395	277	225	681	438	369	523	375
Respondents	898	540	358	630	268	233	161	130	181	148	44	395	277	225	681	438	369	523	375

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.35 What about your own community...Do you believe that immigration has improved life in your own community in recent years, made life worse, or made no real change?

Base: Registered voters

	Gender		Age					Ethnicity					Political ideology					Tenure	
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	Strng Con- serv- ative	Mod. Con- serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Rent- er	Home- owner
Unweighted Base	898	459	439	175	109	162	219	233	562	208	39	116	161	100	394	105	108	272	574
Weighted Base	898	421	477	172	118	198	213	197	578	199	52	99	153	107	392	107	112	277	566
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
IMPROVED	81	43	39	26	7	16	17	16	41	31	6	10	8	2	32	16	20	34	42
	9.1	10.1	8.1	15.0	6.0	8.0	8.0	8.1	7.0	15.8	10.8	10.0	5.1	1.6	8.2	15.2	17.7	12.2	7.5
WORSE	230	118	112	28	25	57	58	61	161	39	11	26	68	41	89	18	8	61	162
	25.6	28.0	23.4	16.4	21.6	28.6	27.4	30.8	27.8	19.8	20.8	25.9	44.3	38.6	22.7	16.4	6.9	21.9	28.6
NO CHANGE	558	252	306	117	82	121	129	108	355	125	34	60	70	63	264	69	78	174	343
	62.1	59.7	64.2	68.0	69.7	61.0	60.7	55.0	61.5	63.1	65.5	60.5	45.7	59.2	67.3	64.5	69.4	62.7	60.5
Don't know	29	9	20	1	3	5	8	12	21	3	2	4	7	1	7	4	7	9	19
	3.3	2.1	4.2	0.5	2.8	2.4	3.9	6.2	3.7	1.3	3.0	3.7	4.9	0.5	1.8	3.9	5.9	3.2	3.4
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	898	421	477	172	118	198	213	197	578	199	52	99	153	107	392	107	112	277	566
Respondents	898	421	477	172	118	198	213	197	578	199	52	99	153	107	392	107	112	277	566

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.35 What about your own community...Do you believe that immigration has improved life in your own community in recent years, made life worse, or made no real change?

Base: Registered voters

	Education				Household Income						Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification		
	Total	H.S. or less	Some Col-lege/ Trade Sch'l	Post-Grad	Less Than \$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Married/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor Wed	Never Married	A lot	Some	All Other	
Unweighted Base	898	210	289	208	126	139	155	132	195	201	206	682	534	143	212	92	177	629
Weighted Base	898	202	289	216	128	130	155	138	199	209	212	674	534	140	215	81	190	627
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
IMPROVED	81	17	21	14	17	17	21	4	8	25	17	64	41	13	27	-	14	67
	9.1	8.5	7.3	6.3	13.1	13.1	13.5	3.1	4.3	12.1	7.9	9.5	7.7	9.6	12.6	-	7.4	10.8
WORSE	230	70	85	47	18	36	39	42	50	40	55	174	155	35	39	42	73	115
	25.6	34.6	29.3	21.8	14.4	27.5	25.3	30.5	25.3	19.0	25.7	25.8	29.1	25.2	18.2	51.1	38.7	18.3
NO CHANGE	558	109	173	149	87	73	92	85	135	140	134	415	322	82	146	36	100	421
	62.1	54.1	59.7	69.2	68.2	56.5	59.3	61.4	67.8	67.0	62.9	61.5	60.4	58.8	67.9	44.5	52.9	67.2
Don't know	29	6	11	6	6	4	3	7	5	4	7	21	15	9	3	4	2	24
	3.3	2.8	3.7	2.7	4.4	3.0	2.0	5.0	2.7	1.9	3.5	3.2	2.9	6.4	1.2	4.5	1.1	3.8
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	898	202	289	216	128	130	155	138	199	209	212	674	534	140	215	81	190	627
Respondents	898	202	289	216	128	130	155	138	199	209	212	674	534	140	215	81	190	627

March 2011 Field Poll

Q.35 What about your own community...Do you believe that immigration has improved life in your own community in recent years, made life worse, or made no real change?

Base: Registered voters

	Personal Finance Compared to Last Year				Impact of Immigration on CA Quality of Life			Impact of Immigration on Community Quality of Life		
	Total	Better Off	Worse Off	No Change /Opinion	Improved	Worse	No Change /Opinion	Improved	Worse	No Change /Opinion
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Unweighted Base	898	110	339	449	87	358	453	83	237	578
Weighted Base	898	111	337	450	89	350	459	81	230	587
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
IMPROVED	81	15	23	44	43	8	31	81	-	-
	9.1	13.3	6.7	9.8	48.2	2.2	6.7	100.0	-	-
WORSE	230	20	122	88	1	200	29	-	230	-
	25.6	17.7	36.1	19.6	0.8	57.2	6.2	-	100.0	-
NO CHANGE	558	72	184	301	42	134	382	-	-	558
	62.1	65.2	54.5	67.1	47.1	38.3	83.2	-	-	95.0
Don't know	29	4	9	16	3	8	18	-	-	29
	3.3	3.8	2.7	3.5	3.9	2.3	3.9	-	-	5.0
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	898	111	337	450	89	350	459	81	230	587
Respondents	898	111	337	450	89	350	459	81	230	587