

FIELD

RESEARCH

CORPORATION

FOUNDED IN 1945 BY MERVIN FIELD

601 California Street, Suite 900 • San Francisco, California 94108 • 415-392-5763

**Tabulations From a Survey of California Registered Voters about
Proposition 13, the Jarvis-Gann Property Tax Reduction Amendment
and Proposals to Change Some of its Provisions**

*- prepared for the-
Capitol Alert and the Sacramento Bee*

September 23, 2011

Introduction

This volume presents the statistical data developed from a *Field Poll* survey of registered voters in California about Proposition 13, the Jarvis-Gann property tax reduction amendment and proposals to change some of its provisions. The survey was conducted by *The Field Poll* among a representative sample of 1,001 registered voters in California conducted by telephone in English and Spanish September 1-12, 2011. In order to cover a broad range of issues and still minimize possible respondent fatigue, some questions were asked of a random subsample of voters.

Sampling

The sample was developed from telephone listings of individual voters selected randomly from a listing of statewide voter registration rolls. Once a voter's name and telephone had been selected interviewers are attempted only with the specified voter. Interviews can be conducted on either the voter's landline or cell phone, depending on the source of the telephone listing from the voter file and the preference of the voter.

Prior to the start of data collection, professionally-trained telephone interviewers were briefed with regard to the survey's proper calling and interviewing procedures by the Study Director. This session provided both interviewers and supervisors with an overview of the study and includes a question-by-question review of all items in the survey. Interviewers then completed survey interviews by telephone through the computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) system. CATI controls the telephone scripts read to individual respondents by displaying the appropriate questionnaire items and their valid response code alternatives in their proper sequence on computer screens at each interviewer's booth. The interviewer then reads each question aloud to the respondent from the screen and enters each respondent's pre-coded answer category through the keyboard directly to a computer disk. All answers are automatically stored in computer memory.

In order to bring hard-to-reach respondents into the survey, up to six attempts (an initial call plus five callbacks) were made to each telephone number selected for inclusion into the sample. Callbacks were made at different times and on different days to increase the probability of finding voters available for the interview. Where possible, appointments are made at specified dates and times to maximize convenience and cooperation rates.

Data Processing

The data file resulting from CATI interviewing is itself virtually error-free. Even so, a final series of data checks were performed by means of a specially designed cleaning program that scrutinizes each respondent record for internally inconsistent information. Once the data were determined to be clean and error-free, the overall sample was weighted to align it to its proper statewide proportions by demographic characteristics of the state's registered voter population.

Guide to Reading the Tables

The following is an explanation of the detailed statistical tabulations contained in this report:

- The question or questions upon which the data are based is shown at the top of each table
- Tables are percentaged vertically with the raw percentage base appearing at the top of each column.
- The data have been weighted to known parameters of the statewide registered voter population. All percentages and frequencies reported in each table are therefore weighted tabulations.
- In instances where percentages are calculated on small bases (e.g., when the base is fewer than 100 respondents) the reader is urged to interpret the data with caution, since results are subject to larger levels of sampling error.
- Throughout the tables an asterisk is used to denote a value of less than 1/2 of 1%. A hyphen indicates zero value. On some tables the percentages may add to more than 100% due to multiple mentions.
- Bases of subgroups used in the tabulations may add to less than the total number of respondents due to some respondents not reporting that characteristic.

Subgroup Definitions

The following are some of the definitions applicable to some of the voter subgroups reported in this volume:

Area

Southern California: Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Imperial, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Kern, and San Luis Obispo counties

Northern California: all other 48 California counties

Coastal Counties: San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Mateo, San Francisco, Contra Costa, Alameda, Marin, Napa, Solano, Sonoma, Santa Clara, Mendocino, Humboldt and Del Norte counties

Inland counties: all other 38 California counties

Region

Los Angeles: Los Angeles County

San Diego/Orange: San Diego County and Orange counties

Other South: San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial, Ventura, Santa Barbara, and San Luis Obispo

SF Bay Area: San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Mateo

Central Valley: Butte, Colusa, Fresno, Glenn, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Placer, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehema, Tulare, Yolo, and Yuba

Other North: Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Del Norte, El Dorado, Humboldt, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Monterey, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Benito, Santa Cruz, Sierra, Siskiyou, Trinity, and Tuolumne

Estimates of Sampling Error

In any survey based on a sampling, there is some sampling error introduced into the data by the process of sampling itself. When the sample has been drawn using random processes, it is possible to apply probability principles to determine the potential range of such error. While survey samples of human populations rarely, if ever, meet all of the criteria theoretically required for the application of these principles, it is customary to use them as an approximation of error that is introduced as a result of sampling. The table below shows the range of error that is associated with samples of various sizes, assuming the use of the 95% confidence level, which is customary for most public opinion surveys. For example, if 50% of the overall sample of 1,001 registered voters answered “yes” to a specific question, this statistic would have a sampling error of plus or minus 3.1 percentage points at the 95% confidence level. This means that there is a 95% chance that had the overall population of registered voters statewide been interviewed using the same questionnaire and methods, the results of such a census would yield a result between 46.9% and 53.1%. The same procedure can be used to estimate the sample error ranges of any other statistic contained in this report.

<u>Approximate sample size</u>	<u>Approximate percentage distribution of replies to question</u>				
	<u>10%</u>	<u>30%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>70%</u>	<u>90%</u>
100	+/- 6.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 10.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 6.0
300	+/- 3.5	+/- 5.3	+/- 5.8	+/- 5.3	+/- 3.5
500	+/- 2.7	+/- 4.1	+/- 4.5	+/- 4.1	+/- 2.7
1000	+/- 1.9	+/- 2.9	+/- 3.1	+/- 2.9	+/- 1.9

There are many other possible sources of error other than sampling variability in this and any other public opinion survey. Different results could occur because of differences in question wording, sequencing, the rigor with which sampling procedures were implemented, or through undetected errors or omissions in sampling, interviewing or data processing. The overall design and execution of the survey minimized the potential for these other sources of error.

Questions Asked

Thirty years ago in 1978 California voters approved Proposition 13, which reduced local property taxes and limited the amount they could be increased each year. If Proposition 13 were up for a vote again today, do you think you would vote in favor of it or vote against it?

Proposition 13 reduced property taxes on both residential and commercial property. Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to permit business and commercial property owners to be taxed at a higher rate than owners of residential property as a way for local governments to raise additional revenues?

Proposition 13 generally requires a two-thirds vote of the state legislature to increase taxes. Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to enable the legislature to increase taxes by a simple majority vote of legislators in the Assembly and State Senate?*

Proposition 13 generally requires a two-thirds vote of the state legislature to increase taxes. Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to enable the legislature to increase taxes by a 55% majority of legislators in the Assembly and State Senate?*

** Asked of a random subsample of voters.*

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q21. Thirty years ago in 1978 California voters approved Proposition 13, which reduced local property taxes and limited the amount they could be increased each year. If Proposition 13 were up for a vote again today, do you think you would vote in favor of it or vote against it?

Base: Registered voters

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Ethnicity				Voted Gen.	
	North/South Coast/Inlnd					San Diego/ Othr Bay S.F. tral					Other	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	White non-Hisp- tino	La-Black	Asian/Other	Elect-ion	
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	In-land	LA	Ornge	South	Area	Val-ey	North								2008
	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====
Unweighted Base	1001	571	430	674	327	249	166	131	186	201	68	463	333	205	646	189	48	68	873
Weighted Base	1001	605	396	712	289	260	179	145	202	165	49	440	309	251	625	200	49	77	858
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WOULD VOTE IN FAVOR	633	400	233	441	191	160	126	103	113	98	32	232	241	159	420	107	27	48	543
	63.2	66.0	58.9	61.9	66.3	61.5	70.6	70.8	56.0	59.6	64.4	52.8	77.8	63.4	67.1	53.3	56.4	62.0	63.3
WOULD VOTE AGAINST	291	161	130	219	72	84	37	33	75	51	11	176	49	67	164	76	15	24	254
	29.1	26.7	32.8	30.7	25.1	32.2	20.5	22.9	37.2	31.1	21.5	39.9	15.7	26.5	26.2	37.8	31.1	31.0	29.6
NO OPINION	77	44	33	52	25	16	16	9	14	15	7	32	20	25	42	18	6	5	61
	7.7	7.3	8.4	7.4	8.7	6.3	8.9	6.3	6.8	9.3	14.0	7.3	6.5	10.1	6.7	8.8	12.5	7.0	7.1
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	1001	605	396	712	289	260	179	145	202	165	49	440	309	251	625	200	49	77	858

13 Sep 2011

Q21. Thirty years ago in 1978 California voters approved Proposition 13, which reduced local property taxes and limited the amount they could be increased each year. If Proposition 13 were up for a vote again today, do you think you would vote in favor of it or vote against it?

Base: Registered voters

	Gender		Age					Political ideology					Tenure					
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	Strng Con- ative	Mod. Con- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Rent- er	Homeowner Total	[YEAR PURCHASED]		
	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====
Unweighted Base	1001	502	499	159	121	190	248	282	202	121	396	99	138	271	679	255	318	97
Weighted Base	1001	469	532	186	138	215	232	230	183	119	404	107	140	289	659	273	295	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WOULD VOTE IN FAVOR	633	315	318	111	85	131	135	168	155	84	247	62	57	168	440	170	201	63
	63.2	67.1	59.8	59.9	62.0	61.2	58.5	73.1	84.7	70.4	61.2	58.0	40.5	58.0	66.7	62.3	68.1	75.6
WOULD VOTE AGAINST	291	121	170	53	41	68	82	48	24	25	124	31	73	93	174	75	81	17
	29.1	25.8	32.0	28.4	29.7	31.5	35.3	20.8	12.9	21.3	30.8	29.3	51.7	32.0	26.4	27.4	27.4	21.0
NO OPINION	77	34	44	22	11	16	14	14	4	10	33	14	11	29	45	28	13	3
	7.7	7.2	8.3	11.7	8.3	7.4	6.2	6.1	2.4	8.2	8.1	12.8	7.8	10.0	6.9	10.3	4.5	3.3
Adds to: Rows	1001	469	532	186	138	215	232	230	183	119	404	107	140	289	659	273	295	83

13 Sep 2011

Q21. Thirty years ago in 1978 California voters approved Proposition 13, which reduced local property taxes and limited the amount they could be increased each year. If Proposition 13 were up for a vote again today, do you think you would vote in favor of it or vote against it?

Base: Registered voters

	Education				Household Income						Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification		
	H.S. Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post-Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Mar-ried Live Tgthr	Separ-ate Divor-ced	Never Mar-ried	A lot	Some	All Other	
	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	
Unweighted Base	1001	192	326	246	233	118	139	167	210	227	187	791	622	158	204	108	220	673
Weighted Base	1001	185	320	253	239	122	135	159	221	230	192	786	618	143	224	94	217	690
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WOULD VOTE IN FAVOR	633	123	222	164	122	76	88	105	130	146	107	512	408	92	126	85	167	380
	63.2	66.2	69.6	64.8	51.2	62.8	64.8	65.8	59.0	63.5	55.7	65.1	66.1	63.9	56.1	90.7	77.1	55.1
WOULD VOTE AGAINST	291	46	75	71	98	37	37	36	75	70	68	217	168	39	78	7	41	243
	29.1	24.9	23.3	27.9	40.9	30.6	27.5	22.9	34.0	30.3	35.5	27.5	27.2	27.5	34.8	7.8	18.9	35.2
NO OPINION	77	17	23	19	19	8	10	18	16	14	17	58	42	12	20	1	9	67
	7.7	8.9	7.1	7.3	7.9	6.6	7.7	11.4	7.0	6.1	8.8	7.3	6.7	8.6	9.1	1.5	4.0	9.8
Adds to: Rows	1001	185	320	253	239	122	135	159	221	230	192	786	618	143	224	94	217	690

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q21. Thirty years ago in 1978 California voters approved Proposition 13, which reduced local property taxes and limited the amount they could be increased each year. If Proposition 13 were up for a vote again today, do you think you would vote in favor of it or vote against it?

Base: Registered voters

	Religion				Born Again		Telephone Use			Aware of Redistricting Commission (Q28)		Opinion of Prop. 13 (Q21)		Pres. Obama Job Rating (Q2)		Opinion of Ballot Props. (Q31)		
	Total	Cath.	olic	Othr	No	Yes	No	All/ Most Cell	Some Land	All/ Most Land	Yes	No/ DK	Favor	Opp- ose	Ap- prove	Dis- ap- prove	Good	Bad
Unweighted Base	1001	419	226	157	199	237	764	305	281	402	365	636	637	286	453	454	537	137
Weighted Base	1001	397	229	161	214	226	775	335	276	378	343	658	633	291	463	444	533	131
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WOULD VOTE IN FAVOR	633	288	136	91	117	162	471	214	165	246	218	415	633	-	247	325	374	54
	63.2	72.6	59.3	56.4	55.0	71.5	60.8	63.9	59.8	65.0	63.5	63.0	100.0	-	53.3	73.2	70.3	41.3
WOULD VOTE AGAINST	291	85	74	56	76	51	240	101	88	100	107	184	-	291	171	100	126	68
	29.1	21.3	32.3	34.7	35.7	22.4	31.0	30.0	31.9	26.6	31.2	28.0	-	100.0	37.0	22.6	23.7	51.5
NO OPINION	77	24	19	14	20	14	64	21	23	32	18	59	-	-	45	19	32	9
	7.7	6.0	8.4	8.9	9.3	6.0	8.2	6.1	8.3	8.4	5.3	9.0	-	-	9.7	4.2	6.0	7.2
Adds to: Rows	1001	397	229	161	214	226	775	335	276	378	343	658	633	291	463	444	533	131

13 Sep 2011

Q22. Proposition 13 reduced property taxes on both residential and commercial property. Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to permit business and commercial property owners to be taxed at a higher rate than owners of residential property as a way for local governments to raise additional revenues?

Base: Registered voters

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Ethnicity				Voted Gen. Elec- tion	
	North/South Coast/Inlnd					San Diego/ Othr Bay S.F. tral					Other			White Non- non- Part/ Hisp- La- Asian/				----	
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	In- land	LA	Ornge	South	Area	Val- ey	North	Dem	Rep	Other	anic	tino	Black	Other	-----
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Unweighted Base	1001	571	430	674	327	249	166	131	186	201	68	463	333	205	646	189	48	68	873
Weighted Base	1001	605	396	712	289	260	179	145	202	165	49	440	309	251	625	200	49	77	858
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
FAVOR	410	229	182	313	97	114	55	54	117	59	11	234	72	104	242	99	23	30	351
	41.0	37.8	45.9	44.0	33.6	43.8	30.6	37.5	58.0	35.7	22.0	53.1	23.3	41.6	38.7	49.2	47.4	38.5	40.9
OPPOSE	502	328	175	333	169	128	106	80	65	93	32	162	216	124	328	91	19	40	440
	50.2	54.1	44.2	46.8	58.6	49.0	59.2	55.1	31.9	56.0	64.4	36.8	69.8	49.5	52.5	45.2	39.6	51.8	51.3
NO OPINION	88	49	39	66	23	19	18	11	20	14	7	44	21	22	55	11	6	8	67
	8.8	8.1	9.9	9.2	7.9	7.2	10.1	7.4	10.0	8.3	13.6	10.1	6.9	8.9	8.9	5.6	13.0	9.7	7.8
Adds to:	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Rows	1001	605	396	712	289	260	179	145	202	165	49	440	309	251	625	200	49	77	858

13 Sep 2011

Q22. Proposition 13 reduced property taxes on both residential and commercial property. Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to permit business and commercial property owners to be taxed at a higher rate than owners of residential property as a way for local governments to raise additional revenues?

Base: Registered voters

	Gender		Age					Political ideology					Tenure					
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	Strng Con- serv- ative	Mod. Con- serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Rent- er	Homeowner Total	[YEAR PURCHASED]		
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Unweighted Base	1001	502	499	159	121	190	248	282	202	121	396	99	138	271	679	255	318	97
Weighted Base	1001	469	532	186	138	215	232	230	183	119	404	107	140	289	659	273	295	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
FAVOR	410	200	210	76	64	81	94	96	30	35	179	52	101	121	263	107	118	36
	41.0	42.6	39.6	40.7	46.3	37.5	40.7	41.8	16.3	29.7	44.2	48.4	71.7	42.0	40.0	39.2	39.9	43.9
OPPOSE	502	243	260	90	64	118	120	108	142	77	196	48	24	136	344	144	155	39
	50.2	51.7	48.9	48.6	46.3	55.0	52.0	47.2	77.4	64.5	48.5	44.6	16.8	46.9	52.1	52.9	52.4	46.7
NO OPINION	88	27	61	20	10	16	17	25	12	7	29	7	16	32	52	22	23	8
	8.8	5.8	11.5	10.7	7.4	7.5	7.4	10.9	6.3	5.8	7.3	7.0	11.5	11.1	7.9	8.0	7.6	9.4
Adds to: Rows	1001	469	532	186	138	215	232	230	183	119	404	107	140	289	659	273	295	83

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q22. Proposition 13 reduced property taxes on both residential and commercial property. Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to permit business and commercial property owners to be taxed at a higher rate than owners of residential property as a way for local governments to raise additional revenues?

Base: Registered voters

	Education					Household Income					Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification		
	H.S. Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -60K	\$60- \$100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Mar-ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar-ried	A lot	Some	All Other	
Total	1001	192	326	246	233	118	139	167	210	227	187	791	622	158	204	108	220	673
Unweighted Base	1001	192	326	246	233	118	139	167	210	227	187	791	622	158	204	108	220	673
Weighted Base	1001	185	320	253	239	122	135	159	221	230	192	786	618	143	224	94	217	690
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
FAVOR	410	72	118	94	125	47	55	68	98	87	98	305	237	61	108	10	51	350
	41.0	38.7	37.0	37.1	52.0	38.9	40.4	42.5	44.5	37.8	51.0	38.7	38.3	42.6	48.1	10.5	23.4	50.7
OPPOSE	502	96	171	139	96	61	67	77	97	132	77	412	323	71	101	79	146	277
	50.2	51.8	53.5	54.8	40.0	50.2	49.4	48.1	43.8	57.5	40.3	52.4	52.3	49.2	45.0	84.1	67.5	40.1
NO OPINION	88	18	30	20	19	13	14	15	26	11	17	69	58	12	15	5	20	63
	8.8	9.5	9.5	8.1	8.0	10.9	10.2	9.4	11.7	4.8	8.7	8.8	9.4	8.1	6.9	5.4	9.2	9.2
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	1001	185	320	253	239	122	135	159	221	230	192	786	618	143	224	94	217	690

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q22. Proposition 13 reduced property taxes on both residential and commercial property. Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to permit business and commercial property owners to be taxed at a higher rate than owners of residential property as a way for local governments to raise additional revenues?

Base: Registered voters

	Religion				Born Again		Telephone Use			Aware of Redistricting Commission (Q28)		Opinion of Prop. 13 (Q21)		Pres. Obama Job Rating (Q2)		Opinion of Ballot Props. (Q31)		
	Total	Pro-testant/Othr Cath.	Catholic	Othr Relig	No Pref.	Yes	No	All/ Most Cell	Some Land	All/ Most Land	Yes	No/ DK	Favor	Oppose	Approve	Disapprove	Good	Bad
Unweighted Base	1001	419	226	157	199	237	764	305	281	402	365	636	637	286	453	454	537	137
Weighted Base	1001	397	229	161	214	226	775	335	276	378	343	658	633	291	463	444	533	131
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
FAVOR	410	131	96	72	111	68	342	124	117	164	161	249	239	154	257	115	219	66
	41.0	33.0	41.9	44.9	51.9	30.0	44.2	37.1	42.5	43.5	47.1	37.8	37.7	52.9	55.4	26.0	41.1	50.0
OPPOSE	502	235	115	75	78	140	362	173	135	187	159	344	361	110	164	296	270	55
	50.2	59.1	50.1	46.2	36.7	62.1	46.7	51.7	49.1	49.4	46.3	52.2	57.1	37.7	35.4	66.6	50.6	42.2
NO OPINION	88	31	18	14	24	18	70	38	23	27	23	66	32	27	42	33	44	10
	8.8	7.9	8.0	8.8	11.4	7.9	9.1	11.2	8.4	7.1	6.6	10.0	5.1	9.3	9.1	7.4	8.3	7.9
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	1001	397	229	161	214	226	775	335	276	378	343	658	633	291	463	444	533	131

13 Sep 2011

Q23a. Proposition 13 generally requires a two-thirds vote of the state legislature to increase taxes.
 Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to enable the legislature to increase taxes by a simple majority vote of legislators in the Assembly and State Senate?

Base: Registered voters (form A)

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Ethnicity				Voted Gen. Elec- tion	
	North/South Coast/Inlnd					San Diego/ Othr Bay Area					Other	Dem	Rep	Non- Part/ Other	White	non- Hisp- tino	La- Black	Asian/ Other	----
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	In- land	LA	Ornge	South	S.F. Bay Area	Val- ey	North								-----
	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====
Unweighted Base	481	269	212	328	153	116	76	69	102	87	31	220	158	103	309	88	25	31	425
Weighted Base	481	289	192	341	140	125	86	70	97	79	24	212	149	121	296	97	26	35	419
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
APPROVE	143	80	64	111	33	45	16	16	44	19	4	86	21	37	81	31	13	10	123
	29.8	27.6	33.1	32.4	23.4	36.0	18.2	22.5	44.8	24.0	18.6	40.4	14.1	30.5	27.5	31.7	49.5	29.0	29.2
DISAPPROVE	314	195	119	214	100	75	65	53	52	55	15	115	121	78	198	61	12	25	280
	65.3	67.5	61.9	62.8	71.2	59.7	75.1	76.1	53.1	68.7	65.7	54.3	81.7	64.4	67.0	62.8	47.3	71.0	66.8
NO OPINION	24	14	10	16	8	5	6	1	2	6	4	11	6	6	16	5	1	-	16
	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.8	5.4	4.4	6.7	1.4	2.1	7.3	15.6	5.3	4.3	5.1	5.4	5.5	3.2	-	3.9
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	481	289	192	341	140	125	86	70	97	79	24	212	149	121	296	97	26	35	419

13 Sep 2011

Q23a. Proposition 13 generally requires a two-thirds vote of the state legislature to increase taxes.
 Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to enable the legislature to increase taxes by a simple majority vote of legislators in the Assembly and State Senate?

Base: Registered voters (form A)

	Gender		Age					Political ideology					Tenure						
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	Strng Con- ative	Mod. Con- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Rent- er	Homeowner Total	[YEAR PURCHASED]			
	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====
Unweighted Base	481	246	235	84	55	101	118	123	98	63	185	44	64	136	317	110	155	46	
Weighted Base	481	226	255	95	62	108	110	107	89	62	193	48	64	145	308	116	144	41	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
APPROVE	143	72	71	37	17	32	35	22	9	9	57	20	41	51	80	30	43	7	
	29.8	32.0	27.9	39.2	27.5	30.1	32.0	20.2	9.6	14.6	29.7	42.1	64.6	35.0	25.9	25.6	29.6	18.1	
DISAPPROVE	314	143	171	50	43	74	71	77	79	50	120	28	21	86	213	80	96	30	
	65.3	63.5	66.9	52.6	70.3	68.2	64.1	71.8	88.8	80.3	62.4	57.9	32.8	59.6	69.1	68.7	66.9	73.5	
NO OPINION	24	10	13	8	1	2	4	8	1	3	15	-	2	8	15	7	5	3	
	4.9	4.6	5.3	8.2	2.2	1.7	3.9	7.9	1.6	5.2	7.8	-	2.6	5.4	4.9	5.7	3.5	8.4	
Adds to: Rows	481	226	255	95	62	108	110	107	89	62	193	48	64	145	308	116	144	41	

13 Sep 2011

Q23a. Proposition 13 generally requires a two-thirds vote of the state legislature to increase taxes. Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to enable the legislature to increase taxes by a simple majority vote of legislators in the Assembly and State Senate?

Base: Registered voters (form A)

	Education				Household Income					Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification			
	Total	H.S. Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -60K	\$60- \$100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Mar-ried Live Tgthr	Separ-ate Divor-ced	Never Mar-ried	A lot	Some	All Other
Unweighted Base	481	93	161	108	116	52	59	69	108	110	83	381	302	79	88	51	109	321
Weighted Base	481	94	160	108	118	56	61	67	111	110	86	379	298	76	96	44	107	330
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
APPROVE	143	20	39	32	50	17	17	17	39	31	34	107	75	20	44	2	14	127
	29.8	21.8	24.7	30.1	42.2	30.8	28.1	24.7	35.6	28.2	39.1	28.3	25.2	26.9	45.7	3.8	13.3	38.6
DISAPPROVE	314	68	110	72	62	36	40	50	64	74	49	252	208	53	47	41	90	183
	65.3	73.0	69.2	67.0	52.8	64.8	65.2	74.4	57.3	67.3	56.6	66.6	69.7	69.5	48.9	94.3	84.1	55.3
NO OPINION	24	5	10	3	6	2	4	1	8	5	4	19	15	3	5	1	3	20
	4.9	5.3	6.1	3.0	5.0	4.4	6.7	0.9	7.1	4.4	4.3	5.1	5.1	3.6	5.3	1.8	2.6	6.1
Adds to: Rows	481	94	160	108	118	56	61	67	111	110	86	379	298	76	96	44	107	330

13 Sep 2011

Q23a. Proposition 13 generally requires a two-thirds vote of the state legislature to increase taxes.
 Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to enable the legislature to increase taxes by a simple majority vote of legislators in the Assembly and State Senate?

Base: Registered voters (form A)

	Religion				Born Again		Telephone Use			Aware of Redistricting Commission (Q28)		Opinion of Prop. 13 (Q21)		Pres. Obama Job Rating (Q2)		Opinion of Ballot Props. (Q31)		
	Total	Cath.	olic	Othr	No	Yes	No	All/ Most	Cell/ Some	All/ Most	Yes	No/ DK	Favor	Opp- ose	Ap- prove	Dis- ap- prove	Good	Bad
Unweighted Base	481	201	111	62	107	112	369	160	127	187	181	300	306	132	212	228	262	61
Weighted Base	481	195	114	64	108	110	371	173	123	179	168	313	304	136	220	224	260	58
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
APPROVE	143	40	33	26	44	25	118	55	33	55	62	81	66	69	101	31	65	28
	29.8	20.4	29.3	40.2	41.1	22.6	31.9	31.7	27.1	30.9	37.2	25.8	21.8	50.6	45.8	13.8	25.2	49.4
DISAPPROVE	314	145	76	36	56	79	235	111	85	112	99	215	233	62	106	187	186	27
	65.3	74.6	66.8	57.0	51.8	71.7	63.4	64.4	69.1	62.7	58.7	68.8	76.6	45.4	48.2	83.6	71.6	47.4
NO OPINION	24	10	4	2	8	6	18	7	5	11	7	17	5	5	13	6	8	2
	4.9	5.0	3.9	2.7	7.1	5.7	4.7	4.0	3.9	6.4	4.1	5.4	1.7	4.0	6.0	2.6	3.2	3.2
Adds to: Rows	481	195	114	64	108	110	371	173	123	179	168	313	304	136	220	224	260	58

13 Sep 2011

Q23b. Proposition 13 generally requires a two-thirds vote of the state legislature to increase taxes.
 Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to enable the legislature to increase taxes by a 55% majority of legislators in the Assembly and State Senate?

Base: Registered voters (form A)

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Ethnicity				Voted Gen. Elec- tion		
	North/South Coast/Inlnd					San Diego/ Othr Bay Area					Central Valley			White non-Hisp- tino Black Asian/ Other				----		
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast	In- land	LA	San Diego/ Ornge	South	S.F. Bay Area	Val- ey	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non- Part/ Other	Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	----	
	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====
Unweighted Base	520	302	218	346	174	133	90	62	84	114	37	243	175	102	337	101	23	37	448	
Weighted Base	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
APPROVE	158	86	73	126	32	39	20	22	50	21	5	95	23	41	99	29	6	15	133	
	30.4	27.1	35.6	33.9	21.6	28.9	21.8	29.4	47.9	24.9	19.0	41.5	14.0	31.2	30.1	28.2	27.9	36.4	30.4	
DISAPPROVE	331	211	120	221	110	87	65	51	49	59	20	113	132	86	214	69	14	21	280	
	63.7	66.8	58.7	59.6	73.9	64.0	70.1	67.5	46.6	69.2	78.7	49.6	82.1	65.6	64.8	66.9	63.7	48.5	63.9	
NO OPINION	31	19	11	24	7	10	8	2	6	5	1	20	6	4	17	5	2	6	25	
	5.9	6.1	5.6	6.5	4.5	7.0	8.2	3.0	5.5	5.9	2.3	8.9	3.9	3.2	5.1	4.8	8.4	15.1	5.7	
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	
Rows	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439	

13 Sep 2011

Q23b. Proposition 13 generally requires a two-thirds vote of the state legislature to increase taxes.
 Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to enable the legislature to increase taxes by a 55% majority of legislators in the Assembly and State Senate?

Base: Registered voters (form A)

	Gender		Age					Political ideology					Tenure						
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	Con- serv- ative	Mod. serv- ative	Mid. the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Rent- er	Homeowner Total	[YEAR PURCHASED]			
	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====
Unweighted Base	520	256	264	75	66	89	130	159	104	58	211	55	74	135	362	145	163	51	
Weighted Base	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
APPROVE	158	78	80	31	24	31	37	35	10	10	61	28	47	47	103	49	46	8	
	30.4	32.0	29.0	34.3	31.8	29.1	30.1	28.5	10.5	18.0	29.0	46.7	62.0	32.4	29.3	31.1	30.3	20.1	
DISAPPROVE	331	157	174	56	47	72	74	81	80	43	137	29	21	86	229	98	97	31	
	63.7	64.2	63.2	61.3	61.3	67.7	60.8	65.8	85.6	76.1	65.0	48.8	27.2	59.7	65.2	63.0	64.4	74.6	
NO OPINION	31	9	21	4	5	3	11	7	4	3	13	3	8	11	19	9	8	2	
	5.9	3.8	7.8	4.4	7.0	3.2	9.1	5.7	3.9	5.9	6.1	4.4	10.8	7.9	5.5	6.0	5.3	5.2	
Adds to: Rows	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42	

13 Sep 2011

Q23b. Proposition 13 generally requires a two-thirds vote of the state legislature to increase taxes. Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to enable the legislature to increase taxes by a 55% majority of legislators in the Assembly and State Senate?

Base: Registered voters (form A)

	Education				Household Income						Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification		
	Total	H.S. Grad- or less	Some Col- lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col- lege Grad	Post- Grad- uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -60K	\$60- \$100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ- Divor- wid- ried	Never Mar- ried	A lot	Some	All Other
Unweighted Base	520	99	165	138	117	66	80	98	102	117	104	410	320	79	116	57	111	352
Weighted Base	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
APPROVE	158	15	47	49	47	15	20	32	38	40	41	115	92	17	49	4	16	139
	30.4	15.9	29.1	33.8	38.7	22.1	26.6	34.9	34.7	33.5	39.0	28.1	28.7	24.8	38.5	8.0	14.2	38.5
DISAPPROVE	331	70	104	91	66	46	49	55	67	73	61	266	215	41	71	45	88	198
	63.7	76.5	64.8	62.7	54.1	70.1	66.7	59.8	60.5	61.1	57.7	65.2	67.1	60.6	55.6	89.4	80.3	55.0
NO OPINION	31	7	10	5	9	5	5	5	5	6	3	27	13	10	8	1	6	23
	5.9	7.6	6.2	3.6	7.2	7.8	6.7	5.3	4.8	5.3	3.3	6.7	4.2	14.6	5.9	2.5	5.5	6.5
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q23b. Proposition 13 generally requires a two-thirds vote of the state legislature to increase taxes.
 Would you approve or disapprove of changing Proposition 13 to enable the legislature to increase taxes by a 55% majority of legislators in the Assembly and State Senate?

Base: Registered voters (form A)

	Religion				Born Again		Telephone Use			Aware of Redistricting Commission (Q28)		Opinion of Prop. 13 (Q21)		Pres. Obama Job Rating (Q2)		Opinion of Ballot Props. (Q31)		
	Total	Cath.	olic	Othr	No	Yes	No	All/ Most Cell	Some Land	All/ Most Land	Yes	No/ DK	Favor	Opp- ose	Ap- prove	Dis- ap- prove	Good	Bad
Unweighted Base	520	218	115	95	92	125	395	145	154	215	184	336	331	154	241	226	275	76
Weighted Base	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
APPROVE	158	48	28	39	44	21	137	52	55	50	69	89	65	81	95	44	77	40
	30.4	23.8	24.3	39.6	41.3	18.3	33.9	32.2	35.9	25.3	39.4	25.9	19.7	52.1	39.1	19.9	28.2	54.5
DISAPPROVE	331	142	79	52	58	90	241	99	91	137	100	231	247	67	133	165	184	29
	63.7	70.1	68.7	53.7	55.0	77.4	59.7	61.0	59.5	68.8	57.2	66.9	75.2	43.0	54.7	75.0	67.4	38.7
NO OPINION	31	12	8	6	4	5	26	11	7	12	6	25	17	8	15	11	12	5
	5.9	6.2	7.0	6.6	3.7	4.3	6.4	6.8	4.6	5.9	3.4	7.2	5.1	4.9	6.2	5.1	4.5	6.8
Adds to: Rows	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74