

FIELD

RESEARCH

CORPORATION

FOUNDED IN 1945 BY MERVIN FIELD

601 California Street, Suite 900 • San Francisco, California 94108 • 415-392-5763

**Tabulations From a Survey of California Registered Voters about the
State Economy, Unemployment and their own Economic Well-Being**

*- prepared for the-
Capitol Alert and the Sacramento Bee*

September 27, 2011

Introduction

This volume presents the statistical data developed from a *Field Poll* survey of registered voters in California about their opinions of the state economy, unemployment and their own economic well-being. The survey was conducted by *The Field Poll* among a representative sample of 520 registered voters in California conducted by telephone in English and Spanish September 1-12, 2011.

Sampling

The sample was developed from telephone listings of individual voters selected randomly from a listing of statewide voter registration rolls. Once a voter's name and telephone had been selected interviewers are attempted only with the specified voter. Interviews can be conducted on either the voter's landline or cell phone, depending on the source of the telephone listing from the voter file and the preference of the voter.

Prior to the start of data collection, professionally-trained telephone interviewers were briefed with regard to the survey's proper calling and interviewing procedures by the Study Director. This session provided both interviewers and supervisors with an overview of the study and includes a question-by-question review of all items in the survey. Interviewers then completed survey interviews by telephone through the computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) system. CATI controls the telephone scripts read to individual respondents by displaying the appropriate questionnaire items and their valid response code alternatives in their proper sequence on computer screens at each interviewer's booth. The interviewer then reads each question aloud to the respondent from the screen and enters each respondent's pre-coded answer category through the keyboard directly to a computer disk. All answers are automatically stored in computer memory.

In order to bring hard-to-reach respondents into the survey, up to six attempts (an initial call plus five callbacks) were made to each telephone number selected for inclusion into the sample. Callbacks were made at different times and on different days to increase the probability of finding voters available for the interview. Where possible, appointments are made at specified dates and times to maximize convenience and cooperation rates.

Data Processing

The data file resulting from CATI interviewing is itself virtually error-free. Even so, a final series of data checks were performed by means of a specially designed cleaning program that scrutinizes each respondent record for internally inconsistent information. Once the data were determined to be clean and error-free, the overall sample was weighted to align it to its proper statewide proportions by demographic characteristics of the state's registered voter population.

Guide to Reading the Tables

The following is an explanation of the detailed statistical tabulations contained in this report:

- The question or questions upon which the data are based is shown at the top of each table
- Tables are percentaged vertically with the raw percentage base appearing at the top of each column.
- The data have been weighted to known parameters of the statewide registered voter population. All percentages and frequencies reported in each table are therefore weighted tabulations.
- In instances where percentages are calculated on small bases (e.g., when the base is fewer than 100 respondents) the reader is urged to interpret the data with caution, since results are subject to larger levels of sampling error.
- Throughout the tables an asterisk is used to denote a value of less than 1/2 of 1%. A hyphen indicates zero value. On some tables the percentages may add to more than 100% due to multiple mentions.
- Bases of subgroups used in the tabulations may add to less than the total number of respondents due to some respondents not reporting that characteristic.

Subgroup Definitions

The following are some of the definitions applicable to some of the voter subgroups reported in this volume:

Area

Southern California: Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Imperial, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Kern, and San Luis Obispo counties

Northern California: all other 48 California counties

Coastal Counties: San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Mateo, San Francisco, Contra Costa, Alameda, Marin, Napa, Solano, Sonoma, Santa Clara, Mendocino, Humboldt and Del Norte counties

Inland counties: all other 38 California counties

Region

Los Angeles: Los Angeles County

San Diego/Orange: San Diego County and Orange counties

Other South: San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial, Ventura, Santa Barbara, and San Luis Obispo

SF Bay Area: San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Mateo

Central Valley: Butte, Colusa, Fresno, Glenn, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Placer, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehema, Tulare, Yolo, and Yuba

Other North: Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Del Norte, El Dorado, Humboldt, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Monterey, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Benito, Santa Cruz, Sierra, Siskiyou, Trinity, and Tuolumne

Estimates of Sampling Error

In any survey based on a sampling, there is some sampling error introduced into the data by the process of sampling itself. When the sample has been drawn using random processes, it is possible to apply probability principles to determine the potential range of such error. While survey samples of human populations rarely, if ever, meet all of the criteria theoretically required for the application of these principles, it is customary to use them as an approximation of error that is introduced as a result of sampling. The table below shows the range of error that is associated with samples of various sizes, assuming the use of the 95% confidence level, which is customary for most public opinion surveys. For example, if 50% of the overall sample of 520 registered voters answered “yes” to a specific question, this statistic would have a sampling error of plus or minus 3.1 percentage points at the 95% confidence level. This means that there is a 95% chance that had the overall population of registered voters statewide been interviewed using the same questionnaire and methods, the results of such a census would yield a result between 44.5% and 54.5%. The same procedure can be used to estimate the sample error ranges of any other statistic contained in this report.

<u>Approximate sample size</u>	<u>Approximate percentage distribution of replies to question</u>				
	<u>10%</u>	<u>30%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>70%</u>	<u>90%</u>
100	+/- 6.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 10.0	+/- 9.2	+/- 6.0
300	+/- 3.5	+/- 5.3	+/- 5.8	+/- 5.3	+/- 3.5
500	+/- 2.7	+/- 4.1	+/- 4.5	+/- 4.1	+/- 2.7

There are many other possible sources of error other than sampling variability in this and any other public opinion survey. Different results could occur because of differences in question wording, sequencing, the rigor with which sampling procedures were implemented, or through undetected errors or omissions in sampling, interviewing or data processing. The overall design and execution of the survey minimized the potential for these other sources of error.

Questions Asked

How would you generally describe economic conditions in California now? Would you say that economically, California is in good times or bad times right now?

What about the next 12 months or so? Do you expect economic conditions in California to get better, get worse or stay the same?

Would you say that you and your family are financially better off or worse off today than you were a year ago?

Looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

How serious a problem do you think unemployment is in California at this time? Is it very serious, somewhat serious, not too serious, or not at all serious?

Looking ahead, do you think job opportunities in California will be better, about the same, or worse in the coming year?

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q36. Would you say that you and your family are financially better off or worse off today than you were a year ago?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Ethnicity				Voted Gen. Elec- tion 2008	
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	In- land	LA	San Diego/ Ornge	Othr South	S.F. Bay Area	Cen- tral Val- ley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non- Part/ Other	White non- Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black		Asian/ Other
Unweighted Base	520	302	218	346	174	133	90	62	84	114	37	243	175	102	337	101	23	37	448
Weighted Base	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER OFF	115	70	45	85	29	33	18	15	26	19	3	65	20	30	67	26	8	11	94
	22.1	22.0	22.1	23.0	19.7	24.5	19.5	19.9	25.0	22.1	13.3	28.4	12.2	23.2	20.3	24.6	33.7	26.3	21.5
WORSE OFF	258	156	102	169	88	57	49	42	48	50	12	92	106	60	170	49	10	15	218
	49.5	49.2	50.1	45.6	59.3	42.1	52.2	55.3	45.9	58.7	46.8	40.0	66.0	46.0	51.6	47.2	45.7	36.6	49.7
NO CHANGE (VOLUNTEERED)	140	89	51	111	29	45	26	17	29	16	6	68	34	38	86	29	5	14	121
	26.9	28.2	25.0	29.8	19.7	33.4	28.3	22.4	27.8	19.2	23.7	29.7	21.3	29.0	26.2	28.2	20.6	33.8	27.6
NO OPINION	7	2	6	6	2	-	-	2	1	-	4	4	1	2	6	-	-	1	5
	1.4	0.6	2.7	1.5	1.3	-	-	2.5	1.4	-	16.3	1.9	0.5	1.8	1.8	-	-	3.4	1.2
Adds to: Rows	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439

13 Sep 2011

Q36. Would you say that you and your family are financially better off or worse off today than you were a year ago?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Gender		Age					Political ideology					Tenure					
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	Strng Con- serv- ative	Mod. Con- serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Rent- er	Homeowner			
															Total	<=10	11-33	>33
Unweighted Base	520	256	264	75	66	89	130	159	104	58	211	55	74	135	362	145	163	51
Weighted Base	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER OFF	115	66	49	27	25	26	21	16	15	8	46	13	23	40	70	28	34	7
	22.1	27.1	17.6	29.6	33.0	24.1	17.4	13.0	15.9	13.4	21.8	22.0	29.6	27.4	19.9	17.8	22.4	18.0
WORSE OFF	258	110	147	49	29	54	68	56	65	31	95	28	30	67	176	83	74	18
	49.5	45.3	53.3	54.2	38.5	50.3	55.6	45.9	69.7	54.3	44.8	46.7	38.9	46.2	50.0	52.8	48.9	42.9
NO CHANGE (VOLUNTEERED)	140	67	73	13	21	27	32	47	13	18	70	17	20	34	102	44	42	16
	26.9	27.6	26.3	14.7	27.1	25.6	25.9	38.4	13.6	32.3	32.9	29.5	25.6	23.8	29.0	28.2	27.9	37.5
NO OPINION	7	-	7	1	1	-	1	3	1	-	1	1	5	4	4	2	1	1
	1.4	-	2.7	1.6	1.4	-	1.2	2.8	0.8	-	0.5	1.9	5.9	2.7	1.0	1.2	0.7	1.6
Adds to: Rows	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42

13 Sep 2011

Q36. Would you say that you and your family are financially better off or worse off today than you were a year ago?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Education					Household Income					Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification		
	Total	H.S. Grad-uate or less	Some Col-lege/Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Mar-ried Live Tgthr	Separ Divor Wed	Never Mar-ried	A lot	Some	All Other
Unweighted Base	520	99	165	138	117	66	80	98	102	117	104	410	320	79	116	57	111	352
Weighted Base	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER OFF	115	11	34	39	30	7	16	19	26	37	30	81	67	8	39	8	12	95
	22.1	12.1	21.4	26.7	24.6	11.3	21.4	20.5	23.3	31.2	28.6	19.9	21.1	12.5	30.1	15.2	11.0	26.4
WORSE OFF	258	56	84	69	48	46	38	41	49	54	43	211	166	30	57	39	67	151
	49.5	61.2	52.5	47.6	39.5	69.6	51.7	44.6	44.7	45.3	41.2	51.7	51.9	44.2	44.9	78.0	61.3	42.0
NO CHANGE (VOLUNTEERED)	140	25	39	34	42	9	19	32	33	28	32	108	84	26	30	3	30	107
	26.9	26.7	24.6	23.7	34.2	13.2	25.4	34.9	30.3	23.0	30.2	26.5	26.2	38.1	23.8	6.8	26.9	29.7
NO OPINION	7	-	2	3	2	4	1	-	2	1	-	7	3	3	1	-	1	7
	1.4	-	1.5	2.0	1.7	5.8	1.5	-	1.7	0.6	-	1.8	0.8	5.2	1.1	-	0.7	1.9
Adds to: Rows	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q36. Would you say that you and your family are financially better off or worse off today than you were a year ago?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Religion				Born Again		Telephone Use			Aware of Redistricting Commission (Q28)		Opinion of Prop. 13 (Q21)		Pres. Obama Job Rating (Q2)		Opinion of Ballot Props. (Q31)		
	Total	Cath.	olic	Othr	No	Yes	No	All/ Most	Cell/ Some	All/ Most	Yes	No/ DK	Favor	Opp- ose	Ap- prove	Dis- ap- prove	Good	Bad
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Unweighted Base	520	218	115	95	92	125	395	145	154	215	184	336	331	154	241	226	275	76
Weighted Base	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER OFF	115	39	26	33	17	28	86	51	28	34	43	71	62	43	69	32	71	19
	22.1	19.4	22.5	34.0	15.8	24.4	21.4	31.2	18.1	17.2	24.8	20.7	18.9	27.8	28.3	14.3	26.1	25.7
WORSE OFF	258	112	58	35	52	65	193	74	78	103	82	176	175	70	93	144	133	29
	49.5	55.5	50.7	35.8	49.6	55.6	47.8	45.4	51.1	51.7	46.7	51.0	53.3	45.4	38.4	65.2	48.8	39.8
NO CHANGE (VOLUNTEERED)	140	49	30	28	34	22	118	37	45	58	48	92	87	39	78	42	64	25
	26.9	24.0	25.9	28.4	32.3	19.3	29.1	22.5	29.6	28.9	27.6	26.6	26.4	25.1	32.0	19.1	23.4	34.5
NO OPINION	7	2	1	2	2	1	7	1	2	4	1	6	5	3	3	3	5	-
	1.4	1.1	1.0	1.8	2.3	0.7	1.7	0.9	1.1	2.2	0.8	1.7	1.5	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.7	-
Adds to: Rows	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q37. Looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Ethnicity				Voted Gen. Elec- tion 2008	
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	Inland	LA	San Diego/ Ornge	Othr South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Val- ley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non- Part/ Other	White Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black		Asian/ Other
Unweighted Base	520	302	218	346	174	133	90	62	84	114	37	243	175	102	337	101	23	37	448
Weighted Base	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER OFF IN A YEAR	129	73	56	98	31	32	26	12	33	21	5	66	28	34	73	25	9	17	109
	24.7	23.0	27.5	26.4	20.7	23.4	28.0	16.6	31.0	24.0	20.6	28.9	17.6	26.3	22.2	23.8	38.4	39.7	24.8
WORSE OFF IN A YEAR	86	51	35	51	35	17	16	13	13	21	6	27	37	21	63	13	2	3	77
	16.5	16.2	17.0	13.7	23.5	12.4	17.6	17.3	12.3	23.9	24.5	11.9	23.3	16.3	19.0	12.1	7.9	7.1	17.5
NO CHANGE (VOLUNTEERED)	272	173	99	194	78	78	44	46	50	41	13	123	81	68	175	59	9	20	228
	52.3	54.7	48.5	52.2	52.5	57.5	47.2	61.4	47.3	47.9	52.0	53.8	50.4	52.1	53.0	56.7	41.0	48.5	52.0
NO OPINION	34	19	14	29	5	9	7	4	10	4	1	12	14	7	19	8	3	2	25
	6.4	6.1	7.0	7.7	3.3	6.7	7.1	4.8	9.4	4.2	2.9	5.5	8.7	5.4	5.8	7.5	12.6	4.7	5.7
Adds to: Rows	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439

13 Sep 2011

Q37. Looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Gender			Age					Political ideology					Tenure				
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	Strng Con- serv- ative	Mod. Con- serv- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Rent- er	Homeowner			
															Total	<=10	11-33	>33
Unweighted Base	520	256	264	75	66	89	130	159	104	58	211	55	74	135	362	145	163	51
Weighted Base	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER OFF IN A YEAR	129	75	54	31	28	28	26	15	11	15	52	21	20	47	78	41	33	4
	24.7	30.6	19.6	34.2	37.3	26.4	21.7	11.9	11.8	27.3	24.6	35.0	26.6	32.4	22.1	25.9	22.1	9.2
WORSE OFF IN A YEAR	86	43	43	14	5	13	25	27	29	13	33	4	4	16	66	29	25	10
	16.5	17.5	15.6	15.4	6.8	12.3	20.6	22.0	30.7	23.7	15.8	6.4	5.0	11.1	18.7	18.9	16.7	24.7
NO CHANGE (VOLUNTEERED)	272	111	161	43	35	58	62	74	48	24	109	33	47	69	189	77	84	27
	52.3	45.7	58.1	47.5	46.4	54.0	50.8	60.0	50.9	43.0	51.6	55.1	62.0	48.1	53.7	49.0	55.3	64.8
NO OPINION	34	15	18	3	7	8	8	7	6	3	17	2	5	12	19	10	9	1
	6.4	6.2	6.7	2.9	9.6	7.3	6.9	6.0	6.6	6.0	8.0	3.5	6.4	8.4	5.4	6.2	5.9	1.3
Adds to: Rows	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q37. Looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Education					Household Income					Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification		
	Total	H.S. or less	Some Col-lege/Trade Sch'l	Col-lege/Grad	Post-Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Mar-ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ-ate/ Divor-ced	Never Mar-ried	A lot	Some	All Other
Unweighted Base	520	99	165	138	117	66	80	98	102	117	104	410	320	79	116	57	111	352
Weighted Base	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER OFF IN A YEAR	129	18	35	38	37	18	19	24	28	30	31	96	65	7	56	8	12	109
	24.7	20.0	21.8	26.1	30.1	27.6	25.3	25.7	25.0	25.2	29.4	23.5	20.3	10.9	44.1	15.7	10.8	30.3
WORSE OFF IN A YEAR	86	13	35	22	15	14	12	15	12	23	14	68	56	15	13	14	30	43
	16.5	14.7	21.9	15.1	12.6	21.6	15.9	16.3	10.8	19.2	13.4	16.8	17.3	22.3	10.4	27.3	26.8	11.8
NO CHANGE (VOLUNTEERED)	272	55	80	77	61	31	38	50	62	61	56	214	178	41	51	24	62	185
	52.3	59.9	49.7	52.6	50.0	46.7	51.9	54.7	56.3	50.6	53.3	52.5	55.5	59.9	40.2	48.6	56.6	51.5
NO OPINION	34	5	11	9	9	3	5	3	9	6	4	29	22	5	7	4	6	23
	6.4	5.5	6.6	6.2	7.3	4.1	6.9	3.4	7.8	4.9	3.9	7.2	6.9	6.9	5.3	8.4	5.9	6.4
Adds to: Rows	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q37. Looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Religion					Born Again		Telephone Use			Aware of Redistricting Commission (Q28)		Opinion of Prop. 13 (Q21)		Pres. Obama Job Rating (Q2)		Opinion of Ballot Props. (Q31)	
	Total	Cath.	olic	Othr Relig	No Pref.	Yes	No	All/ Most Cell	Some Land	All/ Most Land	Yes	No/ DK	Favor	Opp- ose	Ap- prove	Dis- ap- prove	Good	Bad
Unweighted Base	520	218	115	95	92	125	395	145	154	215	184	336	331	154	241	226	275	76
Weighted Base	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER OFF IN A YEAR	129	42	26	35	26	27	102	55	35	37	43	86	77	40	79	36	78	14
	24.7	20.7	22.3	36.1	24.6	23.1	25.2	33.8	23.0	18.7	24.6	24.8	23.4	25.7	32.4	16.2	28.4	19.2
WORSE OFF IN A YEAR	86	44	15	11	17	27	58	23	28	33	25	60	67	14	16	59	41	10
	16.5	21.6	13.1	10.8	15.7	23.6	14.5	14.4	18.5	16.4	14.5	17.5	20.4	9.1	6.7	26.6	15.2	13.5
NO CHANGE (VOLUNTEERED)	272	103	70	41	59	52	220	77	78	116	93	179	167	86	135	109	142	44
	52.3	50.8	60.7	41.9	55.6	44.4	54.6	47.2	50.8	58.3	53.3	51.8	50.9	55.2	55.7	49.5	51.9	59.6
NO OPINION	34	14	4	11	4	10	23	8	12	13	13	20	17	16	13	17	12	6
	6.4	6.9	3.8	11.2	4.0	9.0	5.7	4.6	7.7	6.6	7.6	5.9	5.2	10.0	5.2	7.7	4.5	7.7
Adds to: Rows	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q38. How would you generally describe economic conditions in California now? Would you say that economically, California is in good times or bad times right now?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Region					Area					Party Regis.				Ethnicity				Voted Gen. Elec- tion 2008
	Total	Cal	North Cal	Coast	In-land	LA	San Diego/ Ornge	Othr South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/ Other	White non-Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black	Asian/ Other	
Unweighted Base	520	302	218	346	174	133	90	62	84	114	37	243	175	102	337	101	23	37	448
Weighted Base	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GOOD TIMES	25	14	11	19	7	5	4	4	7	3	2	13	2	10	11	7	3	5	19
	4.9	4.5	5.5	5.1	4.5	3.8	4.6	5.4	6.6	4.0	7.1	5.8	1.3	7.8	3.3	6.5	13.2	12.1	4.4
BAD TIMES	471	289	181	335	136	125	87	66	92	80	21	205	155	110	305	92	19	35	400
	90.6	91.4	89.2	90.2	91.4	92.3	93.1	87.5	87.6	93.7	82.0	89.6	96.7	84.6	92.4	88.6	83.5	83.3	91.2
IN-BETWEEN (VOLUNTEERED)	16	9	7	10	6	3	1	5	5	2	-	8	2	6	8	4	1	2	12
	3.1	2.9	3.5	2.8	4.1	2.1	1.1	7.1	4.9	2.3	-	3.7	0.9	4.9	2.6	3.9	3.3	4.7	2.7
NO OPINION	7	4	4	7	-	2	1	-	1	-	3	2	2	3	6	1	-	-	7
	1.4	1.1	1.8	2.0	-	1.8	1.2	-	0.9	-	10.8	0.9	1.1	2.7	1.7	1.1	-	-	1.7
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439

13 Sep 2011

Q38. How would you generally describe economic conditions in California now? Would you say that economically, California is in good times or bad times right now?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Gender		Age					Political ideology					Tenure					
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	Strng Con- ative	Mod. Con- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Rent- er	Homeowner Total	[YEAR PURCHASED]		
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Unweighted Base	520	256	264	75	66	89	130	159	104	58	211	55	74	135	362	145	163	51
Weighted Base	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GOOD TIMES	25	13	12	4	7	4	3	8	1	2	9	9	2	5	19	9	9	1
	4.9	5.4	4.5	4.3	8.8	3.8	2.5	6.3	1.3	3.4	4.0	15.1	3.2	3.5	5.5	5.9	6.2	1.9
BAD TIMES	471	217	254	84	65	96	114	110	92	51	192	50	69	133	316	137	137	39
	90.6	89.0	91.9	93.2	84.9	89.7	93.9	89.5	97.5	90.9	90.8	84.9	90.5	92.2	89.9	87.9	90.6	94.5
IN-BETWEEN (VOLUNTEERED)	16	10	7	2	4	6	3	2	-	2	9	-	2	4	12	6	4	1
	3.1	4.0	2.4	2.6	4.8	5.3	2.4	1.5	-	3.3	4.2	-	3.2	2.7	3.3	3.9	2.6	3.6
NO OPINION	7	4	3	-	1	1	1	3	1	1	2	-	2	2	4	4	1	-
	1.4	1.6	1.2	-	1.5	1.3	1.2	2.7	1.1	2.4	1.0	-	3.1	1.7	1.3	2.3	0.6	-
Adds to: Rows	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42

13 Sep 2011

Q38. How would you generally describe economic conditions in California now? Would you say that economically, California is in good times or bad times right now?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Education				Household Income					Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification			
	Total	H.S. Grad- or less	Some Col- lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col- lege Grad	Post Grad- uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -60K	\$60- \$100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried	A lot	Some	All Other
Unweighted Base	520	99	165	138	117	66	80	98	102	117	104	410	320	79	116	57	111	352
Weighted Base	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GOOD TIMES	25	6	6	7	7	2	3	8	5	4	4	20	11	3	12	-	1	25
	4.9	6.6	3.6	4.6	5.8	2.8	3.9	8.4	4.4	3.2	4.2	4.9	3.4	4.1	9.3	-	0.8	6.9
BAD TIMES	471	81	142	136	112	59	70	80	102	111	95	372	299	60	109	50	108	312
	90.6	88.1	88.5	93.1	92.1	89.8	93.9	86.7	92.7	92.9	90.0	91.2	93.3	88.2	84.8	100.0	98.3	86.9
IN-BETWEEN (VOLUNTEERED)	16	4	8	2	3	2	2	3	1	4	4	11	8	2	6	-	-	16
	3.1	4.0	4.7	1.7	2.2	3.8	2.2	3.4	1.0	3.0	3.7	2.7	2.6	2.9	4.8	-	-	4.6
NO OPINION	7	1	5	1	-	2	-	1	2	1	2	5	2	3	1	-	1	6
	1.4	1.2	3.3	0.6	-	3.7	-	1.5	1.9	0.9	2.1	1.2	0.7	4.9	1.1	-	1.0	1.7
Adds to: Rows	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q38. How would you generally describe economic conditions in California now? Would you say that economically, California is in good times or bad times right now?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Religion					Born Again		Telephone Use			Aware of Redistricting Commission (Q28)		Opinion of Prop. 13 (Q21)		Pres. Obama Job Rating (Q2)		Opinion of Ballot Props. (Q31)	
	Total	Pro- test- ant/ Othr	Cath.	Oth- Relig	No Pref.	Yes	No	All/ Most Cell	Some Land	All/ Most Land	Yes	No/ DK	Favor	Opp- ose	Ap- prove	Dis- ap- prove	Good	Bad
Unweighted Base	520	218	115	95	92	125	395	145	154	215	184	336	331	154	241	226	275	76
Weighted Base	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GOOD TIMES	25	5	7	8	6	6	19	10	4	12	4	21	15	7	23	1	16	3
	4.9	2.5	5.7	8.1	5.7	5.5	4.7	6.0	2.3	5.8	2.5	6.1	4.5	4.5	9.4	0.4	5.9	3.6
BAD TIMES	471	189	104	86	91	107	364	147	144	175	162	309	294	145	202	217	241	70
	90.6	93.7	90.7	88.2	86.5	91.8	90.2	90.2	94.6	87.8	92.7	89.5	89.5	93.7	83.3	98.7	88.4	94.5
IN-BETWEEN (VOLUNTEERED)	16	6	3	2	5	3	13	5	5	7	7	10	13	2	13	-	10	-
	3.1	3.2	2.6	2.4	4.4	2.7	3.3	2.9	3.1	3.5	3.9	2.8	3.9	1.6	5.3	-	3.6	-
NO OPINION	7	1	1	1	3	-	7	2	-	6	2	6	7	*	5	2	6	1
	1.4	0.7	1.0	1.3	3.3	-	1.8	0.9	-	2.9	1.0	1.6	2.1	0.2	2.0	0.9	2.1	2.0
Adds to:	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Rows	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74

13 Sep 2011

Q39. What about the next 12 months or so? Do you expect economic conditions in California to get better, get worse or stay the same?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Ethnicity				Voted Gen. Elec- tion 2008	
	Total	Cal	Cal	Coast	In-land	LA	San Diego/ Ornge	Othr South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/ Other	White non-Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black		Asian/ Other
Unweighted Base	520	302	218	346	174	133	90	62	84	114	37	243	175	102	337	101	23	37	448
Weighted Base	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GET BETTER	136	82	54	100	36	38	21	18	30	21	8	85	21	30	66	40	10	13	108
	26.1	25.9	26.6	27.0	24.0	28.5	22.3	24.5	28.6	24.1	29.4	37.0	13.0	23.3	20.0	38.1	42.4	31.0	24.7
GET WORSE	153	103	50	107	46	42	36	22	20	25	8	41	64	49	111	21	4	6	128
	29.5	32.6	24.6	28.9	30.9	31.4	38.9	29.0	19.4	29.0	29.4	18.0	39.5	37.2	33.8	19.8	19.5	13.1	29.1
STAY THE SAME	217	129	88	152	65	54	34	35	49	39	6	98	71	48	144	42	7	22	191
	41.8	40.8	43.2	41.0	43.7	40.1	36.4	46.5	46.6	45.0	24.7	42.9	44.2	36.7	43.6	40.4	32.6	52.0	43.5
NO OPINION	14	2	11	12	2	-	2	-	6	2	4	5	5	3	8	2	1	2	12
	2.6	0.7	5.6	3.1	1.4	-	2.4	-	5.3	1.9	16.4	2.2	3.2	2.7	2.5	1.8	5.4	3.9	2.7
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439

13 Sep 2011

Q39. What about the next 12 months or so? Do you expect economic conditions in California to get better, get worse or stay the same?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Gender			Age					Political ideology					Tenure				
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	Conservative	Mod-conservative	Mid-the Road	Mod-liberal	Strong liberal	Renter	Homeowner Total	[YEAR PURCHASED]		
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Unweighted Base	520	256	264	75	66	89	130	159	104	58	211	55	74	135	362	145	163	51
Weighted Base	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GET BETTER	136	74	62	37	16	26	19	39	8	21	50	19	29	51	79	30	35	13
	26.1	30.5	22.3	40.5	20.5	24.7	15.3	31.4	8.4	36.6	23.8	31.7	38.3	35.2	22.4	18.9	23.4	31.2
GET WORSE	153	71	82	20	23	33	49	29	58	18	56	8	7	30	118	62	41	15
	29.5	29.2	29.7	21.7	29.5	30.4	40.4	23.8	62.0	31.0	26.4	14.3	8.9	20.9	33.5	39.6	27.2	35.6
STAY THE SAME	217	95	122	34	37	46	51	48	27	17	98	30	38	59	146	61	70	13
	41.8	38.8	44.3	37.8	48.5	42.7	41.6	39.2	28.4	29.5	46.5	51.4	49.6	41.0	41.6	39.2	46.3	32.4
NO OPINION	14	4	10	-	1	2	3	7	1	2	7	1	2	4	9	4	5	*
	2.6	1.5	3.6	-	1.4	2.2	2.7	5.7	1.2	2.9	3.3	2.5	3.1	2.9	2.5	2.3	3.1	0.9
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42

13 Sep 2011

Q39. What about the next 12 months or so? Do you expect economic conditions in California to get better, get worse or stay the same?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Education					Household Income					Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification		
	Total	H.S. Grad- or less	Some Col-lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col-lege Grad	Post Grad-uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -60K	\$60- \$100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Mar-ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar-ried	A lot	Some	All Other
Unweighted Base	520	99	165	138	117	66	80	98	102	117	104	410	320	79	116	57	111	352
Weighted Base	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GET BETTER	136	29	42	31	33	26	26	19	28	20	33	101	72	14	50	2	14	120
	26.1	31.4	26.4	21.3	27.1	39.4	34.8	20.6	25.4	16.8	31.7	24.9	22.6	20.1	38.8	3.3	12.7	33.4
GET WORSE	153	24	51	44	34	18	11	28	29	48	25	124	110	21	21	33	60	60
	29.5	26.3	31.7	30.4	27.9	26.9	15.4	30.0	26.6	40.3	24.0	30.4	34.5	31.1	16.6	64.9	54.7	16.8
STAY THE SAME	217	37	62	67	52	18	36	43	51	49	45	171	128	29	57	15	34	168
	41.8	39.8	38.5	45.9	42.8	27.4	48.3	46.7	46.2	40.8	42.7	42.0	40.0	42.8	44.4	30.3	31.2	46.6
NO OPINION	14	2	5	3	3	4	1	2	2	3	2	11	9	4	*	1	1	11
	2.6	2.6	3.3	2.4	2.1	6.3	1.5	2.7	1.8	2.1	1.6	2.8	2.9	6.0	0.3	1.5	1.3	3.2
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360

13 Sep 2011

Q39. What about the next 12 months or so? Do you expect economic conditions in California to get better, get worse or stay the same?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Religion				Born Again		Telephone Use			Aware of Redistricting Commission (Q28)		Opinion of Prop. 13 (Q21)		Pres. Obama Job Rating (Q2)		Opinion of Ballot Props. (Q31)		
	Total	Pro- test- ant/ Othr	Cath- olic	Othr Relig	No Pref.	Yes	No	All/ Most Cell	Some Land	All/ Most Land	Yes	No/ DK	Favor	Opp- ose	Ap- prove	Dis- prove	Good	Bad
Unweighted Base	520	218	115	95	92	125	395	145	154	215	184	336	331	154	241	226	275	76
Weighted Base	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GET BETTER	136	49	36	28	23	32	104	48	30	57	45	91	77	51	95	26	74	18
	26.1	24.4	31.3	28.6	21.7	27.8	25.7	29.3	19.4	28.7	25.7	26.4	23.5	32.6	39.1	11.8	27.1	25.1
GET WORSE	153	73	24	25	31	42	111	47	43	62	54	99	113	32	38	99	82	13
	29.5	36.1	21.0	25.5	29.7	36.5	27.4	29.1	28.2	31.1	31.0	28.7	34.5	20.3	15.6	44.9	30.2	18.2
STAY THE SAME	217	76	52	41	48	40	177	65	76	73	74	143	128	70	104	88	109	41
	41.8	37.6	45.4	41.9	45.6	34.2	43.9	40.0	49.9	36.8	42.4	41.4	39.1	45.0	42.9	39.8	39.8	55.5
NO OPINION	14	4	3	4	3	2	12	3	4	7	2	12	10	3	6	8	8	1
	2.6	1.9	2.4	4.1	3.0	1.5	3.0	1.6	2.4	3.4	0.9	3.5	3.0	2.1	2.5	3.5	2.9	1.2
Adds to: Rows	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74

13 Sep 2011

Q40. How serious a problem do you think unemployment is in California at this time? Is it very serious, somewhat serious, not too serious, or not at all serious?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Ethnicity				Voted Gen. Elec- tion 2008	
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast	In-land	LA	San Diego/Ornge	Othr South	S.F. Bay Area	Central Valley	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/Other	White non-Hisp- anic	La- tino	Black		Asian/ Other
Unweighted Base	520	302	218	346	174	133	90	62	84	114	37	243	175	102	337	101	23	37	448
Weighted Base	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
VERY SERIOUS	418	255	163	295	123	104	75	66	85	69	19	176	134	108	269	79	21	30	358
	80.4	80.7	80.0	79.5	82.7	77.0	80.9	87.3	81.2	80.1	74.7	77.1	83.4	82.6	81.7	76.1	93.3	72.0	81.7
SOMEWHAT SERIOUS	86	54	32	64	21	28	15	10	15	13	6	45	22	18	52	22	2	9	68
	16.5	17.0	15.8	17.3	14.4	20.5	15.8	12.7	13.9	14.8	25.3	19.8	13.7	14.2	15.7	20.7	6.7	22.2	15.4
NOT TOO SERIOUS	11	4	7	9	2	3	1	-	5	2	-	6	2	4	6	3	-	2	8
	2.1	1.3	3.3	2.3	1.6	1.9	0.8	-	5.0	2.9	-	2.5	1.1	2.8	1.8	3.2	-	4.0	1.7
NOT AT ALL SERIOUS	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
	0.2	0.4	-	0.3	-	-	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	0.3
NO OPINION	4	2	2	2	2	1	1	-	-	2	-	2	2	1	3	-	-	1	4
	0.8	0.6	1.0	0.5	1.3	0.6	1.3	-	-	2.3	-	0.7	1.1	0.5	0.8	-	-	1.8	0.9
Adds to: Rows	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439

13 Sep 2011

Q40. How serious a problem do you think unemployment is in California at this time? Is it very serious, somewhat serious, not too serious, or not at all serious?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Gender		Age					Political ideology					Tenure					
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	Conservative	Mod-conservative	Mid-the Road	Mod-liberal	Strong liberal	Renter	Homeowner Total	[YEAR PURCHASED]		
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Unweighted Base	520	256	264	75	66	89	130	159	104	58	211	55	74	135	362	145	163	51
Weighted Base	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
VERY SERIOUS	418	196	222	61	59	83	110	104	88	43	164	43	63	116	287	126	123	37
	80.4	80.6	80.3	67.1	77.3	77.9	90.4	84.2	93.5	76.2	77.3	72.8	82.0	80.3	81.8	80.9	81.1	87.9
SOMEWHAT SERIOUS	86	37	49	21	16	23	10	16	3	10	42	15	12	23	54	26	24	4
	16.5	15.2	17.6	23.6	21.6	21.1	8.0	12.7	3.7	17.9	20.0	24.8	16.0	15.7	15.5	16.7	16.0	8.5
NOT TOO SERIOUS	11	7	4	6	-	1	1	2	1	3	4	1	-	4	6	2	3	1
	2.1	3.0	1.3	6.7	-	1.0	1.2	1.9	0.9	5.9	1.8	2.4	-	2.6	1.8	1.1	2.1	3.6
NOT AT ALL SERIOUS	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
	0.2	0.5	-	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	-	-	0.8	-	-	-	-
NO OPINION	4	2	2	1	1	-	1	1	2	-	1	-	2	1	3	2	1	-
	0.8	0.7	0.8	1.3	1.0	-	0.5	1.1	1.9	-	0.3	-	2.1	0.6	0.9	1.3	0.8	-
Adds to: Rows	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q40. How serious a problem do you think unemployment is in California at this time? Is it very serious, somewhat serious, not too serious, or not at all serious?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Education				Household Income					Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification			
	Total	H.S. Grad- or less	Some Col- lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col- lege Grad	Post Grad- uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -60K	\$60- \$100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried	A lot	Some	All Other
Unweighted Base	520	99	165	138	117	66	80	98	102	117	104	410	320	79	116	57	111	352
Weighted Base	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
VERY SERIOUS	418	76	122	117	102	52	58	72	93	98	90	326	264	55	95	49	99	270
	80.4	83.1	76.4	80.3	83.7	78.8	78.2	78.5	84.4	81.5	85.7	79.9	82.6	81.7	74.5	97.6	89.9	75.1
SOMEWHAT SERIOUS	86	12	32	23	19	11	11	18	17	20	13	69	46	11	27	1	11	74
	16.5	13.2	19.8	15.7	15.7	16.8	14.3	19.5	15.6	16.3	12.5	17.0	14.5	16.2	21.4	1.2	10.1	20.6
NOT TOO SERIOUS	11	3	5	2	-	1	4	2	-	1	1	9	6	-	5	-	-	11
	2.1	3.7	3.3	1.5	-	2.3	5.1	2.0	-	0.6	0.7	2.1	1.8	-	4.0	-	-	3.0
NOT AT ALL SERIOUS	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	1
	0.2	-	-	0.8	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	-	0.3	0.4	-	-	-	-	0.3
NO OPINION	4	-	1	2	1	1	1	-	-	2	1	3	3	1	-	1	-	3
	0.8	-	0.5	1.6	0.6	2.1	0.8	-	-	1.6	1.1	0.7	0.8	2.1	-	1.2	-	0.9
Adds to: Rows	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q40. How serious a problem do you think unemployment is in California at this time? Is it very serious, somewhat serious, not too serious, or not at all serious?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Religion				Born Again		Telephone Use			Aware of Redistricting Commission (Q28)		Opinion of Prop. 13 (Q21)		Pres. Obama Job Rating (Q2)		Opinion of Ballot Props. (Q31)		
	Total	Pro- test- ant/ Othr	Cath- olic	Othr Relig	No Pref.	Yes	No	All/ Most Cell	Some Land	All/ Most Land	Yes	No/ DK	Favor	Opp- ose	Ap- prove	Dis- ap- prove	Good	Bad
Unweighted Base	520	218	115	95	92	125	395	145	154	215	184	336	331	154	241	226	275	76
Weighted Base	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
VERY SERIOUS	418	166	92	76	84	92	326	119	126	168	146	272	260	127	175	198	213	64
	80.4	82.1	80.2	78.2	79.4	79.2	80.8	73.4	82.8	84.5	83.5	78.8	79.0	82.1	72.0	90.1	78.1	86.9
SOMEWHAT SERIOUS	86	33	18	14	20	22	64	35	24	25	23	63	57	23	60	16	53	9
	16.5	16.3	15.8	14.8	19.1	18.6	15.9	21.4	16.0	12.6	13.0	18.3	17.4	15.0	24.8	7.3	19.4	12.0
NOT TOO SERIOUS	11	1	3	7	-	1	10	6	1	4	4	7	7	4	5	4	4	1
	2.1	0.4	2.9	7.0	-	0.6	2.5	3.8	0.7	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.0	1.8	1.5	1.1
NOT AT ALL SERIOUS	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	-
	0.2	0.6	-	-	-	1.0	-	0.7	-	-	-	0.3	0.4	-	0.5	-	-	-
NO OPINION	4	1	1	-	2	1	3	1	1	2	2	2	3	1	2	2	3	-
	0.8	0.6	1.0	-	1.5	0.5	0.8	0.7	0.5	1.0	1.4	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.9	-
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74

13 Sep 2011

Q41. Looking ahead, do you think job opportunities in California will be better, about the same, or worse in the coming year?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Region					Area					Party Regis.			Ethnicity				Voted Gen.	
	North/South Coast/Inld					San Diego/ Othr S.F. Bay Area					Central Valley Other			White non-Hisp- La- Asian/				Elec- tion	
	Total	South Cal	North Cal	Coast	In-land	LA	Diego/ Ornge	South	Bay Area	Val- ey	Other North	Dem	Rep	Non-Part/ Other	Hispanic	La-tino	Black	Other	2008
Unweighted Base	520	302	218	346	174	133	90	62	84	114	37	243	175	102	337	101	23	37	448
Weighted Base	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER	125	75	50	98	27	41	15	19	30	15	6	81	18	26	68	31	11	10	99
	24.1	23.8	24.5	26.4	18.4	30.4	15.8	24.9	28.7	17.4	22.4	35.5	11.2	20.0	20.5	29.6	46.9	22.8	22.5
ABOUT THE SAME	236	141	94	162	74	48	49	37	49	43	9	97	77	62	154	50	6	21	204
	45.3	44.6	46.4	43.6	49.5	35.5	52.8	49.1	46.7	50.5	36.1	42.2	48.0	47.5	46.8	48.0	25.7	49.4	46.5
WORSE	139	93	46	95	44	43	26	20	19	24	8	41	59	38	95	19	5	9	120
	26.6	29.2	22.6	25.5	29.4	31.5	27.5	26.0	18.5	27.4	30.6	17.9	36.9	29.3	29.0	18.3	22.0	21.3	27.4
NO OPINION	20	7	13	16	4	4	4	-	6	4	3	10	6	4	12	4	1	3	16
	3.9	2.3	6.5	4.4	2.7	2.7	3.9	-	6.1	4.7	10.8	4.4	3.8	3.2	3.7	4.2	5.4	6.5	3.7
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	520	316	204	371	149	135	93	75	105	86	26	229	161	131	330	104	22	42	439

13 Sep 2011

Q41. Looking ahead, do you think job opportunities in California will be better, about the same, or worse in the coming year?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Gender		Age					Political ideology					Tenure					
	Total	Male	Fe- male	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or Older	Strng Con- ative	Mod. Con- ative	Mid. of the Road	Mod. lib- eral	Strng lib- eral	Rent- er	Homeowner Total	[YEAR PURCHASED]		
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Unweighted Base	520	256	264	75	66	89	130	159	104	58	211	55	74	135	362	145	163	51
Weighted Base	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER	125	57	69	30	17	20	24	34	9	16	38	21	34	42	76	30	34	12
	24.1	23.2	24.9	33.6	22.0	18.5	19.9	27.7	9.3	28.6	18.2	35.7	44.0	28.9	21.6	19.1	22.4	28.7
ABOUT THE SAME	236	112	124	43	42	47	51	52	34	24	112	25	32	63	161	70	72	18
	45.3	45.7	45.0	46.9	54.8	43.7	42.3	42.1	35.7	42.3	52.7	42.3	41.6	43.9	45.9	44.6	47.8	42.4
WORSE	139	69	70	17	14	38	42	28	48	16	52	11	6	33	101	52	41	8
	26.6	28.1	25.3	18.3	18.2	35.7	34.7	22.5	51.5	27.4	24.6	18.7	8.2	23.1	28.7	33.1	27.2	19.2
NO OPINION	20	7	13	1	4	2	4	9	3	1	10	2	5	6	13	5	4	4
	3.9	2.9	4.8	1.2	5.0	2.2	3.2	7.7	3.4	1.7	4.5	3.4	6.2	4.1	3.8	3.2	2.6	9.6
Adds to: Rows	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	520	244	276	91	76	107	122	123	94	57	212	59	77	144	351	156	151	42

September 2011 Field Poll

13 Sep 2011

Q41. Looking ahead, do you think job opportunities in California will be better, about the same, or worse in the coming year?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Education				Household Income					Union Status		Marital Status			Tea Party Identification			
	Total	H.S. Grad- or less	Some Col- lege/ Trade Sch'l	Col- lege Grad	Post Grad- uate Work	Less Than \$20K	\$20 -40K	\$40 -60K	\$60- \$100K	More Than \$100K	Yes, Any	No	Mar- ried/ Live Tgthr	Separ Divor -wed	Never Mar- ried	A lot	Some	All Other
Unweighted Base	520	99	165	138	117	66	80	98	102	117	104	410	320	79	116	57	111	352
Weighted Base	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER	125	20	39	25	40	23	22	18	27	21	32	90	66	14	44	2	9	114
	24.1	22.1	24.4	17.4	32.8	34.7	29.4	19.8	24.1	17.4	30.5	22.0	20.6	21.0	34.5	4.7	8.3	31.6
ABOUT THE SAME	236	42	66	80	48	23	36	46	54	57	47	187	142	30	62	18	50	168
	45.3	45.7	41.4	54.7	39.2	35.5	48.4	49.8	48.7	47.8	44.3	45.8	44.5	44.3	48.2	35.4	45.2	46.8
WORSE	139	25	46	38	29	16	15	23	27	39	22	115	100	19	20	30	49	59
	26.6	27.3	28.7	26.1	24.2	23.8	20.2	24.5	24.6	32.1	21.3	28.2	31.1	27.5	15.4	60.0	44.5	16.5
NO OPINION	20	4	9	3	5	4	2	5	3	3	4	16	12	5	2	-	2	18
	3.9	4.8	5.5	1.8	3.8	6.1	2.1	5.9	2.6	2.8	3.9	4.0	3.8	7.2	1.9	-	2.0	5.1
Adds to:	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Rows	520	92	160	146	122	66	74	92	110	120	105	408	320	68	128	50	110	360

13 Sep 2011

Q41. Looking ahead, do you think job opportunities in California will be better, about the same, or worse in the coming year?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

	Religion					Born Again		Telephone Use			Aware of Redistricting Commission (Q28)		Opinion of Prop. 13 (Q21)	Pres. Obama Job Rating (Q2)		Opinion of Ballot Props. (Q31)		
	Total	Cath.	Oth- Cath.	Oth- Relig	No Pref.	Yes	No	All/ Most Cell	Some Land	All/ Most Land	Yes	No/ DK	Favor	Opp- ose	Ap- prove	Dis- prove	Good	Bad
Unweighted Base	520	218	115	95	92	125	395	145	154	215	184	336	331	154	241	226	275	76
Weighted Base	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
BETTER	125	48	28	25	24	30	95	41	34	50	43	82	69	48	93	22	66	22
	24.1	23.9	24.3	25.5	23.0	26.1	23.5	25.1	22.4	24.9	24.5	23.9	20.9	31.1	38.3	9.8	24.3	29.5
ABOUT THE SAME	236	85	57	44	50	48	188	75	73	85	80	155	150	68	104	103	122	36
	45.3	42.0	49.8	45.0	47.2	41.5	46.4	46.0	47.6	42.7	46.0	45.0	45.7	43.8	42.6	46.8	44.8	48.3
WORSE	139	62	26	23	26	35	103	40	43	55	48	91	98	31	34	89	73	13
	26.6	30.8	23.0	24.1	25.0	30.4	25.6	24.4	28.3	27.5	27.4	26.3	29.8	20.0	14.2	40.2	26.8	18.0
NO OPINION	20	7	3	5	5	2	18	7	3	10	4	17	12	8	12	7	11	3
	3.9	3.3	3.0	5.4	4.8	2.0	4.5	4.5	1.7	4.9	2.1	4.9	3.5	5.1	4.9	3.2	4.1	4.2
Adds to: Rows	520	202	115	97	106	116	404	162	153	199	175	345	329	155	243	220	273	74