

FIELD

RESEARCH

CORPORATION

FOUNDED IN 1945 BY MERVIN FIELD

601 California Street, Suite 900 • San Francisco, California 94108 • 415-392-5763

**Tabulations From a Survey of California Registered Voters about the
State's High Speed Rail Project**

*- prepared for the -
Capitol Alert and the Sacramento Bee*

December 6, 2011

Introduction

This volume presents the statistical data developed from a *Field Poll* survey of registered voters in California about their views of the state's High Speed Rail project. The survey was conducted by *The Field Poll* among a representative sample of 1,000 registered voters in California conducted by telephone in English and Spanish November 15-27, 2011. In order to cover a broad range of issues and still minimize respondent fatigue, the questions in this report are based on a random subsample of 515 registered voters.

Sampling

The sample was developed from telephone listings of individual voters selected randomly from a listing of statewide voter registration rolls. Once a voter's name and telephone had been selected interviewers are attempted only with the specified voter. Interviews can be conducted on either the voter's landline or cell phone, depending on the source of the telephone listing from the voter file and the preference of the voter. In this survey 775 interviewers were derived from landline sample listings and 225 from cell phone listings.

Prior to the start of data collection, professionally-trained telephone interviewers were briefed with regard to the survey's proper calling and interviewing procedures by the Study Director. This session provided both interviewers and supervisors with an overview of the study and includes a question-by-question review of all items in the survey. Interviewers then completed survey interviews by telephone through the computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) system. CATI controls the telephone scripts read to individual respondents by displaying the appropriate questionnaire items and their valid response code alternatives in their proper sequence on computer screens at each interviewer's booth. The interviewer then reads each question aloud to the respondent from the screen and enters each respondent's pre-coded answer category through the keyboard directly to a computer disk. All answers are automatically stored in computer memory.

In order to bring hard-to-reach respondents into the survey, up to six attempts (an initial call plus five callbacks) were made to each telephone number selected for inclusion into the sample. Callbacks were made at different times and on different days to increase the probability of finding voters available for the interview. Where possible, appointments are made at specified dates and times to maximize convenience and cooperation rates.

Data Processing

The data file resulting from CATI interviewing is itself virtually error-free. Even so, a final series of data checks were performed by means of a specially designed cleaning program that scrutinizes each respondent record for internally inconsistent information. Once the data were determined to be clean and error-free, the overall sample was weighted to align it to its proper statewide proportions by demographic characteristics of the state's registered voter population.

Guide to Reading the Tables

The following is an explanation of the detailed statistical tabulations contained in this report:

- The question or questions upon which the data are based is shown at the top of each table
- Tables are percentaged vertically with the raw percentage base appearing at the top of each column.
- The data have been weighted to known parameters of the statewide registered voter population. All percentages and frequencies reported in each table are therefore weighted tabulations.
- In instances where percentages are calculated on small bases (e.g., when the base is fewer than 100 respondents) the reader is urged to interpret the data with caution, since results are subject to larger levels of sampling error.
- Throughout the tables an asterisk is used to denote a value of less than 1/2 of 1%. A hyphen indicates zero value. On some tables the percentages may add to more than 100% due to multiple mentions.
- Bases of subgroups used in the tabulations may add to less than the total number of respondents due to some respondents not reporting that characteristic.

Subgroup Definitions

The following are some of the definitions applicable to some of the voter subgroups reported in this volume:

Area

- Southern California: Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Imperial, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Kern, and San Luis Obispo counties
- Northern California: all other 48 California counties
- Coastal Counties: San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Mateo, San Francisco, Contra Costa, Alameda, Marin, Napa, Solano, Sonoma, Santa Clara, Mendocino, Humboldt and Del Norte counties
- Inland counties: all other 38 California counties

Region

- Los Angeles: Los Angeles County
- San Diego/Orange: San Diego County and Orange counties
- Other South: San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial, Ventura, Santa Barbara, and San Luis Obispo
- SF Bay Area: San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Mateo
- Central Valley: Butte, Colusa, Fresno, Glenn, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Placer, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama, Tulare, Yolo, and Yuba
- Other North: Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Del Norte, El Dorado, Humboldt, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Monterey, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Benito, Santa Cruz, Sierra, Siskiyou, Trinity, and Tuolumne

Estimates of Sampling Error

In any survey based on a sampling, there is some sampling error introduced into the data by the process of sampling itself. When the sample has been drawn using random processes, it is possible to apply probability principles to determine the potential range of such error. While survey samples of human populations rarely, if ever, meet all of the criteria theoretically required for the application of these principles, it is customary to use them as an approximation of error that is introduced as a result of sampling. The table below shows the range of error that is associated with samples of various sizes, assuming the use of the 95% confidence level, which is customary for most public opinion surveys. For example, if 50% of the overall sample of random subsample of 515 registered voters answered “yes” to a specific question, this statistic would have a sampling error of plus or minus 4.5 percentage points at the 95% confidence level. This means that there is a 95% chance that had the overall population of registered voters statewide been interviewed using the same questionnaire and methods, the results of such a census would yield a result between 44.5% and 54.5%. The same procedure can be used to estimate the sample error ranges of any other statistic contained in this report.

| Approximate sample size | <u>Approximate percentage distribution of replies to question</u> | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | <u>10%</u> | <u>30%</u> | <u>50%</u> | <u>70%</u> | <u>90%</u> |
| 100 | +/- 6.0 | +/- 9.2 | +/- 10.0 | +/- 9.2 | +/- 6.0 |
| 300 | +/- 3.5 | +/- 5.3 | +/- 5.8 | +/- 5.3 | +/- 3.5 |
| 500 | +/- 2.7 | +/- 4.1 | +/- 4.5 | +/- 4.1 | +/- 2.7 |
| 1000 | +/- 1.9 | +/- 2.9 | +/- 3.1 | +/- 2.9 | +/- 1.9 |

There are many other possible sources of error other than sampling variability in this and any other public opinion survey. Different results could occur because of differences in question wording, sequencing, the rigor with which sampling procedures were implemented, or through undetected errors or omissions in sampling, interviewing or data processing. The overall design and execution of the survey minimized the potential for these other sources of error.

Questions Asked

Have you seen, read or heard anything about California's High Speed Rail project, a proposed passenger train service that when completed would link Southern California, the Central Valley and the San Francisco Bay Area?

(IF VOTED IN NOVEMBER 2008 ELECTION) In the November 2008 statewide election, Californians voted on a bond measure, Proposition 1A, to establish the High Speed Rail project. Thinking back to that election, do you recall having voted Yes to approve or No to reject the High Speed Rail Bond measure?

Nine billion dollars in state bonds were approved by California voters for the High Speed Rail project in the November 2008 election. At the time, the project's estimated cost was 43 billion dollars and its targeted completion date was 2020. More current estimates now put its cost at 98 billion dollars and its completion date as 2033. Some think that the state legislature should resubmit the bond package to voters for another public vote next year. Regardless of how you feel about the project, do you favor or oppose the legislature putting the 9 billion dollar state bond package to another public vote in next year's statewide elections?

Suppose that 9 billion dollars in state bonds for the California High Speed Rail project were put before voters again in a statewide election ballot. If the election were being held today, would you vote Yes to approve or No to reject this bond package?

November 2011 Field Poll

28 Nov 2011

Q29. Have you seen, read or heard anything about California's High Speed Rail project, a proposed passenger train service that when completed would link Southern California, the Central Valley and the San Francisco Bay Area?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Region | | | | | Area | | | | | | Party Regis. | | Ethnicity | | | | Voted Gen. Elec- tion 2008 | |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------|----------------|----------------|--------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| | North/South Coast | | Inland | | | LA | San Diego/Ornge | Othr South | S.F. Bay Area | Central Valley | Other North | Dem | Rep | Non-Part/Other | White Hispanic | Latino | Black | Asian/Other | |
| Total | Cal | Cal | Coast | In- | land | LA | Ornge | South | Bay Area | Val- | North | Dem | Rep | Other | Hispanic | La- | Black | Asian/Other | 2008 |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 295 | 220 | 359 | 156 | 123 | 84 | 77 | 110 | 85 | 36 | 237 | 160 | 118 | 316 | 113 | 27 | 56 | 455 |
| Weighted Base | 515 | 310 | 205 | 367 | 148 | 134 | 92 | 75 | 104 | 85 | 25 | 227 | 159 | 129 | 308 | 120 | 27 | 56 | 448 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Yes | 398 | 219 | 180 | 279 | 119 | 94 | 64 | 51 | 93 | 77 | 18 | 177 | 124 | 97 | 258 | 77 | 20 | 35 | 359 |
| | 77.3 | 70.6 | 87.5 | 76.1 | 80.4 | 70.0 | 69.8 | 68.9 | 89.4 | 91.1 | 72.2 | 78.3 | 77.8 | 75.0 | 83.8 | 64.5 | 73.1 | 63.1 | 80.1 |
| No | 114 | 90 | 24 | 86 | 28 | 40 | 27 | 23 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 47 | 35 | 32 | 49 | 41 | 7 | 21 | 86 |
| | 22.1 | 29.0 | 11.8 | 23.4 | 19.1 | 30.0 | 28.9 | 31.1 | 9.9 | 8.9 | 24.9 | 20.8 | 22.2 | 24.5 | 15.9 | 34.4 | 26.9 | 36.9 | 19.3 |
| No opinion | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | - | 1.4 | - | 0.7 | - | 3.0 | 0.9 | - | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.1 | - | - | 0.6 |

Q29. Have you seen, read or heard anything about California's High Speed Rail project, a proposed passenger train service that when completed would link Southern California, the Central Valley and the San Francisco Bay Area?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Gender | | Age | | | | | Political ideology | | | | | Obama Re-elect | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------|
| | Total | Male | Fe- male | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 | 50-64 | 65 or Older | Strng Con- serv- ative | Mod. Con- serv- ative | Mid. of the Road | Mod. lib- eral | Strng lib- eral | Re-elect | Not Re-elect | Stron -gly | Unde- cided | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Some- what/ Strng | Some- what/ strng | | | |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 254 | 261 | 84 | 72 | 79 | 137 | 142 | 92 | 58 | 234 | 57 | 74 | 153 | 97 | 50 | 168 | 47 |
| Weighted Base | 515 | 242 | 273 | 91 | 81 | 106 | 124 | 112 | 91 | 60 | 231 | 59 | 74 | 145 | 105 | 56 | 164 | 45 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Yes | 398 | 195 | 204 | 53 | 67 | 83 | 96 | 98 | 75 | 46 | 170 | 41 | 67 | 116 | 81 | 37 | 131 | 34 |
| | 77.3 | 80.6 | 74.5 | 58.2 | 82.2 | 78.2 | 77.8 | 87.9 | 82.3 | 76.5 | 73.4 | 69.6 | 90.3 | 80.1 | 77.7 | 65.3 | 79.5 | 74.8 |
| No | 114 | 45 | 69 | 37 | 14 | 23 | 27 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 59 | 18 | 7 | 29 | 23 | 19 | 33 | 9 |
| | 22.1 | 18.6 | 25.3 | 40.4 | 17.8 | 21.8 | 21.6 | 11.4 | 17.7 | 23.5 | 25.4 | 30.4 | 9.7 | 19.9 | 22.3 | 34.7 | 20.1 | 20.8 |
| No opinion | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.4 | - | - | 0.6 | 0.7 | - | - | 1.2 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 4.5 |

Q29. Have you seen, read or heard anything about California's High Speed Rail project, a proposed passenger train service that when completed would link Southern California, the Central Valley and the San Francisco Bay Area?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Education | | | | Household Income | | | | | | Union Status | | Marital Status | | | Parent of Child Under 18 | | Phone Type | |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------------|--------------|-------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------|------------|-----------|
| | Total | less | Sch'l | Grad | Post Grad | Less Than \$20K | \$20-40K | \$40-60K | \$60-\$100K | More Than \$100K | Yes, Any | No | Married/Live Tgthr | Separ Divorced | Never Married | Yes | No | Landline | Cellphone |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 93 | 161 | 137 | 121 | 61 | 85 | 62 | 127 | 118 | 94 | 416 | 327 | 76 | 105 | 128 | 385 | 403 | 112 |
| Weighted Base | 515 | 93 | 164 | 142 | 114 | 61 | 84 | 61 | 127 | 123 | 100 | 409 | 328 | 67 | 114 | 152 | 361 | 393 | 122 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Yes | 398 | 60 | 124 | 114 | 99 | 40 | 59 | 49 | 107 | 103 | 73 | 322 | 268 | 47 | 79 | 118 | 280 | 312 | 86 |
| | 77.3 | 64.5 | 75.4 | 80.4 | 86.9 | 64.6 | 70.3 | 80.4 | 84.3 | 83.7 | 73.3 | 78.8 | 81.6 | 71.0 | 69.0 | 77.1 | 77.5 | 79.6 | 70.0 |
| No | 114 | 32 | 40 | 28 | 14 | 22 | 25 | 11 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 86 | 59 | 19 | 35 | 34 | 80 | 77 | 37 |
| | 22.1 | 34.2 | 24.6 | 19.6 | 12.4 | 35.4 | 29.7 | 18.4 | 15.7 | 16.3 | 25.4 | 21.1 | 18.0 | 27.9 | 31.0 | 22.1 | 22.3 | 19.7 | 30.0 |
| No opinion | 3 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | - |
| | 0.5 | 1.4 | - | - | 0.7 | - | - | 1.2 | - | - | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | - | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.7 | - |

Q29. Have you seen, read or heard anything about California's High Speed Rail project, a proposed passenger train service that when completed would link Southern California, the Central Valley and the San Francisco Bay Area?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Religion | | | | Born Again | | Tea Party Identification | | | Occupy Protest Movement ID | | | Inclination to Support GOP Presidential Nominee | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|----------------------------|-------|-------|---|-----|---------|-----|-------------|-------|
| | Total | Chrst | olic | Othr | No | Yes | No | A lot | Some | At | All | A lot | Some | Not | Support | | Not Support | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Stron | Not | Stron | Unde- |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 197 | 133 | 75 | 110 | 113 | 402 | 58 | 147 | 289 | 106 | 151 | 234 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Weighted Base | 515 | 190 | 137 | 77 | 111 | 115 | 400 | 58 | 153 | 285 | 101 | 157 | 233 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 398 | 144 | 97 | 61 | 96 | 83 | 315 | 52 | 113 | 222 | 84 | 127 | 170 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 77.3 | 75.9 | 70.9 | 79.0 | 86.5 | 72.7 | 78.6 | 89.8 | 74.1 | 77.8 | 83.0 | 80.5 | 72.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| No | 114 | 45 | 39 | 16 | 14 | 30 | 84 | 6 | 38 | 63 | 17 | 31 | 62 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 22.1 | 23.7 | 28.2 | 21.0 | 12.8 | 26.2 | 21.0 | 10.2 | 25.1 | 22.0 | 17.0 | 19.5 | 26.6 | - | - | - | - | - |
| No opinion | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | - | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | - | 0.8 | 0.3 | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - |

Q30. In the November 2008 statewide election, Californians voted on a bond measure, Proposition 1A, to establish the High Speed Rail project. Thinking back to that election, do you recall having voted Yes to approve or No to reject the High Speed Rail Bond measure?

Base: Registered Voters (Form B) Who Voted in 2008 General Election

| | Region | | | | | Area | | | | | Party Regis. | | | Ethnicity | | | | Voted Gen. | |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------|-------------|---------|----------|-------|-----------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|-------|-------|----------------|---------|-------|-------|-------------------|---------------|
| | North/South | | Coast/Inlnd | | | LA | San Diego/Ornge | Othr South | S.F. Bay Area | Cen-tral Valley | Other North | Dem | Rep | Non-Part/Other | White | | | Asian/Black Other | Election 2008 |
| Total | Cal | Cal | Coast | In-land | Hispanic | | | | | | | | | | La-tino | Black | Other | | |
| ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== |
| Unweighted Base | 455 | 261 | 194 | 320 | 135 | 109 | 75 | 66 | 102 | 74 | 29 | 214 | 141 | 100 | 291 | 89 | 26 | 46 | 455 |
| Weighted Base | 448 | 273 | 175 | 324 | 124 | 118 | 82 | 64 | 95 | 69 | 20 | 201 | 140 | 107 | 281 | 92 | 26 | 46 | 448 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Voted yes | 191 | 100 | 91 | 139 | 51 | 41 | 31 | 24 | 54 | 32 | 9 | 113 | 36 | 41 | 123 | 29 | 16 | 20 | 191 |
| | 42.6 | 36.6 | 51.9 | 43.0 | 41.4 | 34.7 | 38.2 | 36.8 | 56.5 | 46.8 | 44.4 | 56.3 | 25.7 | 38.7 | 43.9 | 31.5 | 62.1 | 42.9 | 42.6 |
| Voted no | 135 | 85 | 50 | 98 | 37 | 38 | 27 | 16 | 29 | 19 | 6 | 42 | 57 | 37 | 99 | 23 | 2 | 11 | 135 |
| | 30.2 | 31.0 | 28.8 | 30.1 | 30.2 | 32.2 | 32.9 | 24.3 | 30.5 | 28.0 | 31.2 | 20.8 | 40.4 | 34.3 | 35.3 | 25.1 | 7.6 | 23.5 | 30.2 |
| Don't recall/ didn't vote | 122 | 88 | 34 | 87 | 35 | 39 | 24 | 25 | 12 | 17 | 5 | 46 | 47 | 29 | 59 | 40 | 8 | 15 | 122 |
| | 27.3 | 32.4 | 19.3 | 26.9 | 28.3 | 33.1 | 28.8 | 38.9 | 12.9 | 25.2 | 24.4 | 22.9 | 33.9 | 27.0 | 20.8 | 43.3 | 30.2 | 33.6 | 27.3 |

Q30. In the November 2008 statewide election, Californians voted on a bond measure, Proposition 1A, to establish the High Speed Rail project. Thinking back to that election, do you recall having voted Yes to approve or No to reject the High Speed Rail Bond measure?

Base: Registered Voters (Form B) Who Voted in 2008 General Election

| | Gender | | Age | | | | | | Political ideology | | | | | Obama Re-elect | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | Total | Male | Fe- male | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 | 50-64 | 65 or Older | Strng Con- serv- ative | Mod. Con- serv- ative | Mid. of the Road | Mod. lib- eral | Strng lib- eral | Re-elect | | Not Re-elect | | Stron -gly | Unde- cided |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Stron -gly | Not Strng | Some- what/ Strng | Some- what/ strng | | |
| Unweighted Base | 455 | 224 | 231 | 53 | 59 | 69 | 132 | 141 | 81 | 51 | 202 | 52 | 69 | 140 | 83 | 38 | 151 | 43 | |
| Weighted Base | 448 | 211 | 237 | 55 | 66 | 94 | 121 | 111 | 77 | 52 | 197 | 53 | 68 | 130 | 90 | 42 | 146 | 40 | |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Voted yes | 191 | 88 | 102 | 36 | 28 | 33 | 51 | 42 | 12 | 15 | 86 | 38 | 40 | 80 | 51 | 12 | 34 | 13 | |
| | 42.6 | 41.8 | 43.2 | 65.9 | 42.9 | 34.8 | 42.3 | 38.1 | 14.9 | 28.4 | 43.4 | 72.2 | 59.3 | 61.7 | 57.4 | 29.9 | 23.1 | 31.3 | |
| Voted no | 135 | 74 | 61 | 8 | 19 | 28 | 40 | 39 | 44 | 16 | 56 | 6 | 14 | 17 | 21 | 16 | 73 | 7 | |
| | 30.2 | 35.1 | 25.8 | 13.8 | 29.3 | 29.7 | 33.3 | 35.1 | 57.0 | 30.7 | 28.1 | 10.7 | 20.3 | 13.3 | 23.8 | 38.9 | 50.2 | 17.1 | |
| Don't recall/ didn't vote | 122 | 49 | 74 | 11 | 18 | 33 | 29 | 30 | 22 | 21 | 56 | 9 | 14 | 33 | 17 | 13 | 39 | 21 | |
| | 27.3 | 23.1 | 31.0 | 20.3 | 27.9 | 35.5 | 24.4 | 26.8 | 28.2 | 40.9 | 28.5 | 17.1 | 20.3 | 25.0 | 18.8 | 31.2 | 26.7 | 51.6 | |

Q30. In the November 2008 statewide election, Californians voted on a bond measure, Proposition 1A, to establish the High Speed Rail project. Thinking back to that election, do you recall having voted Yes to approve or No to reject the High Speed Rail Bond measure?

Base: Registered Voters (Form B) Who Voted in 2008 General Election

| | Education | | | | | Household Income | | | | | Union Status | | Marital Status | | | Parent of Child Under 18 | | Phone Type | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------------|--------------|-------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|
| | Total | H.S. Grad-uate or less | Some Col-lege/Trade Sch'l | Col-lege Grad | Post-Grad-uate Work | Less Than \$20K | \$20-40K | \$40-60K | \$60-\$100K | More Than \$100K | Yes, Any | No | Mar-ried/ Live Tgthr | Separ- Divor- Wido | Never Mar-ried | Yes | No | Land- line | Cell- phone |
| Unweighted Base | 455 | 75 | 140 | 124 | 113 | 53 | 71 | 55 | 114 | 110 | 87 | 364 | 301 | 70 | 77 | 110 | 343 | 369 | 86 |
| Weighted Base | 448 | 75 | 140 | 126 | 105 | 52 | 68 | 53 | 114 | 113 | 90 | 353 | 298 | 63 | 82 | 131 | 316 | 355 | 93 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Voted yes | 191 | 24 | 54 | 54 | 59 | 22 | 27 | 20 | 56 | 51 | 48 | 141 | 125 | 22 | 43 | 50 | 140 | 145 | 46 |
| | 42.6 | 32.7 | 38.4 | 42.6 | 56.1 | 43.6 | 39.5 | 38.2 | 48.8 | 44.7 | 53.7 | 39.9 | 42.0 | 34.4 | 52.1 | 38.5 | 44.5 | 40.7 | 49.5 |
| Voted no | 135 | 16 | 48 | 42 | 29 | 11 | 19 | 19 | 39 | 34 | 24 | 109 | 90 | 23 | 21 | 41 | 94 | 112 | 23 |
| | 30.2 | 21.0 | 34.6 | 33.3 | 27.7 | 21.2 | 28.6 | 35.4 | 34.2 | 30.4 | 26.3 | 30.7 | 30.1 | 36.7 | 25.8 | 31.3 | 29.8 | 31.6 | 24.6 |
| Don't recall/ didn't vote | 122 | 35 | 38 | 30 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 14 | 19 | 28 | 18 | 103 | 83 | 18 | 18 | 40 | 81 | 98 | 24 |
| | 27.3 | 46.3 | 27.0 | 24.2 | 16.2 | 35.3 | 32.0 | 26.5 | 17.0 | 24.9 | 20.0 | 29.3 | 27.9 | 28.9 | 22.1 | 30.2 | 25.7 | 27.7 | 25.9 |

Q30. In the November 2008 statewide election, Californians voted on a bond measure, Proposition 1A, to establish the High Speed Rail project. Thinking back to that election, do you recall having voted Yes to approve or No to reject the High Speed Rail Bond measure?

Base: Registered Voters (Form B) Who Voted in 2008 General Election

| | Religion | | | | Born Again | | Tea Party Identification | | | Occupy Protest Movement ID | | | Inclination to Support GOP Presidential Nominee | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|-------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|----------------------------|-------|-------|---|---------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| | Total | Chrst | Cath- olic | Othr Relig | No Pref. | Yes | No | A lot | Some | Not At All | A lot | Some | Not Much | Support | | Not Support | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Stron- gly | Not Strng | Some- what/ Strng | Not Strng | Some- what/ Unde- cided |
| Unweighted Base | 455 | 181 | 113 | 62 | 99 | 100 | 355 | 53 | 123 | 260 | 96 | 138 | 201 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Weighted Base | 448 | 170 | 115 | 64 | 99 | 98 | 350 | 52 | 124 | 255 | 91 | 142 | 196 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Voted yes | 191 | 66 | 43 | 33 | 48 | 33 | 157 | 5 | 44 | 136 | 53 | 75 | 56 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 42.6 | 38.9 | 37.7 | 52.3 | 48.2 | 34.2 | 44.9 | 9.5 | 35.6 | 53.4 | 58.1 | 53.0 | 28.7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Voted no | 135 | 64 | 32 | 14 | 25 | 37 | 98 | 38 | 43 | 51 | 10 | 34 | 86 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 30.2 | 37.7 | 28.0 | 22.7 | 24.7 | 37.5 | 28.1 | 72.7 | 34.4 | 20.1 | 11.5 | 23.8 | 43.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Don't recall/ didn't vote | 122 | 40 | 40 | 16 | 27 | 28 | 95 | 9 | 37 | 67 | 28 | 33 | 54 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 27.3 | 23.4 | 34.4 | 25.0 | 27.1 | 28.3 | 27.0 | 17.8 | 30.0 | 26.5 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 27.5 | - | - | - | - | - |

Q31. Nine billion dollars in state bonds were approved by California voters for the High Speed Rail project in the November 2008 election. At the time, the project's estimated cost was 43 billion dollars and its targeted completion date was 2020. More current estimates now put its cost at 98 billion dollars and its completion date as 2033. Some think that the state legislature should resubmit the bond package to voters for another public vote next year. Regardless of how you feel about the project, do you favor or oppose the legislature putting the 9 billion dollar state bond package to another public vote in next year's statewide elections?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Region | | | | | Area | | | | | Party Regis. | | Ethnicity | | | | Voted Gen. Elec- tion | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|----------|-----------------|-------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|-------|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------------|-------|
| | North/South | | Coast/Inlnd | | | San Diego/Ornge | | Oth South | S.F. Bay Area | Cen- tral Val- ey | Other North | Dem | Rep | Non- Part/ Other | White non- Hisp- anic | La- tino | Black | Asian/ Other | 2008 |
| | Total | Cal | Cal | Coast | In- land | LA | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 295 | 220 | 359 | 156 | 123 | 84 | 77 | 110 | 85 | 36 | 237 | 160 | 118 | 316 | 113 | 27 | 56 | 455 |
| Weighted Base | 515 | 310 | 205 | 367 | 148 | 134 | 92 | 75 | 104 | 85 | 25 | 227 | 159 | 129 | 308 | 120 | 27 | 56 | 448 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Favor | 329 | 197 | 132 | 229 | 100 | 83 | 57 | 51 | 63 | 58 | 18 | 130 | 105 | 94 | 203 | 71 | 18 | 35 | 284 |
| | 63.9 | 63.5 | 64.6 | 62.5 | 67.4 | 61.8 | 62.0 | 68.3 | 60.4 | 67.9 | 70.9 | 57.4 | 66.2 | 72.6 | 66.0 | 59.0 | 64.7 | 61.8 | 63.3 |
| Oppose | 155 | 92 | 62 | 114 | 41 | 42 | 29 | 19 | 37 | 22 | 5 | 80 | 46 | 29 | 90 | 42 | 10 | 15 | 138 |
| | 30.1 | 29.8 | 30.4 | 31.1 | 27.5 | 31.4 | 31.9 | 25.8 | 35.1 | 26.1 | 21.1 | 35.2 | 29.0 | 22.2 | 29.3 | 34.9 | 35.3 | 27.6 | 30.9 |
| No opinion | 31 | 21 | 10 | 23 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 17 | 8 | 7 | 14 | 7 | - | 6 | 26 |
| | 6.0 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 6.1 | - | 10.6 | 5.8 |

Q31. Nine billion dollars in state bonds were approved by California voters for the High Speed Rail project in the November 2008 election. At the time, the project's estimated cost was 43 billion dollars and its targeted completion date was 2020. More current estimates now put its cost at 98 billion dollars and its completion date as 2033. Some think that the state legislature should resubmit the bond package to voters for another public vote next year. Regardless of how you feel about the project, do you favor or oppose the legislature putting the 9 billion dollar state bond package to another public vote in next year's statewide elections?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Gender | | Age | | | | | | Political ideology | | | | | Obama Re-elect | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|-------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------|-------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| | Total | Male | Fe- male | 18-29 | | 30-39 | | 40-49 | | 50-64 | | 65 or Older | | Re-elect | | | Not Re-elect | | |
| | | | | Strng | | Mod. | | Mid. | | Strng | | Some- what/ | Some- what/ | Stron | Not | Not | Stron | Unde- cided | |
| | | | | Con- serv- ative | Con- serv- ative | of the Road | Mod. lib- eral | Strng lib- eral | Stron- gly | Not- Strng | Not- strng | Stron- gly | Unde- cided | | | | | | |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 254 | 261 | 84 | 72 | 79 | 137 | 142 | 92 | 58 | 234 | 57 | 74 | 153 | 97 | 50 | 168 | 47 | |
| Weighted Base | 515 | 242 | 273 | 91 | 81 | 106 | 124 | 112 | 91 | 60 | 231 | 59 | 74 | 145 | 105 | 56 | 164 | 45 | |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Favor | 329 | 153 | 176 | 57 | 55 | 69 | 80 | 67 | 60 | 43 | 146 | 37 | 43 | 90 | 65 | 41 | 105 | 28 | |
| | 63.9 | 63.5 | 64.3 | 61.8 | 68.1 | 65.6 | 64.6 | 60.1 | 66.1 | 71.8 | 63.3 | 63.0 | 57.8 | 61.9 | 62.1 | 73.9 | 64.2 | 61.2 | |
| Oppose | 155 | 72 | 83 | 31 | 21 | 30 | 36 | 37 | 28 | 14 | 70 | 16 | 27 | 48 | 33 | 14 | 47 | 13 | |
| | 30.1 | 29.8 | 30.3 | 33.9 | 25.6 | 28.4 | 29.4 | 32.7 | 30.1 | 23.3 | 30.5 | 27.5 | 36.1 | 33.3 | 31.2 | 24.6 | 28.7 | 29.0 | |
| No opinion | 31 | 16 | 15 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 4 | |
| | 6.0 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 9.5 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 6.7 | 1.5 | 7.2 | 9.8 | |

Q31. Nine billion dollars in state bonds were approved by California voters for the High Speed Rail project in the November 2008 election. At the time, the project's estimated cost was 43 billion dollars and its targeted completion date was 2020. More current estimates now put its cost at 98 billion dollars and its completion date as 2033. Some think that the state legislature should resubmit the bond package to voters for another public vote next year. Regardless of how you feel about the project, do you favor or oppose the legislature putting the 9 billion dollar state bond package to another public vote in next year's statewide elections?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Education | | | | | Household Income | | | | | Union Status | | Marital Status | | | Parent of Child Under 18 | | Phone Type | |
|-----------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------------|--------------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------|------------|------------|
| | Total | H.S. Grad-uate or less | Some Col-lege/Trade Sch'l | Col-lege Grad | Post-Grad-uate Work | Less Than \$20K | \$20-40K | \$40-60K | \$60-\$100K | More Than \$100K | Yes, Any | No | Mar-ried Live Tgthr | Separ-ate Divor-ced | Never Mar-ried | Yes | No | Land-line | Cell-phone |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 93 | 161 | 137 | 121 | 61 | 85 | 62 | 127 | 118 | 94 | 416 | 327 | 76 | 105 | 128 | 385 | 403 | 112 |
| Weighted Base | 515 | 93 | 164 | 142 | 114 | 61 | 84 | 61 | 127 | 123 | 100 | 409 | 328 | 67 | 114 | 152 | 361 | 393 | 122 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Favor | 329 | 55 | 102 | 100 | 70 | 33 | 54 | 42 | 80 | 88 | 63 | 265 | 213 | 42 | 71 | 103 | 225 | 248 | 81 |
| | 63.9 | 59.7 | 62.3 | 70.1 | 61.8 | 53.9 | 64.5 | 69.2 | 63.0 | 72.1 | 62.7 | 64.8 | 64.9 | 62.7 | 61.9 | 67.5 | 62.5 | 63.3 | 66.0 |
| Oppose | 155 | 33 | 54 | 33 | 36 | 24 | 25 | 15 | 38 | 27 | 32 | 120 | 96 | 18 | 40 | 41 | 114 | 117 | 38 |
| | 30.1 | 35.6 | 32.6 | 23.0 | 31.3 | 39.3 | 30.4 | 24.4 | 30.3 | 21.7 | 32.0 | 29.4 | 29.2 | 27.5 | 34.9 | 26.9 | 31.5 | 29.8 | 30.9 |
| No opinion | 31 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 24 | 19 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 22 | 27 | 4 |
| | 6.0 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 9.8 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 3.1 |

Q31. Nine billion dollars in state bonds were approved by California voters for the High Speed Rail project in the November 2008 election. At the time, the project's estimated cost was 43 billion dollars and its targeted completion date was 2020. More current estimates now put its cost at 98 billion dollars and its completion date as 2033. Some think that the state legislature should resubmit the bond package to voters for another public vote next year. Regardless of how you feel about the project, do you favor or oppose the legislature putting the 9 billion dollar state bond package to another public vote in next year's statewide elections?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Religion | | | | Born Again | | Tea Party Identification | | | Occupy Protest Movement ID | | | Inclination to Support GOP Presidential Nominee | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|-------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|----------------------------|-------|-------|---|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| | Total | Chrst | Cath- olic | Othr Relig | No Pref. | Yes | No | A lot | Some | Not At All | A lot | Some | Not Much | Support Stron- gly | Not Strng | Some- what/ Not Strng | Some- what/ Not Strng | Unde- cided |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 197 | 133 | 75 | 110 | 113 | 402 | 58 | 147 | 289 | 106 | 151 | 234 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Weighted Base | 515 | 190 | 137 | 77 | 111 | 115 | 400 | 58 | 153 | 285 | 101 | 157 | 233 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Favor | 329 | 127 | 83 | 49 | 71 | 75 | 254 | 37 | 112 | 173 | 64 | 110 | 145 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 63.9 | 66.8 | 60.4 | 63.6 | 63.5 | 65.2 | 63.6 | 63.2 | 73.5 | 60.5 | 62.9 | 69.8 | 62.1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oppose | 155 | 54 | 45 | 23 | 33 | 36 | 119 | 18 | 34 | 92 | 28 | 41 | 75 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 30.1 | 28.5 | 33.1 | 29.6 | 29.3 | 31.2 | 29.7 | 30.9 | 22.5 | 32.4 | 27.8 | 26.3 | 32.0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| No opinion | 31 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 27 | 3 | 6 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 6.0 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 9.3 | 3.9 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |

Q31. Nine billion dollars in state bonds were approved by California voters for the High Speed Rail project in the November 2008 election. At the time, the project's estimated cost was 43 billion dollars and its targeted completion date was 2020. More current estimates now put its cost at 98 billion dollars and its completion date as 2033. Some think that the state legislature should resubmit the bond package to voters for another public vote next year. Regardless of how you feel about the project, do you favor or oppose the legislature putting the 9 billion dollar state bond package to another public vote in next year's statewide elections?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Total | High Speed Rail Project | | Prop 1A Vote (Nov 2008) | | |
|--------------------|-------|----------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| | | All Aware | Others | Voted Yes | Voted No | Don't Recall /Didn't Vote |
| | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 398 | 117 | 194 | 141 | 180 |
| Weighted Base | 515 | 398 | 117 | 191 | 135 | 189 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Favor | 329 | 264 | 66 | 123 | 87 | 120 |
| | 63.9 | 66.2 | 56.3 | 64.4 | 64.1 | 63.4 |
| Oppose | 155 | 113 | 41 | 58 | 45 | 52 |
| | 30.1 | 28.5 | 35.5 | 30.2 | 33.2 | 27.6 |
| No opinion | 31 | 21 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 17 |
| | 6.0 | 5.4 | 8.3 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 9.0 |
| Adds to: | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Rows | 515 | 398 | 117 | 191 | 135 | 189 |
| Respondents | 515 | 398 | 117 | 191 | 135 | 189 |

November 2011 Field Poll

28 Nov 2011

Q32. Suppose that 9 billion dollars in state bonds for the California High Speed Rail project were put before voters again in a statewide election ballot. If the election were being held today, would you vote Yes to approve or No to reject this bond package?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Region | | | | | Area | | | | | Party Regis. | | | Ethnicity | | | | Voted Gen. | |
|-----------------|-------------|-------|-------------|----------|----------|-------|-----------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|-------|-------|------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | North/South | | Coast/Inlnd | | | LA | San Diego/Ornge | Othr South | S.F. Bay Area | Cen- tral Val- ey | Other North | Dem | Rep | Non- Part/ Other | White | | | Asian/ Black Other | Elec- tion 2008 |
| | Cal | Cal | Coast | In- land | LA | | | | | | | | | | San Diego/Ornge | Othr South | S.F. Bay Area | | |
| | Total | Cal | Cal | Coast | In- land | LA | San Diego/Ornge | Othr South | S.F. Bay Area | Cen- tral Val- ey | Other North | Dem | Rep | Non- Part/ Other | Hispanic | La- tino | Black | Asian/ Other | Elec- tion 2008 |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 295 | 220 | 359 | 156 | 123 | 84 | 77 | 110 | 85 | 36 | 237 | 160 | 118 | 316 | 113 | 27 | 56 | 455 |
| Weighted Base | 515 | 310 | 205 | 367 | 148 | 134 | 92 | 75 | 104 | 85 | 25 | 227 | 159 | 129 | 308 | 120 | 27 | 56 | 448 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Yes | 158 | 87 | 71 | 119 | 39 | 45 | 18 | 20 | 42 | 26 | 8 | 90 | 34 | 34 | 87 | 38 | 13 | 19 | 129 |
| | 30.7 | 28.1 | 34.7 | 32.6 | 26.1 | 33.5 | 19.6 | 27.0 | 39.9 | 30.4 | 30.5 | 39.6 | 21.4 | 26.5 | 28.1 | 32.1 | 46.7 | 33.1 | 28.8 |
| No | 306 | 196 | 110 | 210 | 95 | 75 | 66 | 49 | 51 | 50 | 14 | 110 | 117 | 78 | 190 | 69 | 12 | 32 | 275 |
| | 59.4 | 63.1 | 53.7 | 57.4 | 64.3 | 56.3 | 71.6 | 65.7 | 48.9 | 59.3 | 55.1 | 48.8 | 73.4 | 60.7 | 61.8 | 57.4 | 45.7 | 56.9 | 61.3 |
| No opinion | 51 | 27 | 24 | 37 | 14 | 14 | 8 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 4 | 26 | 8 | 16 | 31 | 13 | 2 | 6 | 45 |
| | 9.9 | 8.8 | 11.7 | 10.1 | 9.6 | 10.2 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 11.1 | 10.2 | 14.4 | 11.6 | 5.2 | 12.8 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 7.6 | 9.9 | 9.9 |

Q32. Suppose that 9 billion dollars in state bonds for the California High Speed Rail project were put before voters again in a statewide election ballot. If the election were being held today, would you vote Yes to approve or No to reject this bond package?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Gender | | Age | | | | | | Political ideology | | | | | Obama Re-elect | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | Total | Male | Fe- male | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 | 50-64 | 65 or Older | Strng Con- serv- ative | Mod. Con- serv- ative | Mid. of the Road | Mod. lib- eral | Strng lib- eral | Re-elect | Not Re-elect | Not Re-elect | Not Re-elect | Unde- cided |
| | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== | ==== |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 254 | 261 | 84 | 72 | 79 | 137 | 142 | 92 | 58 | 234 | 57 | 74 | 153 | 97 | 50 | 168 | 47 |
| Weighted Base | 515 | 242 | 273 | 91 | 81 | 106 | 124 | 112 | 91 | 60 | 231 | 59 | 74 | 145 | 105 | 56 | 164 | 45 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Yes | 158 | 86 | 72 | 36 | 25 | 26 | 40 | 31 | 16 | 17 | 65 | 30 | 30 | 64 | 40 | 12 | 29 | 14 |
| | 30.7 | 35.7 | 26.3 | 38.9 | 30.6 | 25.0 | 32.3 | 28.0 | 17.3 | 29.1 | 28.3 | 50.1 | 40.7 | 44.2 | 37.9 | 20.9 | 17.4 | 31.2 |
| No | 306 | 141 | 165 | 50 | 48 | 66 | 74 | 66 | 71 | 39 | 142 | 23 | 32 | 61 | 53 | 44 | 128 | 20 |
| | 59.4 | 58.4 | 60.2 | 54.6 | 59.5 | 62.8 | 60.0 | 58.8 | 77.2 | 65.1 | 61.4 | 38.7 | 42.8 | 41.9 | 50.4 | 79.1 | 78.0 | 43.9 |
| No opinion | 51 | 14 | 37 | 6 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 24 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 12 | - | 7 | 11 |
| | 9.9 | 5.9 | 13.5 | 6.5 | 9.9 | 12.2 | 7.7 | 13.1 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 10.3 | 11.1 | 16.5 | 13.8 | 11.7 | - | 4.6 | 24.9 |

Q32. Suppose that 9 billion dollars in state bonds for the California High Speed Rail project were put before voters again in a statewide election ballot. If the election were being held today, would you vote Yes to approve or No to reject this bond package?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Education | | | | | Household Income | | | | | Union Status | | Marital Status | | | Parent of Child Under 18 | | Phone Type | |
|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------------|--------------|-------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|
| | Total | H.S. Grad- or less | Some Col-lege/ Trade Sch'l | Col-lege Grad | Post-Grad-uate Work | Less Than \$20K | \$20-40K | \$40-60K | \$60-\$100K | More Than \$100K | Yes, Any | No | Mar-ried/ Live Tgthr | Separ- Divor- Wido | Never Mar-ried | Yes | No | Land- line | Cell- phone |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 93 | 161 | 137 | 121 | 61 | 85 | 62 | 127 | 118 | 94 | 416 | 327 | 76 | 105 | 128 | 385 | 403 | 112 |
| Weighted Base | 515 | 93 | 164 | 142 | 114 | 61 | 84 | 61 | 127 | 123 | 100 | 409 | 328 | 67 | 114 | 152 | 361 | 393 | 122 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Yes | 158 | 27 | 44 | 41 | 46 | 18 | 25 | 26 | 41 | 31 | 38 | 118 | 96 | 18 | 43 | 41 | 116 | 115 | 43 |
| | 30.7 | 28.8 | 26.8 | 28.9 | 40.1 | 29.2 | 29.3 | 42.5 | 32.3 | 25.4 | 37.9 | 28.8 | 29.1 | 27.3 | 37.3 | 27.0 | 32.2 | 29.4 | 34.9 |
| No | 306 | 55 | 106 | 89 | 55 | 33 | 49 | 33 | 76 | 80 | 55 | 248 | 199 | 37 | 66 | 100 | 206 | 235 | 71 |
| | 59.4 | 59.6 | 64.9 | 62.5 | 48.5 | 53.1 | 58.7 | 53.5 | 60.0 | 65.3 | 54.8 | 60.7 | 60.7 | 55.1 | 58.0 | 65.4 | 57.1 | 59.9 | 57.7 |
| No opinion | 51 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 43 | 33 | 12 | 5 | 11 | 39 | 42 | 9 |
| | 9.9 | 11.6 | 8.3 | 8.6 | 11.3 | 17.7 | 12.0 | 3.9 | 7.7 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 17.6 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 7.3 |

Q32. Suppose that 9 billion dollars in state bonds for the California High Speed Rail project were put before voters again in a statewide election ballot. If the election were being held today, would you vote Yes to approve or No to reject this bond package?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Religion | | | | Born Again | | Tea Party Identification | | | Occupy Protest Movement ID | | | Inclination to Support GOP Presidential Nominee | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|-------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|----------------------------|-------|-------|---|---------------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | Total | Chrst | Cath- olic | Othr Relig | No Pref. | Yes | No | A lot | Some | Not At All | A lot | Some | Not Much | Support | | Not Support | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Stron- gly | Not Strng | Some- what/ Strng | Not what/ Strng | Stron- gly |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 197 | 133 | 75 | 110 | 113 | 402 | 58 | 147 | 289 | 106 | 151 | 234 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Weighted Base | 515 | 190 | 137 | 77 | 111 | 115 | 400 | 58 | 153 | 285 | 101 | 157 | 233 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 158 | 56 | 38 | 30 | 34 | 32 | 126 | 7 | 37 | 109 | 48 | 50 | 53 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 30.7 | 29.3 | 27.8 | 39.3 | 30.7 | 28.3 | 31.4 | 11.8 | 24.3 | 38.3 | 47.6 | 31.6 | 22.6 | - | - | - | - | - |
| No | 306 | 124 | 84 | 36 | 62 | 72 | 233 | 50 | 106 | 139 | 38 | 91 | 164 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 59.4 | 65.2 | 61.1 | 46.6 | 56.0 | 63.1 | 58.3 | 85.2 | 69.4 | 48.9 | 37.8 | 58.1 | 70.1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| No opinion | 51 | 10 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 41 | 2 | 10 | 37 | 15 | 16 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 9.9 | 5.4 | 11.1 | 14.1 | 13.3 | 8.5 | 10.3 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 14.7 | 10.3 | 7.3 | - | - | - | - | - |

Q32. Suppose that 9 billion dollars in state bonds for the California High Speed Rail project were put before voters again in a statewide election ballot. If the election were being held today, would you vote Yes to approve or No to reject this bond package?

Base: Registered voters (form B)

| | Total ===== | High Speed Rail Project ----- | | Prop 1A Vote (Nov 2008) ----- | | |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|---|
| | | All Aware ===== | Others ===== | Voted | | Don't Recall /Didn't Vote ===== |
| | | | | Yes ===== | No ===== | |
| Unweighted Base | 515 | 398 | 117 | 194 | 141 | 180 |
| Weighted Base | 515 100.0 | 398 100.0 | 117 100.0 | 191 100.0 | 135 100.0 | 189 100.0 |
| Yes | 158 30.7 | 128 32.1 | 30 26.0 | 101 52.8 | 4 2.7 | 54 28.4 |
| No | 306 59.4 | 232 58.3 | 74 63.1 | 70 36.6 | 129 95.5 | 107 56.5 |
| No opinion | 51 9.9 | 38 9.6 | 13 10.9 | 20 10.6 | 2 1.8 | 28 15.0 |
| Adds to: | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Rows | 515 | 398 | 117 | 191 | 135 | 189 |
| Respondents | 515 | 398 | 117 | 191 | 135 | 189 |